1	COURT OF APPEALS
2	STATE OF NEW YORK
3	
4	MASHREQBANK PSC,
5	Appellant,
6	-against-
7	No. 54 AHMED HAMAD AL GOSAIBI & BROTHERS COMPANY,
8	Respondent.
9	
10	20 Eagle Street Albany, New York 12207
11	February 19, 2014
12	Before:
13	CHIEF JUDGE JONATHAN LIPPMAN ASSOCIATE JUDGE VICTORIA A. GRAFFEO
14	ASSOCIATE JUDGE SUSAN PHILLIPS READ  ASSOCIATE JUDGE ROBERT S. SMITH
15	ASSOCIATE JUDGE EUGENE F. PIGOTT, JR. ASSOCIATE JUDGE JENNY RIVERA
16	ASSOCIATE GODGE GENNI KIVEKA ASSOCIATE JUDGE SHEILA ABDUS-SALAAM
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Karen Schiffmiller

Official Court Transcriber

I	
1	Appearances:
2	CARMINE D. BOCCUZZI, JR. ESQ.
	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
3	Attorneys for Appellant
	1 Liberty Plaza
4	New York, NY 10006
5	ROBERT F. SERIO, ESQ.
	GIBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP
6	Attorneys for Third-Party Appellant Al Sanea
	200 Park Avenue
7	New York, NY 10166
8	BRUCE R. GRACE, ESQ.
_	LEWIS BAACH, PLLC
9	Attorneys for Respondent
	1899 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 600
10	Washington, DC 20006
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
-	
22	
23	
-	
24	

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Number 54. 1 2 Counselor, do you want any rebuttal time? 3 MR. BOCCUZZI: One minute, Your Honor. 4 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: One minute, sure, go 5 ahead. 6 MR. BOCCUZZI: Good afternoon, and may it 7 please the court, my name is Carmine Boccuzzi from 8 Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton, on behalf of 9 plaintiff-appellant Mashreqbank. 10 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Where was the AD off 11 base? 12 MR. BOCCUZZI: The AD was off base, Your 13 Honor, in first rejecting over the dissent of the two 14 other justices, the Supreme Court's dismissal of a 15 forum non, first, by saying that you could only get 16 to that conclusion by recasting the allegations of 17 the counterclaim. 18 The counterclaims themselves - - - remember 19 my client entered into foreign exchange transactions 20 with AHAB, the Saudi partnership. The Saudi 21 partnership defaulted. We wired dollars. They never 22 wired in Saudi Arabia the Saudi riyals that they owed 2.3 11S.

They defended, and they put in their counterclaim on the grounds that the contract by

2.4

1	their agent was not authorized; he forged the
2	instrument; employees of AHAB, the partnership,
3	things from the board; that they otherwise kept a
4	secret ledger of all this information. All of that
5	is information, on the face of their allegations that
6	have would have to be proved with witnesses,
7	evidence
8	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Where were they
9	where they were
10	MR. BOCCUZZI: in the Middle East.
11	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Where were they wrong
12	in your view in the big picture of forum non
13	conveniens?
14	MR. BOCCUZZI: It
15	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: What's what's
16	that test all about and where did the AD misapply it?
17	MR. BOCCUZZI: I think a good example is
18	this court's decision in the Patriot Exploration
19	case. They what what the AD missed is
20	that there's not a single witness that has been
21	identified, not by the AD
22	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: What what about
23	
24	MR. BOCCUZZI: and not by them, that
25	resides in New York. The test is

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: What about these
2	policy considerations that they talk about in
3	relation to New York business and banks and whatever?
4	MR. BOCCUZZI: I think there the dissent -
5	
6	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is that is that
7	a viable or a an appropriate thing to be
8	considering in a forum non conveniens motion?
9	MR. BOCCUZZI: I think the dissent got it
10	right. Here, while policy it maybe implicates to
11	give a New York court an interest, here the wiring of
12	dollars was tangential to the ultimate dispute that's
13	among these parties.
14	JUDGE SMITH: I mean, there's there's
15	a suggestion. Is it is it correct or is it
16	disputed that you any large dollar transaction
17	has essentially has to go through New York?
18	MR. BOCCUZZI: Yes, any dollar will touch
19	New York
20	JUDGE PIGOTT: Okay, before you go
21	could I could I
22	MR. BOCCUZZI: and so by the
23	majority's reasoning
24	JUDGE PIGOTT: I apologize for interrupting
25	you, but we we got this thing from Mr. Grace a

bit ago, an order. I'm sure you're familiar with it, that we got on March 12th, that - - - Mashreqbank v.

AHAB. And on page 7 of it, it says "Al Sanea offers one piece of new information not presented in the prior motion, which is that there is a suitable alternative forum since there is no longer a surviving first party proceeding between Mashreqbank and AHAB."

2.3

2.4

MR. BOCCUZZI: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE PIGOTT: Is that this case? There's no longer - - -

MR. BOCCUZZI: That is our case. What happened is, the lower court after the Appellate Division's decision did not - - - did not stay. We asked for a stay of the proceedings, because you had all taken this appeal.

The court said please brief your motion to dismiss the counterclaim, and so we argued, as we did previously, in the - - - in the briefing that was not reached by the Supreme Court, because it dismissed for forum non, that they failed to state a claim under UAE law, but if the court was going to apply New York law, because again the AD had said New York law patently applies here, which I don't think is true, but we also said New York law, this fails - - -

this counterclaim fails because of the in pari delicto doctrine, articulated by this court in the Kirschner case, and Justice Schweitzer agreed with that and dismissed the counterclaim on that ground.

2.3

2.4

The case has since been basically on ice.

They are seeking to replead that counterclaim, so the case - - - as far as I'm concerned the case has not gone away, because they're trying to replead, and they've filed a notice of appeal. We've made very clear to the Supreme Court that if this court obviously affirms - - - reverses on the forum non, or they are not allowed to replead that counterclaim and the Appellate Division affirms that, we're done.

Because we are in the UAE. We have litigated the exact issue - - -

JUDGE SMITH: Let me - - -

MR. BOCCUZZI: - - - of these transactions.

JUDGE SMITH: Let me ask you about that.

If we do - - - if we - - - if we do rev - - - if we agree with you that the Appellate Division was in error, say, in some of its - - - its rulings, do we - - - do we send it back for an exercise of the Appellate Division's discretion or do we just dismiss?

MR. BOCCUZZI: You can dismiss based on - -

1 2 JUDGE SMITH: That's - - - that's because 3 this is - - - this is a case where it's forum non 4 conveniens as a matter of law? 5 MR. BOCCUZZI: Yes. 6 JUDGE SMITH: Those are rare, aren't they? 7 Usually it's a discretionary call. MR. BOCCUZZI: It's - - - it's 8 9 discretionary, but if you apply the wrong standard as the AD did, that's - - -10 11 JUDGE SMITH: Well, it wasn't part of the wrong standard. Shouldn't - - - shouldn't they go -12 13 - - but shouldn't we say go - - - now go apply the right standard? 14 15 MR. BOCCUZZI: I - - - if - - - if you 16 don't agree that it's reversible as a matter of law, 17 then I would take that as the result, but I take it -18 19 JUDGE SMITH: Yeah, you want to win 20 completely, and if you don't, you'll take half of it. 21 But what's - - - what's the argument - - - what's the 22 argument that you can get a total win? I mean, are 2.3 you saying that this is one of these rare cases where

MR. BOCCUZZI: Yes.

--- where this is forum non conveniens ---

2.4

1	JUDGE SMITH: and there's no other
2	argument?
3	MR. BOCCUZZI: Yes, there it is. And
4	I think the Patriot Exploration is
5	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay.
6	MR. BOCCUZZI: is the similar
7	situation.
8	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counsel.
9	You'll have your rebuttal.
10	MR. BOCCUZZI: Thank you.
11	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counsel? Oh, yes, go
12	ahead.
13	MR. SERIO: May it please the court, Robert
14	F. Serio, Gibson Dunn, for third-party defendant-
15	appellant Maan Al Sanea. And just picking up Judge
16	Smith's question, the two cases, Patriot Exploration
17	and Martin v. Mieth, cited in our briefs, this court
18	substitutes its discretion for the Appellate
19	Division's discretion when they have, as was the case
20	below, so clearly misapplied the
21	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Can I ask you the
22	same question? What was the worst misapplication of
23	the doctrine?
24	MR. SERIO: So, I
25	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Where did they really

1 miss it? 2 MR. SERIO: So there - - - there are three, 3 Your Honor, but the worst was contrary to this 4 court's many cases saying that its a - - - no one 5 factor is controlling, it's a facts and circumstances 6 test - - -7 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Right. 8 MR. SERIO: - - - the Appellate Division 9 clearly applied one factor, New York's alleged 10 compelling interest in protecting its native banking 11 system from malfeasance or - - -12 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Again, is that a 13 valid consideration in a forum non conveniens motion? MR. SERIO: Well, it's - - - I - - - well, 14 15 we argued not, Your Honor. It clearly isn't. What 16 the - - - - what the Appellate Division did was they 17 took - - - they took cases from the choice-of-law, 18 the J. Zeevi case, the choice-of-law case. 19 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Choice of law is 20 different, right? 21 MR. SERIO: Choice of law is different. I 22 mean, Zeevi - - -2.3 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: There it's a policy 2.4 test. It's not - - -

MR. SERIO: Well, it is a policy test and

1 the question there in Zeevi, was a letter of credit 2 payable in New York? New York was the locus of 3 payment. Instead it was repudiated because Uganda 4 determined that they - - - they sent a policy out, 5 don't pay letters of credit to Israeli banks. It was 6 an anti-Semitic policy. 7 JUDGE GRAFFEO: Is there any allegations of 8 actual conduct of fraud in New York, or is it just 9 the electronic transferring of the money? 10 MR. SERIO: Well, they - - - I mean, in 11

MR. SERIO: Well, they - - - I mean, in fairness to them, they say that - - - that the tran - - - that the transfer in New York was a fraud in New York. It's undispute - - -

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

JUDGE SMITH: But there's no claim that any human being was in New York.

MR. SERIO: But no - - - no - - - it was - - - it was buttons. You know, it was electronic transmissions. All of the conduct was directed from Saudi Arabia. Everybody was in Saudi Arabia or UAE or Bahrain.

JUDGE SMITH: We have had a few cases though, where people are scattered all over the globe - - - here you've got more than one country. You've got Saudi Arabia; you've got - - - well, I'm not sure how many countries there are in UAE - - -

1 MR. SERIO: Bahrain and UAE. 2 JUDGE SMITH: - - - but - - - and we have 3 cases like Banco Ambrosiano or the Bata v. Bata case, where you have a multi-country transaction with 4 5 virtually no New York contact, and we said in effect, 6 well, it might as well be here as anywhere else. 7 this such a case? 8 MR. SERIO: I - - - I don't think so, Your 9 Honor. And I think it's important to look - - - a 10 number of those cases are, in fact, personal 11 jurisdiction cases, and a number of those cases are 12 cases where there's a res in New York. There's - - -13 there's ex parte attachments of funds in New York - -14 15 JUDGE SMITH: And the - - -16 MR. SERIO: - - - and here there is nothing 17 --- there's absolutely nothing ---18 JUDGE SMITH: Then when - - - I gather when 19 - - - when Mr. Boccuzzi originally came here, he was 20 looking for money in New York and he didn't find it. 21 Is that the - - -22 MR. SERIO: Absolutely. And what has 2.3 happened here is that the plain - - - is that the 2.4 defendant - - - the third-party plaintiff has seized

upon this forum, claiming, well, we have to be here

1 anyway, so why don't we assert by way of 2 indemnification these third-party claims against my 3 client. Claims that they have brought in a 4 multiplicity of other fora, and - - -5 JUDGE PIGOTT: You know, it looks to me like New York - - - I mean, this looks like such a 6 7 simple case. I mean, they said you're supposed to 8 give us riyals for the money. You didn't do it, 9 we're suing you, pay it. And why is this third-party 10 thing affecting everything? Because it seems like it 11 belongs to New York to me. I mean, it's all New York 12 banks. 13 MR. SERIO: Well, the New York banks have nothing to do with it, Your Honor. In order to deter 14 15 - - - in - - -16 JUDGE PIGOTT: Well, I understand - - - I 17 understand that, you know, but please don't tell me 18 that my money that I've got in a Minnesota bank isn't 19 - - - isn't there. 20 MR. SERIO: Your Honor, in order to determine whether these transact - - - these 21 22 transfers in New York are proper or improper, you can 2.3 only determine that on the basis of the testimony and 2.4 the witnesses - - -

JUDGE PIGOTT: That's what I thought, and

1 no one's done any depositions yet, right? I mean - -2 3 MR. SERIO: There are no depositions, 4 correct. 5 JUDGE PIGOTT: I mean, you - - - the 6 defendant's entitled to the priority, and I would 7 think they would have done that by now. 8 MR. SERIO: Well, we don't believe that the 9 personal jurisdiction's proper here and we don't 10 believe that the forum is proper here - - -11 JUDGE PIGOTT: Yeah. 12 MR. SERIO: - - - and we wanted to - - -13 JUDGE SMITH: Is - - - is your guy allowed to come here? 14 15 MR. SERIO: All - - - my clients and the 16 AHAB partners are still under travel bans, until 17 matters are sorted out in Saudi Arabia and Saudi 18 banks are repaid. They cannot testify here. And what - - - what - - - the trial court properly found 19 20 and the Appellate Division misapplied was the notion 21 that this case - - - you know, it simply can't be 22 tried here. The witnesses are in the Middle East, 2.3 the documents are in the - - -2.4 JUDGE GRAFFEO: Did they testify in the 25

Dubai case that - - - the judgment we were sent?

1 MR. SERIO: Yeah, the - - - the Dubai case, 2 AHAB contested, Mashreq prevailed. Mashreq has 3 judgment. The first party case is res judicata. 4 was also dismissed. 5 JUDGE GRAFFEO: Do we know - - - do we know 6 if there was discovery or testimony in that case? 7 MR. SERIO: I don't. I'm sure there was a 8 form of testimony. I don't know the extent of it, 9 because I was - - -10 JUDGE GRAFFEO: You may not be the right 11 person to ask that. 12 MR. SERIO: I was not a party. I have 13 other - - - there were other serious infected which -- - serious errors of law which infected this 14 15 decision including the erroneous decision - - -16 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Quickly. 17 MR. SERIO: - - - by the Appellate Division 18 to insist that the allegations of the - - - of the 19 plaintiff in the - - - in the - - - the third-party 20 plaintiff in the third-party complaint be taken as true as to forum non conveniens. There is no 21 22 authority for that. It's a misreading of this 2.3 court's - - -2.4 JUDGE SMITH: Well, whether - - - whether

it's right or wrong, what - - - what turns on that?

1 What allegation is being taken as true that it either 2 supports or contradicts a forum non conveniens 3 finding? 4 MR. SERIO: What - - - what the Appellate 5 Division did was - - - was credit the plaintiff - - -6 the third-party plaintiff's characterization of the 7 clase (sic) - - - of the case. It's a theft in New 8 The center of gravity is - - - is in New York. 9 It could only have been done by New York banks. 10 JUDGE SMITH: Oh. 11 MR. SERIO: And the reality is that - - -12 JUDGE SMITH: Oh, well, wait. Do you - - -13 do you dispute that it could only have been done by New York banks? That's what I thought - - -14 15 MR. SERIO: Absolutely. Any - - - any 16 pegged tran - - - any pegged currency pegged to the 17 riyal could have been the subject of an FX - - -18 JUDGE SMITH: Well, if it's going to be 19 done in dollars, it has to be - - - for - - -20 MR. SERIO: If it's - - -21 JUDGE SMITH: If you transfer a few hundred 22 million bucks, you got to do it in New York? 2.3 MR. SERIO: Well, if you - - - if you do an 2.4 FX exchange transaction in dollars, it has to touch a 25 New York bank. It's a purely ministerial touching,

1 but the point is this is - - -2 JUDGE SMITH: Okay, but so - - - so that -3 - - yeah, does - - - does that give New York a 4 sufficient interest in - - - in taking this case, 5 basically just to - - - to preserve the confidence of 6 the international banking community, that if they go 7 through New York, they're going to get justice? 8 MR. SERIO: I - - - I say no. I mean, if -9 - - if any ministerial transaction - - - in other 10 words, that is - - - that is essentially saying that 11 we're going to make a one-factor test on forum non. It doesn't matter if the evidence is abroad, if the 12 13 witnesses are abroad, if Saudi law applies. In order 14 to determine what's right and wrong here on the 15 transfers, you have to go through the operations and 16 authorities in a Saudi partnership where - - -17 JUDGE PIGOTT: Well, like - - -18 MR. SERIO: - - - my client is the in-laws 19 in this - - -20 JUDGE PIGOTT: In that Uganda case, I mean, there are certain decisions that are made, I think, 21 22 based upon, you know, whether justice will ever be 2.3 done, if it's not done here. 2.4 MR. SERIO: Well, justice will be done in

Saudi Arabia. There is - - - there is no doubt that

1 2 JUDGE PIGOTT: Depending on how it's 3 defined. 4 MR. SERIO: I'm sorry; I couldn't hear that 5 Judge Pigott. 6 JUDGE PIGOTT: Depending on how it's 7 defined, I mean, I - - -MR. SERIO: Well, their - - - their expert, 8 9 Professor Vogel, from - - - formerly of Harvard says, 10 that there's no doubt that Saudi has a functioning 11 judiciary, that routinely - - -JUDGE PIGOTT: Well, I don't mean that. 12 13 mean, is - - - in terms of time and - - - and you know, the intervention of the - - of the 14 15 government. 16 MR. SERIO: We - - - but we - - - we're at 17 the beginning here, Your Honor. Other proceedings 18 are substantially more advanced. The Appellate 19 Division didn't permit us to supplement the record 20 when we moved to do so, but the Saudi committee 21 that's referenced in my papers had - - - has reached 22 the conclusion of its process - - -2.3 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counsel. 2.4 MR. SERIO: - - - and the parties would be

able to litigate this in the Middle East.

1 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you, counsel. 2 MR. SERIO: Thank you, Your Honors. 3 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counsel? 4 MR. GRACE: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm 5 Bruce Grace, here on behalf of AHAB. May - - -JUDGE GRAFFEO: Were there any actual 6 7 conversations or instructions or meetings or any kind 8 of connection to New York other than just the 9 electronic transferring? 10 MR. GRACE: Yes, Your Honor. If I could 11 make a reference to a particular part of the record, 12 which is - - -13 JUDGE GRAFFEO: I guess I'm getting at what - - - what's our New York connection to this? 14 15 MR. GRACE: Right, exactly. What's our New 16 York connection? I'm going to speak very 17 specifically to one incident which occurred, which is there was money in a bank account in New York City, a 18 19 Bank of America account, 191 million, 150 of that 20 million was Mashreq's funds. There was a direction from Mr. Al Sanea to another individual to transfer 21 22 that money from the New York bank account at Bank of 2.3 America to another bank account in New York City at 2.4 HSBC.

JUDGE SMITH: But where - - - where the two

1 people - - - where was Al Sanea and the person he 2 spoke to? 3 MR. GRACE: They were both in Saudi Arabia. 4 And the person he spoke to is a gentleman named Mark 5 Hayley, who worked for Al Sanea for a number of years, has since become a whistleblower, and is now 6 7 living in England, and provided us with an affidavit 8 with - - - describing what happened, and also a 9 document signed by Al Sanea saying, transfer the 10 funds. 11 So what you have is people - - -12 JUDGE SMITH: Was that - - - was that 13 document transmitted to New York in some form? MR. GRACE: Well, the instruction that is 14 15 transmitted to New York. An instruction is then 16 transmitted to the Bank of America, telling them to 17 move the funds to the account at HSBC - - -18 JUDGE SMITH: Is there some Bank of America 19 employee who would be a potential witness to this? 20 MR. GRACE: Absolutely. Just - - -21 JUDGE SMITH: What's his name? 22 MR. GRACE: Well, we haven't had discovery, 2.3 so we don't - - - we don't know his name, but - - -2.4 but you have, outside of New York - - -25

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counsel, do you - - -

1	do you adopt this idea that the Appellate Division
2	did that there are broad policy considerations that
3	warrant their forum non conveniens decision?
4	MR. GRACE: I think there are policy
5	considerations here
6	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is that what is
7	that the test on forum non conveniens?
8	MR. GRACE: No. I don't think
9	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Or they are more
10	- more appropriate to a choice of law situation?
11	MR. GRACE: No, I think the doctrine of
12	forum non conveniens is flexible. And so I think
13	_
14	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Isn't it about
15	economy and efficiency and all of that?
16	MR. GRACE: It is, but it's also about one
17	one phrase you see, is cases that don't have a
18	substantial connection to New York shouldn't be
19	clogging up the New York courts.
20	JUDGE GRAFFEO: I guess that's why we're
21	asking you what's the connection to New York?
22	MR. GRACE: Right. And and
23	substantial connection, I believe that when a case
24	does concern misbehavior in connection with the New
25	York banking system

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: So it's all about the
2	New York banking industry
3	MR. GRACE: No, it's not.
4	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: That's why
5	that's why the
6	MR. GRACE: I wouldn't say it's all about,
7	and I don't think that the Appellate Division said it
8	was all about. They said that's a factor that should
9	be considered. It's one of several factors that
10	should be considered. Another one is, for example -
11	
12	JUDGE SMITH: Are you are you saying
13	that any case that involves misbehavior that affects
14	a New York dollar account is that that alone is
15	enough to at least give a a court discretion to
16	keep it in New York?
17	MR. GRACE: No, and I want to be I
18	want to be clear that I don't think that the
19	Appellate Division looked at any one factor as being
20	determinative. They looked at the broad array
21	JUDGE RIVERA: So why is this one so
22	significant?
23	MR. GRACE: I'm just answering the
24	questions about it. I mean
25	JUDGE SMITH: What's what's the next

1	factor?
2	JUDGE RIVERA: Okay.
3	MR. GRACE: Well, one of the factors is,
4	can is there another forum? And again, that's
5	not even determinative, because Pahlavi makes it
6	clear that it doesn't have to be
7	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: What about what's
8	going on in the Middle East, no other forum?
9	MR. GRACE: Well, and here's the answer to
10	that question.
11	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, go ahead.
12	MR. GRACE: Witnesses. A fraud like this,
13	one has to have witnesses. And what we put in and I
14	think counsel mentioned the
15	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Who's here who's
16	- who's important in terms of witnesses?
17	MR. GRACE: Well, it's a relative question.
18	And here's here's the point. In Saudi Arabia,
19	no one who is interested in the matter can testify,
20	so none of the people with knowledge about this are
21	going to be able to testify in Saudi Arabia.
22	JUDGE GRAFFEO: And how are you going to
23	get those Saudis here, and how are you going to get
24	jurisdiction over them?

MR. GRACE: Well, we would be able to have

1 jurisdiction over them and have them testify, and if 2 the travel ban is still going on, then they would - -3 - we would do it by de bene esse depositions, or by 4 some kind of live transmission. 5 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is that economical and efficient? 6 7 MR. GRACE: Well, this is a case involving 8 hundreds of millions of dollars. In the normal case, 9 no. 10 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: No, but is it - - -11 is it - - -12 MR. GRACE: In this case, yes. 13 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Is it economic or efficient to do it here? 14 15 MR. GRACE: There's no other place where it 16 can be done. That's the point. There's no other 17 place - - -18 JUDGE SMITH: So you're saying that - - -19 you're saying that Saudi Arabia won't let them 20 testify in its courts, but they will let you take their depositions for American - - -21 MR. GRACE: Yes, yes. In my firm, we have 22 2.3 taken depositions of people in Saudi Arabia. That 2.4 can be done.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: These people, you're

1 going to be able to take their deposition? 2 MR. GRACE: Yes, yes, we will be able to. 3 JUDGE GRAFFEO: And there's some people in 4 England? 5 MR. GRACE: Yes, there's - - -6 JUDGE GRAFFEO: Is that what you're also 7 alleging? 8 MR. GRACE: This gentleman I mentioned, who 9 we have an affidavit here, a fellow named Mark 10 Hayley, who was sort of the number one henchman of Al 11 Sanea, and then turned into a whistleblower, he would 12 be able to come to New York to testify. There's 13 another fellow named Glenn Stewart, who lives in California. He would be able to testify. 14 15 I mean, there's something about this 16 scheme, which is you have someone in Saudi Arabia who 17 hires people who are English-speakers, who understand 18 the banking system. They set up a bank account in 19 New York City. They use it over a number of years. 20 The record shows that they're using it over five, 21 six, seven, eight years, the same bank account. 22 They're transferring billions of dollars through it. 2.3 And then they say, well, if we've committed 2.4 harm via that bank account, the only place where you

can try us is in Saudi Arabia, where no one will be

able to testify.

2.3

2.4

JUDGE PIGOTT: Early on in your - - - when you were talking, you were about to refer to a rec - - a spot in your - - in the record on appeal, when you were making your initial point. Do - - - do you remember where you were?

MR. GRACE: Yes, I'm - - - I'm at two places. First the record at 711, which is a very short note from Mr. Al Sanea signed by him, saying "you are hereby instructed to transfer funds currently held with our Bank of America in an offshore account, to the account of Al Gosaibi with Awal bank". Those were two accounts located in New York City. And so that's his instruction.

And if - - - if the idea is he's home safe, because he's not in New York when he gives that instruction, I submit that would be the wrong rule of law. There shouldn't be, you're home safe if you're outside of New York.

JUDGE SMITH: Well, it - - - would it be the right rule of law to say that any time that happens in any country the resulting lawsuit can be in New York?

MR. GRACE: No, I think that what one has to look at is the - - - the number of contacts. And

1	so I think it's important here that, for instance,
2	the Bank of America account had been purposefully set
3	up; it had been maintained for a number of years.
4	There's evidence in the record that that Mr. Al
5	Sanea knew about this. It was purposeful availment
6	from his point of view. He was using it for his
7	scheme. I
8	JUDGE SMITH: What was the second
9	what was the second record reference you were going
10	to give us?
11	MR. GRACE: It was Mr. Hayley's affidavit,
12	which begins at 703 record 703, and it goes
13	from 703 through 706. The other the other
14	point I want
15	JUDGE SMITH: Anything in particular?
16	JUDGE GRAFFEO: And what's the could
17	I I'm sorry.
18	JUDGE SMITH: Sure.
19	JUDGE GRAFFEO: Go ahead and finish the
20	record, and then I have a question.
21	JUDGE SMITH: Is there any particular thing
22	in those pages that you were going to point to?
23	MR. GRACE: Oh, well, I was going to point
24	to his description, starting two points.
25	Paragraph 9, where he discusses the routine use of

the Bank of America account and Mr. Al Sanea's 1 2 involvement in that routine use. So that's paragraph 3 9. 4 And then I would - - - I would look at 5 paragraph 16 and paragraph 17 of his affidavit, where 6 he describes this exchange that I'm talking about, 7 where the money is spirited out of the Bank of America account - - -8 9 JUDGE SMITH: Thank you, okay, I get it. 10 MR. GRACE: Yes. 11 JUDGE SMITH: Answer Judge Graffeo's 12 question. 13 MR. GRACE: All right. 14 JUDGE GRAFFEO: Counsel, what's your 15 ultimate goal here, to get a New York judgment? 16 MR. GRACE: Yes. 17 JUDGE GRAFFEO: Because you believe there's other assets in New York? 18 19 MR. GRACE: Well, we believe that if we can 20 get a judgment in New York against Mr. Al Sanea, that 21 will be of tremendous value to our effort to - - - to 22 get justice for the family. And we believe that if -2.3 - - if there aren't assets in New York, there are 2.4 other places in the world where he has assets and

that judgment will work - - -

1	JUDGE SMITH: You you're suggesting
2	that basically it may be a New York judgment is
3	going to have a little more credibility in, say,
4	Switzerland, then a Saudi judgment?
5	MR. GRACE: I'm not an yes, I would
6	say that, yes.
7	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But that's not a
8	consideration for forum non conveniens?
9	MR. GRACE: No, I don't think it is. But I
10	I think you were asking a practical question.
11	Why are we here and what is our goal here?
12	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: That's why you want
13	this to hold?
14	MR. GRACE: Right, I mean, this is very
15	important to our clients to be able to actually prove
16	this case, and New York is the only place
17	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But you don't
18	necessarily believe that there are assets here?
19	MR. GRACE: We don't know, because, you
20	know, we don't have a judgment yet, and and so
21	we
22	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counsel.
23	MR. GRACE: we just don't have the
24	answer to that.
I	

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thanks, counsel.

1	MR. GRACE: Thank you very much, Your
2	Honor.
3	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Wait a second, did
4	you reserve time, counsel?
5	MR. SERIO: I thought I reserved a minute,
6	Your Honor. Did I not?
7	MR. BOCCUZZI: I reserved a minute.
8	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: You reserved a
9	minute. I think you got one minute we
10	I think you used up all your time, counsel.
11	MR. BOCCUZZI: Just briefly, Your Honor.
12	The colloquy between these two gentlemen highlights
13	that we're really dealing with an internecine,
14	interfamily dispute among Saudis that my client,
15	thinking it would find assets in New York, stumbled
16	into, and then when it realized, in fact, it changed
17	
18	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Where's the fraud
19	here, counsel? Where where did all this take
20	place?
21	MR. BOCCUZZI: In Saudi Arabia. And all
22	the documents
23	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Despite the bank
24	account?
25	MR. BOCCUZZI: and the witnesses

1 - there's one - - -2 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Despite the bank 3 account? 4 MR. BOCCUZZI: There's one bank account, 5 and even that, the record cite that he gave you 705, 6 as well as in the third-party complaint, which is I 7 believe at - - - it's in the third-party complaint, 8 paragraphs 25 and 26, all they're alleging is that -9 - - that his client moved the money from one account 10 of AHAB to another account of AHAB. 11 JUDGE PIGOTT: Didn't you sue this in New 12 York? 13 MR. BOCCUZZI: Excuse me? 14 JUDGE PIGOTT: You sued this in New York, 15 didn't you? 16 MR. BOCCUZZI: We did, thinking we had - -17 18 JUDGE PIGOTT: So how are you aggrieved? 19 MR. BOCCUZZI: We're aggrieved because we 20 had moved to dismiss the counterclaim. 21 counterclaim was dismissed on forum non along with 22 everything else, which we - - - our claim as well, 2.3 which we said we were fine doing, because we're 2.4 litigating with them in the UAE. And then the

Appellate Division brought that counterclaim back.

1	JUDGE PIGOTT: Okay.
2	MR. BOCCUZZI: So we're aggrieved because
3	that dismissal was revived or
4	JUDGE SMITH: What what about the
5	claim that that Saudi Arabia won't let the
6	witnesses testify?
7	MR. BOCCUZZI: That's a typical aspect of
8	most civil law countries. An interested party can't
9	testify for their own interest.
10	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Will they be able to
11	to discover them here? Will they be able to -
12	to get to them, even though they can't testify?
13	MR. BOCCUZZI: They they won't be
14	able to come to New York and testify and be cross-
15	examined by
16	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Well, they say they
17	can do it in some way long distance
18	MR. BOCCUZZI: Yeah, he's happy to have me
19	cross-examine his guy by video-link eight hours away
20	through an interpreter, because all these folks speak
21	South Arabic
22	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But that's not really
23	acceptable to you?
24	JUDGE SMITH: Well, but it's
25	MR. BOCCUZZI: It's not. It's not

1 and it's not convenient to the court system. 2 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But it can be done. 3 But it can be done. 4 MR. BOCCUZZI: Your Honor, nothing is 5 impossible, but - - -JUDGE SMITH: But isn't - - - well, isn't 6 7 it better - - - I mean, I guess - - - how - - - how 8 can a - - - all civil law systems work that no 9 interested party can testify? How does that work? 10 MR. BOCCUZZI: They can't - - -11 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: No, no, counsel, no. 12 Counsel, no. 13 MR. SERIO: I know the answer. CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: It's fine, but - - -14 15 MR. BOCCUZZI: It's striking dealing with 16 my French colleagues. They said, they needed 17 evidence on a point. I said, I'll give you an 18 affidavit. They said we don't want that. Can you 19 send us a newspaper article? I said, a newspaper 20 article? They said, yes, that's respected here, 21 because that's disinterested. So that's number one. 22 Number two, in the UAE proceeding, where 2.3 we're litigating this, they have raised the issue of 2.4 fraud, and there has been discovery, and that an

expert - - - two levels of experts were appointed by

1 the Dubai court to investigate his allegations and 2 his evidence that there was forgery here. Rejected 3 both times. 4 In his April 26th letter to this court, 5 where we were talking about the aggrieved party 6 issue, he said, oh, Mashreq may not be happy with the 7 Dubai court, because the - - - the Court of Appeals 8 has required more evidence on my forgery allegation. 9 Well they let that evidence in. He's litigating 10 vigorously against me there, and he lost. 11 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counsel. 12 JUDGE RIVERA: Coun - - - I'm sorry, can I 13 just ask - - -14 MR. BOCCUZZI: This is not a - - -15 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Judge Rivera, go 16 ahead. 17 JUDGE RIVERA: I'm sorry, just one quick 18 question. What's your response to his point that the 19 accounts have existed for years and there's been use 20 of these accounts in New York for years? These bank 21 accounts. 22 MR. BOCCUZZI: Here there's just - - -JUDGE RIVERA: Which is Bank of America and 2.3 2.4 HSBC, I think is what he said.

MR. BOCCUZZI: Again, it's not atypical,

1	whenever you have a dollar transaction to have bank
2	accounts in New York. There have been accounts here.
3	But again, whether or not there was a fraud in New
4	York. There's no fraud in New York. The fraud is
5	among his client and his client in Saudi Arabia, as
6	to whether the information being given by this
7	this fellow over here to this guy over here was full
8	in disclosure about what was going on with the money.
9	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counsel.
10	MR. BOCCUZZI: And don't forget, my injury
11	was when they didn't give me the Saudi riyals in
12	Saudi Arabia.
13	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you, counsel.
14	JUDGE PIGOTT: Has that been resolved?
15	MR. BOCCUZZI: Excuse me?
16	JUDGE PIGOTT: Has that been resolved?
17	MR. BOCCUZZI: Yes, to my in the UAE action
18	in my favor, yes, Your Honor.
19	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, thank you.
20	MR. BOCCUZZI: Thank you.
21	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: All of you.
22	Appreciate it.
23	(Court is adjourned)
24	

## 

## CERTIFICATION

I, Karen Schiffmiller, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the Court of Appeals of Matter of Mashreqbank PSC v. Ahmed Hamad Al Gosaibi & Brothers Company, No. 54 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Houng Laboffmille.

Signature:

Agency Name: eScribers

Address of Agency: 700 West 192nd Street

Suite # 607

New York, NY 10040

Date: February 26, 2014