1	COURT OF APPEALS
2	STATE OF NEW YORK
3	
4	PEOPLE,
5	Respondent,
6	Papers Sealed -against-
7	No. 128  JAMES R. POLEUN,
8	Appellant.
9	
10	20 Eagle Street Albany, New York 12207
11	September 09, 2015
12	Before:
13	CHIEF JUDGE JONATHAN LIPPMAN ASSOCIATE JUDGE EUGENE F. PIGOTT, JR.
14	ASSOCIATE JUDGE JENNY RIVERA ASSOCIATE JUDGE SHEILA ABDUS-SALAAM
15	ASSOCIATE JUDGE LESLIE E. STEIN
16	Appearances:
17	JOSEPH G. FRAZIER, ESQ.
18	NIAGARA COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER Attorneys for Appellant
19	175 Hawley Street Lockport, NY 14094
20	
21	LAURA T. BITTNER, ADA NIAGARA COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
22	Attorneys for Respondent 175 Hawley Street
23	3rd Floor Lockport, NY 14094
24	
25	Sara Winkeljohn Official Court Transcriber

1 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Number 128, People v. 2 Poleun. 3 Counsel, you want any rebuttal time? 4 MR. FRAZIER: No, Judge. Thank you. 5 Excuse me. CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: You don't want it? 6 7 What'd you say, counsel? 8 MR. FRAZIER: No rebuttal. Thank you. 9 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: No rebuttal. 10 ahead, counsel. 11 MR. FRAZIER: Little voice problem today. 12 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: That's okay. 13 MR. FRAZIER: I'd like to just address 14 preservation first. It was not raised by the People 15 in the Appellate Division, it was in the Appellate 16 Division decision, that the request to be present 17 issue was - - - was not preserved. It's our position that that's a bit of a red herring, that - - - that 18 19 it shouldn't even be an issue here. This defendant 2.0 wrote two letters to the court. He indicated that he 21 wanted to be heard, he wanted to participate in this 22 hearing. Everyone seems to ignore that. 23 People's briefs, both in the Appellate Division here, 2.4 Judge Murphy's decision, and in the Appellate

Division decision, they ignore the fact that he - - -

25

1 he was essentially pleading with the court saying, I 2 want to participate, I've got a lot to say, there's 3 things - - -CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Yeah. But based on 4 5 what he said - - - I understand you're starting with 6 preservation, but what's involuntary about it? He 7 says, you know, I want to be there but I can't. 8 MR. FRAZIER: It's what - - -9 CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: I'm worried about 10 something, so listen, I talked to my lawyer, I've 11 talked to this one, I've talked to that one, he'll 12 present the arguments, so I won't be there. And - -13 - you know, what - - - what's - - - why is that 14 involuntary? 15 MR. FRAZIER: It's involuntary because of 16 why. Because he says I - - - if - - - if I'm 17 transported now, they're going to bring me to Attica, 18 from Great Meadow to Attica. If I go there again, 19 I'm going to get beat up. I - - -20 JUDGE PIGOTT: What should the judge have 21 done? 22 MR. FRAZIER: He should have required the 23 attorney to speak directly to the client. And - - -2.4 and just - - - comment again on what Judge Lippman

25

just said.

JUDGE PIGOTT: Well, let's assume he talked 1 to his client. What - - - what then? 2 3 MR. FRAZIER: Well, when the judge asked 4 the defense counsel at - - - when he presented him 5 with the waiver, he said, did you speak with your client, and he said, my office spoke with my client. 6 7 The implication there is that he never spoke directly to his client. 8 9 JUDGE PIGOTT: Let - - - let's assume 10 whatever you want to assume. What then? I mean, you 11 say, okay, the defendant says, I'm not coming because 12 I'm not going to Attica because I'm going to get beat 13 up. 14 MR. FRAZIER: Right. 15 JUDGE PIGOTT: What does the court then do? 16 MR. FRAZIER: I think then you're presented 17 with a - - - with a - - - a waiver that is based on 18 duress and - - - and it's involuntary by its very 19 nature. 20 JUDGE PIGOTT: So what do you then do? 21 MR. FRAZIER: So - - - so you direct the 22 attorney and say, well, talk to your guy, adjourn for 23 two weeks. This guy was - - -2.4 JUDGE PIGOTT: What do you do after two

25

weeks?

1	MR. FRAZIER: Hold the hearing. He was
2	going to be released in a few weeks.
3	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: How how would he
4	hold the hearing if if the client doesn't come
5	because he does he feels under duress, how
6	would he hold the hearing?
7	MR. FRAZIER: He he could have
8	I I mean, he could have ordered him to come to
9	the county jail. There there had to be
10	something he could do. He could at least look into
11	it. He he could adjourn for a week and look
12	into it.
13	JUDGE PIGOTT: Can can
14	MR. FRAZIER: Say, is there something we
15	can do?
16	JUDGE PIGOTT: Can they do that?
17	JUDGE RIVERA: Didn't the client suggest a
18	webcam?
19	MR. FRAZIER: Pardon me?
20	JUDGE RIVERA: Didn't he suggest a webcam?
21	MR. FRAZIER: The defendant himself
22	suggested a webcam. In the second letter to the
23	judge, he said
24	JUDGE RIVERA: Do you know if they if
25	that's if that was a real option?

MR. FRAZIER: I don't know if that was a 1 real option in - - - in that court. 2 3 JUDGE STEIN: So - - - so if any -4 - - any defendant could then say gee, I'd really like 5 to be there, but - - - but, you know, I - - - the - -- the - - - the - - - the way that they transport us 6 7 and everything, I - - - I don't want to be in this 8 other facility, I think it's really dangerous there. 9 MR. FRAZIER: Well, I think - - -10 JUDGE STEIN: I've heard that - - - that 11 they - - - that they threaten people and beat people 12 up and - - - and stuff. So - - - so then that 13 imposes upon the court an obligation to hold a 14 separate hearing or arrange for webcam or some other 15 - - - is that all it takes? I mean, this isn't a ca 16 --- I --- I guess what --- this isn't a case 17 where the guy said I was threatened that if I go to 18 this hearing, okay, this is what's going to happen to 19 me. I - - - to - - - to me that might be a little 20 bit different. 21 MR. FRAZIER: He - - -22 JUDGE STEIN: But - - - but at - - - at 23 what - - - where do we draw the line? 2.4 MR. FRAZIER: He did - - - he did say it 25 had happened before. In - - - in the first letter he

1 said, I will get beat up as I had been before. 2 JUDGE STEIN: But is there any real way - -3 - any practical way to ascertain whether that is in 4 fact - - - I mean, maybe he did get beat up, but 5 maybe he got beaten up because he was in a different 6 gang than the people there or something. I - - - I 7 mean, you - - - you know, I - - - I guess - - -MR. FRAZIER: Certainly it's problematic in 8 9 doing that, but he's - - - he's in the custody of the 10 State, and it's the State that wants to put these 11 prohibitions on him and put this level three 12 designation on him. And given in this case he was -13 14 JUDGE RIVERA: But appearance at the 15 hearing is voluntary, is it not? 16 MR. FRAZIER: Appearance is always 17 voluntary at these hearings. JUDGE RIVERA: Voluntary, so why - - - why 18 19 can't the court rely on the representations by counsel and the written waiver? 20 21 MR. FRAZIER: If it - - -JUDGE RIVERA: Perhaps he's changed his 22 23 mind, perhaps he feels comfortable now with his 2.4 counsel representing him, he had obviously been in 25 communication with counsel. Why does the court - - -

1 why can't the court rely on that? Don't you really have an ineffective assistance of counsel claim? 2 3 MR. FRAZIER: There very mell - - - very well may be ineffective assistance of counsel claim 4 5 here. Yes. And - - - and that's why I - - - I 6 wanted to start with the preservation issue because 7 there was no way for this defendant to - - -8 JUDGE RIVERA: But why can't the court rely 9 on counsel's representation? 10 MR. FRAZIER: If counsel had said, I spoke 11 with my client, that would be a different situation, 12 but he said, my office spoke with my client. And I -13 - - I think that implies that he didn't speak 14 directly to him either about the waiver, the effect 15 of that waiver, or about the issues that he was 16 raising about the - - - the danger he faced if he was 17 transported. 18 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Well, again, assuming 19 that's true, counsel, going back to Judge Pigott's 20 question earlier, what would the court do? You said 21 adjourn the hearing and have counsel speak to him? 22 MR. FRAZIER: I mean the - - -23 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Speak to his client? 2.4 MR. FRAZIER: These hearings are - - - are 25 - are quick. There - - - I mean, I think it's

eight pages of transcript was the - - - was the hearing here. A - - - a webcam is certainly not an unreasonable situation. A telephone call, even, have the defendant on the telephone and - - - and do it with the stenographer there over a speakerphone. I mean we're - - - it's 2015; we can do a lot of things like that. This guy - - - I mean there's a lot of things that they were saying about him that are sketchy at best. There's a - - - there's a - - - a rape charge where the charges were actually dismissed but the Appellate Division comments on that as one of these aggrag - - aggravating factors.

JUDGE STEIN: Could the defendant have - - he wrote these two letters. Could he have said,
you know, I'd - - I'd really like to come but I'm
afraid to come, my - - - my attorney's going to be
there, my parents are going to be there, and here are
the things - - - in - - - in this letter to the
judge, here are the things that I - - - you know,
that I think ought to be raised here. Could he have
done that?

MR. FRAZIER: We all wish we had clients that could be articulate and - - and think ahead like that, but he didn't do that.

JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: But he did say his

2.4

1	attorney would speak on those matters
2	MR. FRAZIER: I think
3	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: and that his
4	parents would be in the courtroom as well.
5	MR. FRAZIER: Right.
6	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: I don't know that he
7	was suggesting they would speak on the matters, but
8	he did expect that his
9	MR. FRAZIER: The
10	JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: lawyer would
11	- would point out these inconsistencies or issues
12	with the statement.
13	MR. FRAZIER: And I think if you look at
14	that eight-page transcript, you don't see very much
15	in there by way of
16	JUDGE RIVERA: Again, does doesn't
17	that
18	MR. FRAZIER: by way of refuting
19	these allegations.
20	JUDGE RIVERA: get to ineffective
21	assistance of counsel claim rather than that he was
22	denied due process because he wasn't able to appear?
23	MR. FRAZIER: Possibly so. Yeah.
24	CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay.
25	MR. FRAZIER: Thanks, Judge.

CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thanks, counsel.

Counsel?

2.0

2.4

MS. BITTNER: Thank you, Your Honor. Laura Bittner on behalf of the Niagara County District Attorney's Office, may it please the court. The reason that none of these others avenues were suggested, such as a webcam or a phone call or - - - or an adjournment so that this attorney could speak with his client is because defense counsel never requested that. Defense counsel had a waiver in front of him, their office as a whole had spoken to that defendant and submitted that waiver and asked the court to take that waiver as a waiver of the defendant's appearance at that hearing and ask the hearing to proceed.

JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Could a webcam appearance have - - have been effected here?

MS. BITTNER: I appear in that court quite a bit; I've never seen it done. I don't know if it would have been possible or not, but again, it's something that was not requested here. This defendant felt comfortable after speaking with the public defender's office - - -

JUDGE RIVERA: Well, he - - he requested it in his letter. I mean how many times does he have

to communicate, I'm afraid, I've gotten beat up

before, I really want to be there, there's lots of

problems with - - - with what - - - what the

government is saying about me, maybe I can do it by 
- - how many times does the incarcerated person have

to - - - have to present this to the court?

2.4

MS. BITTNER: Well, he sent the initial two letters and then had an opportunity, as we see in the waiver, to speak with his attorney, and I think that what the court needs to rely on is this final waiver that shows that, you know, he knew the time, he knew the date, he knew that the hearing was going to proceed in his absence, and that he had spoken with his attorney. I think that if we had, you know, only the two letters to look at, I think we might have a very different scenario, but since we have a waiver of appearance here that seems to be knowing and voluntary in this case, I think that's something that the court can rely on here.

JUDGE STEIN: Though - - - you would agree, though, that there are circumstances under which an allegation of coercion or threat or - - - or something of that nature might require some further action?

MS. BITTNER: Absolutely. And had, after

defense counsel, the public defender's offices spoke with this defendant, thought that there was something that needed to be brought to the court's attention, my assumption would be that they would have done so, but as all parties involved in this seemed to be comfortable with this waiver and for defense counsel to be proceeding, we don't have that scenario here. CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Okay. MS. BITTNER: Thank you, Your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE LIPPMAN: Thank you, counsel. Okay. Thank you both. Appreciate it. (Court is adjourned) 2.4

## CERTIFICATION

I, Sara Winkeljohn, certify that the
foregoing transcript of proceedings in the Court of
Appeals of People v. James R. Poleun, No. 128 was
prepared using the required transcription equipment

Considerich and

and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

12 | Signature:

Agency Name: eScribers

Address of Agency: 700 West 192nd Street

Suite # 607

New York, NY 10040

Date: September 12, 2015

2.4