1 COURT OF APPEALS 2 STATE OF NEW YORK 3 -----MATTER OF HANDLER, 4 Appellant, 5 -against-6 No. 69 DINAPOLI, et al. 7 Respondents. 8 _____ 9 MATTER OF SOUTH ISLAND ORTHOPAEDIC GROUP, P.C., 10 Appellant, 11 -against-No. 70 12 DINAPOLI, et al. 13 Respondents. 14 20 Eagle Street 15 Albany, New York 12207 March 25, 2014 16 Before: 17 CHIEF JUDGE JONATHAN LIPPMAN ASSOCIATE JUDGE VICTORIA A. GRAFFEO 18 ASSOCIATE JUDGE SUSAN PHILLIPS READ ASSOCIATE JUDGE ROBERT S. SMITH 19 ASSOCIATE JUDGE EUGENE F. PIGOTT, JR. ASSOCIATE JUDGE JENNY RIVERA 20 ASSOCIATE JUDGE SHEILA ABDUS-SALAAM 21 22 23 24 25

1	
2	Appearances:
3	MATTHEW F. DIDORA, ESQ. RUSKIN MOSCOU FALTISCHEK P.C.
4	Attorney for Appellants 1425 RXR Plaza
5	East Tower, 15th Floor Uniondale, NY 11556
6	ZAINAB A. CHAUDHRY, ASG
7	NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL Attorneys for Respondent DiNapoli
8	The Capitol Albany, NY 12224
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Charrens Charies
25	Sharona Shapiro Official Court Transcriber

1	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: 69 and 70.
2	Counselor, do you want any rebuttal time?
3	MR. DIDORA: Yes, Your Honor, I would like
4	to reserve three minutes.
5	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Three minutes, sure.
6	Go ahead.
7	MR. DIDORA: May it please the court.
8	Matthew Didora from Ruskin Moscou Faltischek for the
9	appellants in both cases.
10	The order of the Appellate Division must be
11	reversed, and the Comptroller's conduct declared
12	unconstitutional, based on the plain text of Article
13	V, Section 1 of the State Constitution because the
14	appellants never submitted a voucher for payment to
15	the State, nor did they ever maintain an account of
16	the State, and because there was no legislative
17	action in this case directing the
18	JUDGE SMITH: If there was a direct
19	relationship between the State and your and
20	your clients, you would agree that the Comptroller
21	could say let me let me see your records?
22	MR. DIDORA: That would be a much different
23	case, Your Honor, but yes, in in that instance,
24	I believe there would be if
25	JUDGE SMITH: But suppose suppose

there were a direct relationship between United 1 2 Healthcare and your clients. Isn't United Healthcare 3 really a - - - a conduit for State funds here? 4 MR. DIDORA: Not exactly. They're paid 5 State funds, but once the funds are paid to United, 6 they lose their status as State funds; they're now 7 private funds in the hands of a private health provider. 8 9 JUDGE SMITH: And - - -10 JUDGE GRAFFEO: The only revenue to United comes from the State, right? I mean, is there any 11 12 other source of funding that they're receiving - - -13 MR. DIDORA: Not - - -14 JUDGE GRAFFEO: - - - that they disburse to 15 your client? 16 MR. DIDORA: Well, United never disburses 17 money to my client. I - - - I believe the first - -- the answer to your first question is yes, United is 18 19 paid exclusively by the State for the Empire Plan. 20 JUDGE SMITH: And the payment is 21 essentially equal to what they pay out. That is, 22 it's not - - - these premiums are not premiums as we 23 ordinarily think of them. They're premiums which are 2.4 equal to the claims. 25 MR. DIDORA: Plus an administrative fee

from - - -1 2 JUDGE SMITH: But isn't - - - in economic 3 reality, isn't this a self-insured plan, with United Healthcare as the administrator? 4 5 MR. DIDORA: It appears that way, yes. But 6 United never pays the providers. 7 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, but isn't just 8 a pass-through? Why does it matter whether they pay 9 them directly or they pay them through the member? 10 MR. DIDORA: It matters a great deal, Your 11 Honor - -12 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Tell me why. 13 MR. DIDORA: - - - because they're no 14 longer State funds once the money transfers - - -15 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: So once the member 16 touches it, it loses its - - -17 MR. DIDORA: It really loses it before it gets to the member. It loses its status as - - - as 18 19 State funds when it's paid to United. 20 JUDGE PIGOTT: But what difference does 21 that make? I mean, in almost any action, you can 22 subpoena anybody you want that's got information 23 that's material and necessary to the claim. So 2.4 unless United wants to complain about the Comptroller 25 looking up their - - - their accounts, all they're

1 doing to you is saying, you know, can you help us 2 prove that whatever United's doing they're doing? 3 MR. DIDORA: Well, that assumes that what this Comptroller was doing was auditing United, and 4 5 the record belies that determination. 6 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Why isn't it a post-7 audit of United? MR. DIDORA: Because the audit was 8 9 conducted at the request of United. United would 10 never have to ask the Comptroller to audit itself. 11 United asked the Comptroller to audit the practice, 12 because what the court - - - what United wanted to 13 know was whether out-of-pocket expenses were being waived. 14 15 JUDGE SMITH: They wanted to know whether they were being overbilled. Isn't it - - - isn't it 16 17 normal to ask your auditor to go to speak to one of your vendors and see if you're being overbilled? 18 19 MR. DIDORA: But United was never billed by 20 the provider. 21 JUDGE SMITH: But is it - - - but I guess I 22 - - - I guess I have Judge Pigott's question - - - or 23 maybe it's not his question, but it's mine. Isn't 2.4 that just a matter a form? It was maybe the Chief's 25 question. Isn't - - - yeah, doesn't it seem that the

б

1	the the individual recipients would take
2	these checks and endorse them over to your people?
3	MR. DIDORA: It's more than just form, Your
4	Honor. It really it it's form, but it's
5	form that implicates the substance, because we have
6	an out-of-network provider that doesn't participate
7	in any State-sponsored program, that is
8	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Aside from the fact
9	that it costs the member a little more to be out-of-
10	network, isn't the judge right? Isn't it the same
11	thing? It's it's the same thing. You're
12	getting healthcare. One is called participating and
13	one is not. It costs the member a little more to
14	- to do the nonparticipating. Why does it why
15	does it go over into substance? Why isn't it just,
16	it's the same thing with a little different prices,
17	but in reality, the same person's paying, you know,
18	and and the same person's getting services.
19	MR. DIDORA: I think for the same reason
20	that in Dinallo v. DiNapoli, where this court held
21	that the Superintendent of Insurance, when it's
22	acting in its role as the liquidator of a distressed
23	insurance company, is beyond the audit power
24	JUDGE PIGOTT: Yeah, that's
25	MR. DIDORA: of the Comptroller.

1 JUDGE PIGOTT: - - - that's auditing, 2 But what struck me here - - - let's assume a though. 3 given physician has 500 patients, and of those, 400 4 of them are State employees, I suppose. They can 5 subpoena all 400 of the - - - of the - - - of the 6 patients, right, and say, what did you pay; give us 7 your bill. Or they could subpoen athe doctor and get 8 the same records with respect to the same patients 9 all at once. Why wouldn't we make it - - - why 10 wouldn't that make sense? 11 MR. DIDORA: It's very possible that they could have subpoenaed the providers, but the fact is 12 13 that they didn't. 14 JUDGE PIGOTT: No, no, the patients, I'm 15 saying; they could subpoen athe patients. 16 MR. DIDORA: If they subpoena the patients, 17 they could do - - - they - - - they could certainly 18 do that and ask the patients to identify whether the 19 patient paid the out-of-pocket costs or what the 20 patient's responsibility, under the terms of the 21 insurance - - -22 JUDGE SMITH: And then on inquiry, whether 23 it's by subpoena or not, would - - - or does it 2.4 matter whether - - - whether it's by subpoena? 25 That's within the Comptroller's statutory power or

Constitutional power?

2 MR. DIDORA: It's certainly a much 3 different inquiry when we de - - - when we're dealing 4 with a pro - - - a beneficiary of the Empire Plan, 5 who is participating in this State-sponsored plan, as 6 opposed to an out-of-network provider who has said I 7 - - - I don't participate. 8 JUDGE GRAFFEO: But the patient - - -9 JUDGE RIVERA: No, but you know where the 10 money comes from. You understand, when you entered 11 this arrangement, that these patients are getting 12 money from the State. It's not like your clients are 13 unaware. 14 MR. DIDORA: That's probably correct, Your 15 Honor, that event - - - you know, several steps down 16 the road, the money originates from the State, but as 17 I - - - as I said - - -18 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: And you agree to be 19 part of the plan. The nonparticipating doesn't have 20 to accept this insurance. Doesn't the fact that they 21 accept Empire Plan patients really sort of hook them 22 and get them into this where - - - where they may 23 expect to have an audit? 24 MR. DIDORA: I don't believe so, Your 25 Honor, and the reason for that is - - -

1 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Why not? They could 2 say I don't want to be a part of - - - I didn't want 3 to - - - I'm not taking - - - and we've all had that 4 experience - - - we don't take Empire; we don't take 5 whatever the plan is. MR. DIDORA: I think in that - - - they do 6 7 say that. And by saying that, what they're - - -8 what the provider is telling the patient is we 9 haven't agreed to these set rates. There's - - -10 there's going to be responsibility that you have 11 towards a certain portion of - - - of the bill. 12 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, but they're 13 saying here we - - - we do agree; we're going to take 14 you, whether it's Empire or Oxford, whatever the plan 15 is; you make a conscious decision, I - - - I'm going 16 to take people who - - - you know - - - why - - - why 17 is that - - - why is that - - -18 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: That's not how it 19 works, right? When you go out - - - when a 20 beneficiary of an insurance plan goes out of network, 21 you have to come out of pocket. The member pays the 22 doctor and then waits to get reimbursed from the 23 insurance company. Isn't that really how it works? 24 MR. DIDORA: Not always, Your Honor. That 25 - - - in an ideal world, that would be how it would

1 always work and it - - - I think it would probably -2 _ _ 3 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: That's not how it 4 worked here? 5 MR. DIDORA: - - - we wouldn't - - - no, 6 the - - - the member is responsible for paying the 7 copay, which is usually a nominal fee, at the time of presentment to the office. And then there's a bill 8 9 that's generated for whatever services - - -10 JUDGE GRAFFEO: Well, that's - - -11 MR. DIDORA: - - - are provi - - -JUDGE GRAFFEO: That's going to be my 12 13 question - - -14 MR. DIDORA: - - - are provided. 15 JUDGE GRAFFEO: - - - on the records. Ι 16 know your red light is on, but these billing records 17 that you have is what I presume the Comptroller wanted to see to determine whether or not there had 18 19 been a waiver, right? 20 MR. DIDORA: That's correct. That was all 21 22 JUDGE GRAFFEO: So now - - -23 MR. DIDORA: - - - the Comptroll - - -24 JUDGE GRAFFEO: - - - did United have 25 copies of all of those billing records? Could the

1 Comptroller have gotten the same information from 2 United, or are you the only repository of those 3 billing records? MR. DIDORA: The specific records are 4 5 unique to each practice, and United would not have our private financial billing records that reflect 6 7 business determinations that are made between the 8 provider and the beneficiary - - -9 JUDGE GRAFFEO: Well - - -10 MR. DIDORA: - - - or its patient. 11 JUDGE GRAFFEO: But what the State funding is paying for is related - - - I mean, they've got to 12 13 look at what the patient has been billed in order to 14 determine if the program is operating the way it's 15 supposed to operate. So if United didn't have those billing statements, why is it so horrendous that 16 17 they're asking you for the billing statements? MR. DIDORA: United would absolutely have 18 19 the billing statements. They would know what the 20 total bill was. United would not necessarily know -21 22 JUDGE GRAFFEO: They wouldn't know the 23 breakdown - - -2.4 MR. DIDORA: - - - of what was eventua - -25 JUDGE RIVERA: So - - -

MR. DIDORA: - - - was later paid by the 1 2 patient. 3 JUDGE RIVERA: So it sounds like what 4 you're saying is, in order for the Comptroller to get 5 the information they got from your clients, they would have to get documents from United and documents 6 7 from the patient. MR. DIDORA: Certainly from the patient, 8 9 yes. 10 JUDGE RIVERA: Well, but also from United, 11 no, to know what's billed? MR. DIDORA: To know what was billed, yes, 12 13 or - - -JUDGE RIVERA: So it's - - -14 15 MR. DIDORA: But the patient should have 16 that as well. 17 JUDGE RIVERA: - - - at a minimum, a two-18 step process. 19 MR. DIDORA: No, because I think the - - -20 the patient would have the same records that United 21 would have. The patient would have their total bill, and the patient would know - - -22 23 JUDGE RIVERA: And you're assuming they 2.4 kept the bills. You're very optimistic about what 25 patients keep.

1	MR. DIDORA: That
2	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counselor, one last
3	question, the form versus substance question. I
4	think what you were saying, in in answer to
5	Judge Abdus-Salaam, is that often the the check
6	that comes is just to the member is passed on,
7	right? So there really why isn't that just
8	form? Why doesn't it make it like the participating
9	provider, because here, in essence, the member often
10	and I know sometimes it's not done, but often
11	takes the check and gives it gives it to the
12	person who provided the service. Why doesn't it make
13	it only form?
14	MR. DIDORA: For the same reason that this
15	court held in Charter Schools that money that flows
16	from the State to the school districts no longer is
17	school
18	JUDGE PIGOTT: But there they're
19	MR. DIDORA: is State money.
20	JUDGE PIGOTT: There they're auditing the
21	Charter or they're auditing they're not
22	auditing you; they're audering (sic) they're
23	audering (sic) auditing the you know, the
24	the insurance company. You just happen
25	MR. DIDORA: I don't

1 JUDGE PIGOTT: You're like the by - - -2 you're like the bystander in an automobile accident. 3 You're going to get subpoenaed to testify; you had 4 nothing to do with the accident, but you sure have 5 information and they - - - they want it. 6 MR. DIDORA: I do not agr - - - I don't 7 agree with the - - -8 JUDGE PIGOTT: You're suspicious. 9 MR. DIDORA: - - - the analysis that we 10 were auditing - - - that the Comptroller was auditing 11 United. I mean, if - - - if you look at the audit 12 report - - -13 JUDGE GRAFFEO: Who was supposed to pay the 14 overpayment? 15 MR. DIDORA: Well, ultimately, the - - -16 the Empire Plan and the certificate of insurance 17 reserves to United the ability to go after the patient, in the event there's been any overpayment 18 19 because of the patient's failure to honor the 20 insurance certificate. The provider's not a party to 21 the insurance certificate. 22 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counsel, you'll 23 - - - you'll have your rebuttal. 24 MR. DIDORA: Thank you, Your Honor. 25 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Let's hear from your

1 adversary. 2 MS. CHAUDHRY: May it please the court. 3 Zainab Chaudhry for the State Comptroller. 4 Your Honors, the Comptroller was not 5 attempting to assert wide-ranging audit authority over the activities of the providers based on any 6 7 receipt of public funds. What the Comptroller was 8 doing here, and what the Appellate Division 9 understood correctly, was that we were auditing the 10 propriety of payments of State money made to United. 11 He's simply doing what he's required to do - - -12 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But where's your - -13 14 MS. CHAUDHRY: - - - under the mandatory -15 16 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: - - - authority over 17 them? Where does that come from? 18 MS. CHAUDHRY: The authority is - - -19 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: But no, either the 20 Constitution, the Finance Law; where does your 21 authority come to, to audit them? 22 MS. CHAUDHRY: Your Honor, we're not 23 auditing them; we're auditing our State payments, 24 which the authority for that is in - - -25 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah, but talk about

1	
2	MS. CHAUDHRY: Article V, Section 1.
3	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: form over
4	substance. Aren't you really
5	MS. CHAUDHRY: Well
6	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: auditing them?
7	MS. CHAUDHRY: No, Your Honor; we're not
8	auditing them. As Judge Pigott pointed out, the key
9	to this is our authority to obtain records in the
10	hands of third parties that are relevant and material
11	to Constitutionally permissible audits. Now of
12	course, we didn't need to issue subpoena here
13	subpoenas here because they voluntarily complied and
14	opened their books.
15	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Isn't that a slippery
16	slope that you're going to you know, you could
17	audit anybody, under your theory.
18	MS. CHAUDHRY: Absolutely not, Your Honor.
19	We can audit State payments. We have a subpoena
20	power to get records that are relevant and material.
21	If the court is
22	JUDGE SMITH: Your argument then really
23	depends on the theory that these petitioners are
24	vendors of the State, in substance?
25	MS. CHAUDHRY: No, Your Honor, they have

records that are relevant to us determining whether 1 2 overpayments have - - - are - - - have been made. 3 JUDGE SMITH: Well, so do I, probably, but 4 you can't au - - - you can't - - - you can't look at 5 everybody's records. MS. CHAUDHRY: Well, we practically can't 6 7 look at these on a member-by-member, claim-by-claim basis. United is processing twenty million claims 8 9 every year under the Empire Plan. 10 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Are they vendors of 11 the State? 12 MS. CHAUDHRY: No, Your Honor. The 13 contract vendor here - - -14 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: They're not - - -15 MS. CHAUDHRY: - - - is United. 16 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: They're not vendors 17 of the State? MS. CHAUDHRY: No, but - - -18 19 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: That you acknowledge, 20 right? 21 MS. CHAUDHRY: Yes. But we are not limited 22 to obtaining records from third parties - - -23 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: But who are you 24 auditing? 25 MS. CHAUDHRY: - - - that also are contract

vendors or also have other relationships. 1 2 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: I don't understand; 3 who are you auditing? 4 MS. CHAUDHRY: We are auditing the payment 5 of State monies to United. Under the Constitution we 6 are required - - -7 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: You're not auditing United. 8 9 MS. CHAUDHRY: This is not a plenary audit 10 of United either. This is an audit of our payments 11 made to United. JUDGE SMITH: Does it matter that United is 12 13 substantially a conduit for funds? MS. CHAUDHRY: Well, what's important here 14 15 is that any overpayments made, as Your Honor 16 mentioned, are dollar-for-dollar overpayments made by 17 the State. 18 JUDGE SMITH: So yes, in substance, it does 19 matter. 20 JUDGE GRAFFEO: What were you looking for 21 that United wouldn't have in their records? MS. CHAUDHRY: United, given the unique - -22 23 24 JUDGE GRAFFEO: You said there must be 25 something that you needed to go to these practices.

1	MS. CHAUDHRY: Yes.
2	JUDGE GRAFFEO: What is it that you
3	couldn't get from United that you had to go to the
4	service providers?
5	MS. CHAUDHRY: Under the unique arrangement
6	for nonparticipating provider claims, United does not
7	have in its possession records that relate to the
8	actual amounts that were paid, the out-of-member
9	(sic) excuse me, the out-of-pocket costs that
10	the members paid. Yes, there is initially an invoice
11	
12	JUDGE GRAFFEO: And why is that important?
13	Is that not something that's supposed to be
14	reimbursable or
15	MS. CHAUDHRY: Those those expenses
16	are not reimbursable; those are the obligations of
17	the members to pay. They're required to be
18	collected. The reason they can't be known beforehand
19	is that once United receives a claim, it must
20	consider the allowable amount, it must consider what
21	deductibles are due, what coinsurance is due, has the
22	member reached their out-of-pocket maximum for the
23	year.
24	JUDGE RIVERA: So if we agree with them
25	that the Comptroller does not have this authority,

1 how else can you pursue this investigation, because I 2 understand the nature of your investigation. You're 3 - - - you're trying to make sure that the people are 4 not playing with the numbers and - - - and somehow 5 changing, really, what - - - what the fees are, 6 right? They're inflating the fees. So how else 7 could you pursue the investigation? 8 MS. CHAUDHRY: Well, Your Honor, nobody 9 else has the records that these providers have. The 10 patients don't have them; they generally just have 11 the invoices. 12 JUDGE READ: Could the Insurance Department 13 do it? 14 MS. CHAUDHRY: The Insurance Department has 15 jurisdiction over fraud, but it's the Comptroller's 16 unique, mandatory, Constitutional duty to investigate 17 overpayments of State funds. The Insurance Department doesn't have jurisdiction over that. 18 19 JUDGE RIVERA: So you're saying United - -20 21 MS. CHAUDHRY: The fact that - - -22 JUDGE RIVERA: - - - if you took documents 23 that United had and documents that the patients had, 2.4 you still would not have - - -25 MS. CHAUDHRY: That's correct.

JUDGE RIVERA: - - - the information to 1 2 pursue this investigation, because the information 3 that's missing is - - -4 MS. CHAUDHRY: Is the actual amount paid by 5 the member, which is only in the hands of the provider. 6 JUDGE RIVERA: Well, why doesn't the member 7 know that? I went to the out-of-network provider. 8 9 Don't I know whether or not I paid them a hundred 10 dollars or twenty dollars? MS. CHAUDHRY: You might know that, but you 11 12 may not have records proving that. And there are 13 certain records that - - -14 JUDGE SMITH: I guess you might have some 15 serious practical problem in getting every - - -16 every member who dealt with these practices to come 17 up with - - -18 MS. CHAUDHRY: Certainly. JUDGE SMITH: - - - you know, with the 19 20 cancelled checks. 21 MS. CHAUDHRY: Certainly. You know, whatever starts the ball rolling, Your Honor. I 22 23 understand the court's concern. You know, it may be 2.4 an audit in the ordinary course. And yes, in this 25 case we were tipped off to the fact that there may be

1 something going on that was creating and resulting in 2 overpayments. But whatever we might discover, or 3 whatever tips the Comptroller off, it doesn't impact 4 his mandatory duty to - - -5 JUDGE SMITH: Could you audit how 6 efficiently these doctors were running their 7 practices, on the theory that they're inefficient, 8 they're probably - - - they're probably charging you 9 too much? 10 MS. CHAUDHRY: No, Your Honor. We're not 11 attempting to do that kind of - - -12 JUDGE SMITH: But my question isn't are you 13 attempting to do it, but could you do it? Would it be Constitutional? 14 15 MS. CHAUDHRY: No. 16 JUDGE SMITH: Why not? What's the 17 difference? MS. CHAUDHRY: Well, we have no basis upon 18 19 which to do that. We are not directly providing them 20 State funds; we are just auditing the overpayments, 21 the payments made - - -22 JUDGE RIVERA: You're doing dollar-to-23 dollar checking. 2.4 MS. CHAUDHRY: Dollar-to-dollar checking. 25 JUDGE PIGOTT: What - - -

1 JUDGE RIVERA: Let me ask you this. If 2 they had a company that did their billing, could you 3 go to the company and ask for all of these documents? MS. CHAUDHRY: Sure. If the records were 4 5 in the hands of the billing company - - -JUDGE GRAFFEO: It doesn't matter who's got 6 7 the documents. 8 MS. CHAUDHRY: It doesn't matter who's got 9 - - - and if the court is concerned about the 10 Comptroller overreaching, in any particular case, we 11 have a remedy already, a solution already to that, 12 which is the party can deny access, which they did 13 not do, and then the subpoenas would be issued. They 14 can move to quash the subpoenas, and a judge can 15 determine whether, in a particular case, the 16 allegations of a Comptroller overreaching - - - you 17 know, that those documents - - -18 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: So it doesn't - - -19 MS. CHAUDHRY: - - - aren't relevant - - -20 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: It doesn't matter at 21 all who ultimately has the documents? You can go 22 anywhere, under your authority, under the State 23 Constitution - - -24 MS. CHAUDHRY: Your Honor - - -25 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: - - - to get the

1	documents? As Judge Smith asked you before, you can
2	go to Judge Smith and get the document
3	MS. CHAUDHRY: Yes, Your Honor
4	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: if he has it?
5	MS. CHAUDHRY: State Finance Law, the
6	legislature has authorized the Comptroller to issue
7	subpoena or subpoenas requiring persons to be
8	examined or to produce documents, quote, "in
9	reference to any matter within the scope of the
10	inquiry or investigation being conducted".
11	JUDGE PIGOTT: When you do that, your point
12	with respect to subpoenas, and they can move to quash
13	
14	MS. CHAUDHRY: Yes.
15	JUDGE PIGOTT: one of the grounds
16	upon which they would move to quash, I assume, is
17	that it's not material and necessary to your audit.
18	And you would have to show that it is material and
19	that it is necessary.
20	MS. CHAUDHRY: Yes.
21	JUDGE PIGOTT: What's the purpose of this
22	audit? When it's all done, what what happens?
23	What do you what's your aim here? Where are
24	you going?
25	MS. CHAUDHRY: Well, once it's determined

1 that an overpayment has been made, which the 2 Comptroller did make those findings, and those 3 findings were confirmed by Supreme Court, United 4 would - - - then pretty much the Comptroller's role 5 is over. But the - - - United has the power, under 6 the Insurance Law, 3224-b, to recoup those payments, 7 and once those payments are recouped, they are credited dollar-for-dollar to the State's account. 8 9 JUDGE PIGOTT: Well - - -10 JUDGE READ: That's what happened here. 11 You went to United and said here's this information? 12 There's - - - and now it's up to you to - - - to do 13 with it whatever pursuing you - - -14 MS. CHAUDHRY: Right, it's United that 15 would be pursuing that in conjunction with the 16 Insurance Department - - -17 JUDGE RIVERA: And - - -18 MS. CHAUDHRY: - - - given the allegations 19 of fraud. 20 JUDGE RIVERA: - - - is the overpayment to 21 the patient? 22 MS. CHAUDHRY: The overpayment - - -23 JUDGE RIVERA: Sorry; who's the overpayment 2.4 to here? 25 MS. CHAUDHRY: The overpayment is made - -

1 - overpayment of State monies, we've overpaid United 2 for claims it pays out. It's a dollar-for-dollar - -3 4 JUDGE SMITH: And United has, in turn - - -5 MS. CHAUDHRY: - - - payment. 6 JUDGE SMITH: - - - overpaid the - - -7 MS. CHAUDHRY: The provider. 8 JUDGE SMITH: - - - has overpaid the 9 client, who in turn, has - - -10 MS. CHAUDHRY: Yes. 11 JUDGE SMITH: - - - has over - - -12 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: And you just said 13 something - - -14 JUDGE SMITH: But the one who's getting 15 overpaid is the medical - - - is the provider at the 16 end? 17 MS. CHAUDHRY: Ultimately, yes. 18 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: And you just said 19 something about allegations of fraud that United and 20 the Insurance Department would - - - would then go 21 after, I guess, the providers, if they don't collect 22 the money from the patients. So why couldn't the 23 Insurance Department do that in the first instance? 2.4 MS. CHAUDHRY: Well, certainly the 25 Insurance Department has jurisdiction to investigate

1 any allegations of fraud, if they are brought to 2 their attention. But what the Insurance Department 3 doesn't have jurisdiction over, and which is uniquely 4 the mandatory Constitutional duty of the Comptroller, 5 is to audit State payments, and whether there's been 6 accurate payments or overpayments made. And in the 7 course of doing so, whether it's by fraud or mistake, 8 or any other reason, you know, once the Comptroller's 9 audit is finished, if there's something for the 10 Insurance Department to do or fraudulently obtained 11 funds to be recouped, those are - - - that's done by 12 those agencies. The Department of Civil Service may 13 be involved at that point. 14 And Your Honors, I just want to point out 15 that - -16 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: So United couldn't 17 have gone to the Insurance Department and said we 18 think that, you know, our - - - our members are - - -19 are not paying their fair share, and so we think that 20 the providers who are providing those services are 21 overcharging us and would you investigate that? 22 MS. CHAUDHRY: They could have done that, 23 and done an investigation about the alleged fraud, 24 but they would not have been able to do the type of 25 audit that the Comptroller does with respect to State

1 overpayments, as mandated by the Constitution. 2 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: That's the basis of 3 the alleged fraud, right, the State over - - - the 4 alleged overpayments. 5 MS. CHAUDHRY: Well, in this case, it is 6 the alleged overpayments that triggered this whole 7 thing, but it could have happened for any number of 8 reasons. It could be a mistake. It could be an 9 ordinary - - - audit in the ordinary course that 10 reveals something fraudulent. 11 JUDGE PIGOTT: It could be policy. Ιt 12 would seem - - - I - - - I think of these doctors. 13 They could say, look, I'm charging 50,000 dollars for 14 this heart transplant, 50,000 dollars for this appen 15 - - - appendix. But in any event - - - and I don't 16 care what United does or anything else, I'm charging 17 fifty grand. Now, if you're only going to pay 10-, 18 and tell this lady that she's go to pay 2,000 of the 19 10-, I'm still charging her 50 grand and I'm going to 20 waive the 2-. It makes no difference to - - to 21 That's not fraud; that's just they don't care them. 22 what United's doing; they don't care what the State's 23 doing, right? So that's not necessarily fraud. 24 MS. CHAUDHRY: Well, it's not necessarily 25 fraud in every case, but we have - - - we're talking

1 about, in these cases here, a ninety-three and a 2 ninety-five percent waiver rate. This wasn't just a 3 case-by-case, you know, business professional 4 courtesy; this was happening on a regular basis, 5 which can constitute insurance fraud. CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counselor. 6 Thank you, counselor. 7 MR. DIDORA: Two brief - - -8 9 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Counselor, rebuttal. 10 MR. DIDORA: Two brief points, Your Honors. 11 The Third Department and the Comptroller have argued 12 all along that these audits were justified under the 13 incidental authority that is created in Article V, 14 Section 1, but that authority can only be exercised 15 if the legislature doles it out. That's why we - - -16 in the Blue Cross case, in Charter Schools, and 17 Dinallo, there was all a statute - - -18 JUDGE READ: So you're saying if the 19 legislature hasn't doled it out, they can't dole it 20 out to themselves? 21 That's correct. MR. DIDORA: The - - - the 22 Comptroller cannot take upon itself the incidental 23 administrative authorities that's created in Article 2.4 V, Section 1. Only the legislature can direct the 25 Comptroller to use that power.

1	JUDGE GRAFFEO: So who provides the
2	oversight and I'm not saying your client did
3	this intentionally, but if there are providers that
4	are mischarging, you know, they're they're
5	billing what they shouldn't be billing, how is that -
6	
7	MR. DIDORA: There are at least four
8	JUDGE GRAFFEO: how is that going to
9	be determined?
10	MR. DIDORA: There are at least four
11	entities that have control over that. Number one, as
12	Judges Read Read and Abdus-Salaam recognize,
13	the Insurance Department has long had jurisdiction
14	over the issue of out-of-network billing. And in
15	fact, just yesterday, the superintendent of insurance
16	sent a letter to the legislature telling the
17	legislature to adopt legislation that protects
18	consumers from out-of-network bills, because they had
19	gotten tens of thousands of complaints from around
20	the State from consumers who get these out-of-network
21	bills.
22	JUDGE GRAFFEO: That kind of shows that
23	maybe that's why the Comptroller did this, that it's
24	a pretty broad practice that they need to determine
25	overpayments.

1	MR. DIDORA: But the distinction is the
2	Department of Financial Services is saying the
3	problem originates with the insurance companies
4	because they don't adequately describe to the members
5	what their out-of-pocket responsibilities are going
б	to be.
7	JUDGE SMITH: Aren't there
8	MR. DIDORA: And
9	JUDGE SMITH: Aren't there two separate
10	problems? The problem that the legislature is
11	worried about is the problem of you and me getting
12	over getting getting dunned for money
13	from our healthcare providers. The problem the
14	Comptroller is worrying about is the State's paying
15	too much money.
16	MR. DIDORA: It's the it's the same
17	problem. It might be two different sides of the same
18	coin, but it's it's the same problem, because
19	on the one hand, the Department of Financial Services
20	is saying, doctors or to the legislature,
21	prohibit doctors from sending out-of-network bills,
22	because they're concerned with these with
23	JUDGE SMITH: And then the Comptroller's
24	saying, make sure they send them.
25	MR. DIDORA: Not only must you send them,

1 but what the Comptroller says is, if you - - - all we 2 are concerned about is if you don't collect. They've 3 said in the record, we don't care if you send the bills - - -4 5 JUDGE SMITH: I - - - I mean, it's - - - I 6 can under - - - you're - - - you're showing me that 7 doctors have a tough life, because people are going 8 to scream at them whatever they do. But isn't the 9 Comptroller legitimately doing his job by saying, if 10 you're loading all the costs on me and not going 11 after the human beings of the world, then the State 12 is getting short-changed, and it is my - - - my job 13 as Comptroller is to see that that doesn't happen. MR. DIDORA: It's an issue that the 14 15 Comptroller is meddling in the affairs of the Department of Financial Services. Other entities 16 17 that have responsibility over this - - - if there 18 truly is insurance fraud going on, then the local 19 District Attorneys have responsibility for it. 20 JUDGE PIGOTT: Well, you don't have 21 standing to argue in favor of them. It just seems to 22 me this is fact gathering. What - - - what's the big 23 deal? I mean - - -2.4 MR. DIDORA: It's much more than fact 25 gathering because - - -

1 JUDGE PIGOTT: You're worried that it's 2 much more - - -3 MR. DIDORA: - - - it's - - -JUDGE PIGOTT: - - - but the fact of the 4 5 matter is, all they're saying is send us some 6 documents. MR. DIDORA: They've - - - they've said 7 8 much more than that, because they've released these 9 audit reports - - -10 JUDGE PIGOTT: That's - - -11 MR. DIDORA: - - - that have said, shame on 12 you, doctors, because you are committing insurance 13 fraud by not balanced billing. And the Department of 14 Financial Services, on the other hand, is saying, 15 doctors, shame on you for balanced billing, because 16 of this problem that's been created by insurance 17 companies, as the Attorney General has said in its 18 report, delaying, deceiving and denying. 19 JUDGE PIGOTT: Yeah, but that argument 20 would - - - you're trying to say the Comptroller 21 should not get this information that I have because 22 they're going to criticize me. And I'm not sure 23 that's enough of an argument to say they don't have 2.4 the Constitutional authority to find out where the 25 hell the money's going.

1 MR. DIDORA: It's more - - - it's more than 2 just gathering records. It's far - - - if you look 3 at the - - - take a closer look - - - take another 4 look at the audit reports that are - - - that are in 5 the record. JUDGE PIGOTT: But that's why I asked Ms. 6 Chaudhry about if there's a motion - - - if there's a 7 8 motion to quash the subpoena, then you've got to show 9 materiality and necessity, and that didn't happen 10 here because you gave them the records. 11 MR. DIDORA: We were never served with a 12 subpoena. 13 JUDGE PIGOTT: I know. 14 MR. DIDORA: This isn't a case about 15 subpoena power. 16 JUDGE PIGOTT: I know; you didn't even need 17 one. You didn't ask for one. MR. DIDORA: Well, when the Comptroller 18 19 shows up, knocking at your door, I - - - I think the 20 - - - the proper response is to cooperate and then 21 challenge it, just like - - -22 JUDGE PIGOTT: No, don't do that. 23 MR. DIDORA: - - - just like someone - - -2.4 JUDGE PIGOTT: Don't do that. 25 MR. DIDORA: - - - just like someone who

is the target of a search warrant - - -1 2 JUDGE RIVERA: You took quite a while - - -3 MR. DIDORA: - - - when the police knock on 4 the door. 5 JUDGE RIVERA: - - - to challenge it. 6 What's the other entity? I think that you missed one 7 or didn't get to one. MR. DIDORA: There's the Office of 8 9 Professional Medical Conduct, which would have 10 responsibility if doctors were committing insurance 11 fraud. The local District Attorneys have 12 jurisdiction over insurance fraud. The Department of 13 Financial Services is supervising out-of-network 14 billing. 15 JUDGE RIVERA: Okay. But she argues that 16 we're also looking just for the dollar-to-dollar 17 trail, not always fraud. 18 MR. DIDORA: It's more than just dollar-19 for-dollar - - -20 JUDGE RIVERA: No, no, no, but let's assume 21 this is correct for one moment. Are these still the 22 entities? 23 MR. DIDORA: Yes. Yes. And - - - and 24 also, the - - - through the certificate of insurance, 25 United has the power to deal with the members, who

1	they have a contractual relationship with, to
2	determine whether the member has honored their
3	commitment under their
4	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay, counselor.
5	MR. DIDORA: under their certificate.
б	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thanks, counselor.
7	MR. DIDORA: Thank you.
8	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you both.
9	(Court is adjourned)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	I, Sharona Shapiro, certify that the
5	foregoing transcript of proceedings in the court of
6	Appeals of Matter Of Handler v. DiNapoli, et al. No.
7	69 and Matter Of South Island Orthopaedic Group v.
8	DiNapoli, et al. No. 70 was prepared using the
9	required transcription equipment and is a true and
10	accurate record of the proceedings.
11	
12	Sharing Shaphie
13	• •
14	
15	Signature:
16	AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber (CET**D-492)
17	
18	Agency Name: eScribers
19	
20	Address of Agency: 700 West 192nd Street
21	Suite # 607
22	New York, NY 10040
23	
24	Date: April 2, 2014
25	