Official Court Transcriber

1	COURT OF APPEALS
2	STATE OF NEW YORK
3	
4	GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES INSURANCE CO., ET AL.,
5	Respondents,
6	-against-
7	No. 27 AVANGUARD MEDICAL GROUP, PLLC,
8	Appellant.
9	
10	20 Eagle Street Albany, New York 12207
11	February 10, 2016
12	Before:
13	CHIEF JUDGE JANET DIFIORE ASSOCIATE JUDGE EUGENE F. PIGOTT, JR.
14	ASSOCIATE JUDGE JENNY RIVERA ASSOCIATE JUDGE SHEILA ABDUS-SALAAM
15	ASSOCIATE JUDGE LESLIE E. STEIN ASSOCIATE JUDGE EUGENE M. FAHEY
16	ASSOCIATE JUDGE MICHAEL J. GARCIA
17	Appearances:
18	CHARLES A. MICHAEL, ESQ. STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
19	Attorneys for Appellant 1114 Avenue of the Americas
20	New York, NY 10036
21	BARRY I. LEVY, ESQ. RIVKIN RADLER LLP
22	Attorneys for Respondent 926 RXR Plaza
23	West Tower, 9th Floor Uniondale, NY 11556
24	
	Meir Sabbah

1 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Okay. Good 2 afternoon, everyone. First matter on our calendar 3 today is number 27, Government Employees Insurance 4 Company v. Avanguard Medical Group. 5 Counsel, would you like to reserve rebuttal time? 6 MR. MICHAEL: Yes, may I please reserve six 7 minutes of rebuttal time? 8 9 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Yes, sir. 10 MR. MICHAEL: Good afternoon, Your Honors. 11 Charles Michael from Steptoe & Johnson on behalf of 12 the appellant, Avanguard Medical Group. 13 Welcome, Judge Garcia. 14 JUDGE GARCIA: Thank you. 15 MR. MICHAEL: May it please the court. 16 This is a case about statutory interpretation. York's no-fault insurance laws require auto insurers 17 to cover car accident victims, regardless of fault, 18 19 for up to 50,000 dollars in basic economic loss. 2.0 term "basic economic loss" is defined in Insurance 21 Law 5102 in relevant part as follows: All necessary 22 expenses for medical services and surgical services. 23 That is the key phrase in this case, Your Honors, all 2.4 necessary expenses for medical services and surgical

25

services.

2.4

JUDGE STEIN: To - - - to me there is - - - there's a question, and I'm not sure it's answered here, and that is assuming that the fees that you're talking about are necessary and assuming that they should be covered, the question that I am having trouble with - - - one of them - - - is, are these fees incorporated in the professional service fees and, you know - - and - - - and how - - - how are they different from regular medical offices?

MR. MICHAEL: Sure. Let me answer those two questions. First, no, they are not incorporated in the professional fees. So just to back up - - -

JUDGE STEIN: How do we know that?

MR. MICHAEL: The reason we know that - - - here's the - - - there's several ways. The simplest way is that the regulators have adopted facility fees for ambulatory surgery centers and hospitals. And the regulations adopting those tell you exactly what those are for.

So if you look, for example, at the regulations pertaining to the facility fees for ambulatory surgery centers, that's 10 NYC 86-4.40, this is what they cover: Nursing services, technician services, drugs, biologicals, surgical dressings, materials for anesthesia, space occupancy

1 | | - - -

2.4

JUDGE STEIN: Right, but those aren't separately covered under regular doctors' offices, correct?

MR. MICHAEL: Right, so all - - -

JUDGE STEIN: So are - - - so how do we know whether - - - whether here the intention was that they were covered as regular office - - - doctor's offices, or whether they should be considered as they - - - as hospitals and - - - and other - - - sur - - - sorry, surgical centers?

MR. MICHAEL: Sure, so there's a singular professional fee schedule that everybody gets paid. So if a doctor repairs a knee from somebody who is a car accident, the doctor looks up in the medical fees schedule and will say, you got 1,000 bucks to fix that knee.

JUDGE STEIN: And that covers nurses and overhead and everything else, right?

MR. MICHAEL: It doesn't cover any of that, because all of these things are listed as a separate fee that, at least under the second department's decision, the ambulatory surgery center can charge and the hospital can charge. If the facility fee covers all these things, they can't possibly be baked

into the professional fee.

2.0

2.4

JUDGE FAHEY: But wouldn't your - - - wouldn't your - - -

JUDGE RIVERA: You're saying that they're segregated elsewhere, so therefore that must mean they can't be included in a professional fee - - -

MR. MICHAEL: Precisely.

JUDGE RIVERA: - - - not to mention professional fees seems to suggest something other than facility fee.

MR. MICHAEL: Precisely. And I would also refer, Your Honors - - - if you look at the appendix to GEICO's brief at page 29, that is the professional fee schedule. And at page 29 - - - or I guess they call it an addendum - - - page 29 is Chapter 4, it talks about surgery, and it says, "Package or global fee concept. Listed values for all surgical procedures include: The surgery, local infiltration, digital or regional block and/or topical anesthesia." And it goes on; it says nothing about equipment, expenses, or overhead.

What they're calling a global fee means the doctor can't say, I'm going to charge one fee to do the surgery and one fee to check up on the patient, one fee for the interview - - - they say, no, that's

1 a global fee, but there's nothing about these same 2 expenses. 3 JUDGE RIVERA: The facility fee, the bricks and mortars, is that what we're talking about? 4 5 MR. MICHAEL: Well, that's part of it. JUDGE RIVERA: The structure itself or is 6 7 it also as you're saying, the equipment - - - what -8 - - what - - - what are you arguing is a facility fee 9 supposed to encompass? 10 MR. MICHAEL: It covers all of it. 11 Everything that's not covered in the professional fee, for lack of a - - - of a better definition. 12 13 that would - - - that would be all the overhead. 14 building, the operating room, the table, the recovery 15 room, the nurses - - -16 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: But why should this 17 particular facility be able to charge that fee, when, 18 as you point out, these are ambulatory services, and 19 hospitals and ambulatory centers can charge them, but 2.0 there's no mention of this particular facility. 21 MR. MICHAEL: Sure, the reason why accredited office-based surgery providers should be 22 23 able to charge and recover them, is for the simple 2.4 reason they fit within the statute; they are

necessary expenses. Now, the regulators, it is true,

have not adopted fees for office-based surgery 1 2 providers, but they haven't updated that schedule 3 since 2003 - - -4 JUDGE PIGOTT: Can we do it? You're asking 5 us to update the schedule? MR. MICHAEL: Absolutely not. One thing 6 7 that's critical to understand in this case, Your 8 Honor, is the question of how much or how little is -9 - - should be reimbursed, is not before the court. 10 In some respect, this case presents a very narrow 11 question: GEICO sought declaratory judgment to 12 immediately halt 167 arbitrations. We have zero - -13 - nothing in the record about those 167 arbitrations, 14 because the Second Department said, you know what, 15 GEICO gets summary judgment before discovery. 16 So the only question here is essentially a 17 yes or no. Are there any circumstances when an 18 accredited office-based surgery provider can charge -19 2.0 JUDGE RIVERA: Isn't - - - isn't there a 21 legislative delegation of quantifying this "all 22 necessary expenses"? Your way is an ad hoc way, 23 right? 2.4 MR. MICHAEL: My way is - - -

JUDGE RIVERA: So one - - - one person

_	might say that that particular expense to that
2	facility is worth, I'll just make up a number, five
3	dollars' worth; someone else may have it for ten
4	dollars; you lose the consistency. Isn't this a
5	legislative delegation of to the regulator to
6	figure out what what is the way to quantify
7	that fee?
8	MR. MICHAEL: I would certainly agree the
9	legislation the regulators ought to act.
LO	They've been sitting on their hands; that is true.
L1	But prior to the Second Department's decision, there
L2	were literally thousands upon thousands of cases
L3	before arbitrators where they did this job just fine.
L4	And as we pointed out in our brief
L5	JUDGE RIVERA: Let me try it another way.
L6	Could the regulator choose not to cover you?
L7	MR. MICHAEL: Sure.
L8	JUDGE RIVERA: I'm saying, that's not their
L9	choice?
20	MR. MICHAEL: Absolutely, absolutely.
21	JUDGE RIVERA: They could make that choice.
22	MR. MICHAEL: They could adopt a fee
23	schedule that says, you know because fee
24	schedules, as the statute says, set a maximum and the

regulators could say, the maximum to charge for an

office-based surgery provider is zero. If they adopted that schedule, we - - - we would lose.

2.0

2.4

JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: But why would they have to adopt a schedule that specifically references office-based surgery? Why don't - - - they have a schedule now that doesn't reference it, so isn't that equivalent of saying you get zero?

MR. MICHAEL: Not at all, and I would - - - I think what's instructive here are the acupuncture cases. Because at least until recently, it was the same situation there. There's a schedule for doctors who do acupuncture and chiropractors who do acupuncture, but no schedule for licensed acupuncturists who are not doctors and not chiropractors. In the insurance department, whose opinion should be given some deference, and every court who addressed the question, legions of courts said, licensed acupuncturists can recover. And the reason they said that is they said, this fits the statutory definition.

JUDGE FAHEY: So are you saying that the procedures in office-based surgeries are equivalent to the procedures in ambulatory surgical care centers or in hospitals? Because it seems to me there's a continuum of procedures and - - - and part of the

rationale for having - - - for not including a 1 2 facility fee as a necessary expense is the nature of 3 an office procedure versus the nature of a hospital 4 or an ambulatory surgical care center procedure. 5 In the chiropractors cases, the procedures 6 were equivalent, they were the same thing. 7 MR. MICHAEL: Sure. Office-based surgery 8 procedures can be essentially the same extent as - -9 10 JUDGE FAHEY: That's not - - - that's not 11 my experience. That's not the way I read this. The 12 way I read this is that there's a rational 13 distinction drawn between these - - - between the 14 kind of procedures that are done, a liposuction 15 office-based surgery, versus knee surgery at a one-16 day ambulatory surgical care center. 17 MR. MICHAEL: Sure. There very well can be distinctions, but no regulator has decided that that 18 19 justifies zero reimbursement for office-based 2.0 surgery. 21 JUDGE FAHEY: Well, it - - - it could be a 22 not unnecessary expense simply because it's already 23 included within fees that are already comp - - -2.4 where you're already compensated.

MR. MICHAEL: Sure, but we - - - we have

the medical fee schedule and it's attached to GEICO's brief and I respectfully urge the Court, look in there, find anything that says expenses are covered. It's not - - - it's not in there. And it would be quite peculiar to have, you know, a doctor who gets 1,000 dollars to do the procedure could get 1,000 dollars to do it in an accredited office-based environment - - - which, by the way, as the amicus brief on our side demonstrates, looks quite a lot like an ambulatory surgery center - - - could get the 1,000 bucks and nothing more - - -

2.0

2.4

JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Do they - - - do the office-based surgery centers pay the same fees that ambulatory centers pay? You said it looks the same, but aren't they regulated differently than office-based surgeries?

MR. MICHAEL: They're regulated somewhat differently, but the cost to run them are very close because the accreditation standards are essentially the same, and it's the same agencies who do it. So they have the same standards that say you have to have this much space around a table, and designated personnel to deal with disease control; all of those detailed regulations, the office-based surgery providers have to deal with that just the same.

1 JUDGE RIVERA: Your light has gone off - -2 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Thank you. 3 4 JUDGE RIVERA: - - - so with the Judge's 5 permission, if I'll - - - I'll just give you the 6 question so you can think about it. I wanted you to 7 address and let your opponent address it too. 68.5 8 refers only to services. Why does it cover a 9 facility? So that's my question, I know you can get 10 that later. 11 MR. MICHAEL: Okay. 12 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Thank you. 13 MR. MICHAEL: Thank you, Judge. 14 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Respondent. 15 MR. LEVY: Good afternoon. May it please 16 the court, Barry Levy for Government Employees 17 Insurance Company. Fundamentally, we have to start with the 18 19 statute in this case, and the one thing that 2.0 Avanguard doesn't read into the statute is the 21 limitation in 5108. They want to talk a lot about 22 what necessary expenses incurred are, but they don't 23 want to talk about what 5108 says, which says that

the limit that's payable for basic economic loss,

which were the necessary expenses incurred, are

2.4

capped at what the fee schedule provides for.

2.0

2.4

JUDGE STEIN: Yeah, yeah, but that talks about amounts, not necessarily what is actually covered, doesn't it?

MR. LEVY: Well, it - - - it - - - what does is it sets forth a framework for within it - - - from within which the analysis is done. So what we have here is an office-based surgical practice which is nothing more than an extension of the doctor's medical practice.

If Your Honors read in - - - the historical reference, OBS has existed for years and years and years before the legislation was adopted here under the public health law. And what OBSs do is they allow doctors to perform certain types of procedures in their office, in an office-based setting. And in the context of doing so, they get paid fees, and those fees were - - - are the professional fees that are in the medical fee schedule that the Workers' Compensation Board has adopted.

And the key about that medical fee schedule is two things. Number one, not only is there reference in the fee schedule to payment for overhead and expenses throughout the course of the fee schedule - - - if, in fact, you look at various

sections in the surgery section and various sections in the radiology section, the interesting thing about Avanguard is the procedures that they do are under fluoroscopic guidance, which is essentially the use of some form of X-ray or ultrasound imaging technology - - - technology, it actually refers to facilities and costs are built into the professional fees.

2.4

And the other interesting, and I think important, piece of this is that there is no variation in the - - - in the Workers' Compensation fee schedule as to the setting in which the service is performed. And I think that that that's key, because other payer systems, like Medicare, pay differing or varying rates to physicians depending on the nature of the setting in which the procedure is formed - - - performed.

JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Your setting is accredited. And what does that do or not do for them?

MR. LEVY: All - - - all the accreditation does is say, you have enough safety measures in place that we, as the Department of Health, believe, and the state of New York believe, allows you to safely do the types of procedures that you are accredited to

1 perform. The physician has to be accredited and the 2 location - - - you - - - his surgical suite in his 3 office - - -4 JUDGE PIGOTT: How much are we talking 5 about in terms of the - - - what are you billed and 6 what are you not paying? I mean, how much are they 7 charging you for this? 8 MR. LEVY: It depends on what they - - -9 well, in this particular case, if you look at the 10 record, I think that the - - - Doctor Gladstein's 11 practice billed 3,500 dollars for doing a - - - for 12 doing a procedure which consisted of a trigger point, 13 which consisted of a facet injection - - -JUDGE PIGOTT: So there's - - - so there's 14 15 his - - - his professional fee and it - - - plus, then, the 3,500 dollars? 16 17 MR. LEVY: He billed 3,500 on top of the 3,700 dollars that he billed for the professional 18 19 fee. 20 JUDGE PIGOTT: In - - - in - - - in past -21 - - if somebody has a broken leg and they go to the 22 doctor and they - - - and they get fixed, you pay 23 that. If - - - if part of the bill is for X-Ray 2.4 Associates, which is really the corporation the

doctor setup for his X-ray machine which is over

here, do you pay that?

2.4

MR. LEVY: If there was a radiology service associated with it, absolutely. As an accredited practice, he uses fluoroscopic guidance. There's a code, and I believe it's 77003, in the radiology fee schedule that actually pays reimbursement for the administration of the fluoroscopic guidance.

JUDGE PIGOTT: The reason I ask you is that if you get into - - - let's assume for a minute you have a - - - a patient who breaks a leg or makes - - - make the threshold to begin a lawsuit, you're a collateral source, but you're not a collateral source for things you don't pay. So - - - so this facility fee that we're talking about, in a plenary action, would be separate and apart from the collateral source that you pay?

MR. LEVY: No, because, Your Honor - - - it's a good question, but no, because 5108 would cap the charges which the - - - which Avanguard could - - - could actually submit to the insurance company, and to the extent that that wasn't payable, they couldn't go ahead and charge the patient for that amount of money because the assignment of benefits that is given by the patient to the - - to the office-based surgical practice, to the physician's practice,

limits them to what's in the fee schedule. There's no balance billing or anything like that. So you don't have that problem that exists in - - - sort of the commercial payer industry that we see from time to time.

2.4

But I think that when we look at the fee schedule, the fee schedule addresses that, and - - - just so we could give sort of an analogy, you know, what we have here is someone - - - what we have is a medical practice that doesn't like what the fee schedule says. This is clear; we wouldn't be here otherwise. But the fact of the matter is that this isn't any - - really - - really any different than a physician office from the standpoint that the overhead that's provided for in the medical fee schedule reimburses for all of the overhead that is reasonably incurred, or the Workers' Compensation Board determines is reasonably incurred, in connection with performing the procedure.

And if we take ourselves back in time a little bit, and we think about the evolution of medicine, years ago, doctors used to go to people's homes, and they used to perform procedures in their homes and, you know, the average doctor would - - - would treat a couple of patients a day - - -

JUDGE PIGOTT: So if this - - - if this was 1 2 a workers' comp case, this would not be covered 3 either? MR. LEVY: It would be the same. 4 The 5 schedule is the schedule. The no-fault laws adopt 6 the workers' compensation fee schedule in the ground 7 rules. 8 JUDGE PIGOTT: So - - - so if - - - if the 9 same scenario happened in a workers' comp case, Dr. 10 Gladstein would not get paid the overhead? 11 MR. LEVY: My understanding is that the 12 Workers' Compensation Board does not provide, in that 13 setting, for reimbursement of facility fees as well. 14 Neither do commercial payers, neither does Medicare 15 or Medicaid. 16 JUDGE PIGOTT: But if workers' comp did, 17 then you would; is that a fair statement? 18 MR. LEVY: If workers' comp - - -19 JUDGE PIGOTT: Paid - - - paid the - - -2.0 MR. LEVY: If there - - - if there was a 21 proviso - - - let's say for example, Your Honor, there was a variable fee in the fee schedule - - -22 23 which is something the regulators could do to address 2.4 the question that you asked, Judge Rivera and Judge

Stein - - - the regulators could create, if they

wanted to, a variable fee schedule that would pay a differing rate depending on the setting in which the procedure is performed. But they've not; they've used an average. They've used an aggregate average. But it applies in - - in the no-fault system, Judge Pigott, it implies in the workers' compensation system. And - - -

2.0

2.4

JUDGE STEIN: Why doesn't the catch-all apply here and why is this different from the chiropractor?

MR. LEVY: All right - - -

JUDGE STEIN: I mean, the acupuncturist.

MR. LEVY: I think that those are pretty
two simple questions to answer, and let me just - - first of all, in terms of the acupuncture cases, the
difference is is that an office-based surgical
practice, in comparison - - in contrast to an
acupuncturist, is - - is not a category of provider
that doesn't have a fee schedule that applies to it.
An office-based surgical practice which is a
physician - - again, Avanguard is a professional
limited liability company owned by a physician - - has a fee schedule that applies to it, and there is a
rate for the procedures in the fee schedule that it
preforms, okay.

With respect to the acupuncture cases, it was a little bit unique, because when the workers' compensation board adopted the fee schedule, the CPT codes for acupuncture, which are 97810, 11, 12 and 13, were adopted. But the regulator didn't put in, for whatever reason, an amount payable to a licensed acupuncturist. But a licensed acupuncturist is a - - an NPI provider, a licensed provider in the state that is entitled to bill under the schedule. So 68.5 filled the gap there.

Here, there is no gap to fill because they

- - if you think about it this way, there are two
boxes. You have your ASCs and your hospitals over
here, and Avanguard is not an ASC, they're not a
hospital, they don't qualify; they are however a
medical practice that - - - to which the medical fee
schedule applies. There's no gap to fill. For every
procedure that they perform, there is a fee payable
to them under the fee schedule - - if you go back
and you look at the codes in the bills which are the
facet injections, the trigger points, 200553, 62311,
all those codes are in the fee schedule and there is
a fee ascribed to each and every one of them.

JUDGE RIVERA: I just - - - I just want to clarify, because this is the question I was asking

your adversary. So 68.5 talks only about services and providers. Why - - - perhaps clarify in a different way why that doesn't include a facility fee.

2.0

2.4

MR. LEVY: Because a facility fee is overhead, Your Honor, and a facility - - -

JUDGE RIVERA: It's not a service?

MR. LEVY: It's not a service. A facility fee is overhead, and when the Workers' Compensation Board adopted the facility fee schedules for hospitals, they look at the overhead that hospitals and ASCs incur and they consider hundreds of other criteria in the context of making that analysis.

Remember, one thing that's important about distinguishing an ASC from an office-based surgical practice is that an ASC has to participate in Medicare and Medicaid. They have to take charity cases, okay. Those are financial obligations that the state has obligated them to undertake. Avanguard essentially want the benefit of the bargain without the burden that comes with it, okay. So I think part of it goes to that question.

The other question is that when you look at what Avanguard actually is, Your Honor, it is Dr.

Gladstein, who has incorporated a professional

limited liability company for the purposes of providing services to his patients in his own office.

And he - - - going back to the example that - - - that I started to talk about a minute ago, he made a decision to create an OBS because he believed that the capital expenditure would improve his practice.

That's no different, in a hypothetical that I - - - I posed before, where you have a doctor who would see patients out in the field, who didn't have an office, would get paid the same as a doctor who had a office.

2.0

2.4

JUDGE STEIN: Let me ask you this, I mean, you talked about the - - - the, you know, the issue of the hospitals and the ambulatory surgical centers having other obligations; they have surcharges, we know they have to provide care - - - they have to accept Medicaid, they have to provide care for uncovered people. But on the other hand, the office-based surgical centers are saying that if you don't reimburse us for these expenses, we're going to be at a - - - at a worst competitive disadvantage and - - - and we're not - - - and nobody is going to want to establish these centers and - - - and therefore the quality of care is going to go down. Is - - - is that - - - is that - - -

MR. LEVY: Well, let's - - - let's talk

about that, because that's really a fallacy. First of all, if you look at the brief that was submitted by the OBSs society, there are a thousand of these, okay. They've existed for - - for twenty or more years. Doctors make the decisions to invest the capital to build the OBSs because there are efficiencies and synergies that generate more revenue for them.

2.4

JUDGE STEIN: Okay, but is this a - - - would this be a change if we said you don't get to recover these fees, or have they been recovering these fees up until now?

MR. LEVY: It's been - - - it's been - - - let me put it this way, inside of the no-fault system, which is only a small fraction of the total payer system in the New York - - in New York State, it's very inconsi - - - it was very inconsistent, okay.

JUDGE STEIN: Okay.

MR. LEVY: But think about 90 percent of the other payer systems like commercial insurers, like Medicaid, like Medicare, like workers' comp, they provide no reimbursement. But nonetheless, we have a thousand of these providers, so obviously - -

1 JUDGE RIVERA: Aren't those policy? Isn't that really about the policy of it, isn't that for 2 3 the legislature to decide whether or not this makes sense at the end of the day to insure delivery of 4 5 services for our communities? 6 MR. LEVY: We agree with you, Your Honor, 7 100 percent. And if you look at what's been going on 8 within the legislature, some of which we cite to in 9 our brief, after the Appellate Division's decision in 10 this case, the legislature, on two separate 11 occasions, tried to pass a bill in which they would 12 try to equate the office-based surgical practice to 13 an ASC. And both times within the legislature, it 14 was unsuccessful. 15 JUDGE RIVERA: But we've also said we don't 16 focus on - - -17 MR. LEVY: Right. 18 JUDGE RIVERA: - - - the legislative 19 process in that sense, right? 2.0 MR. LEVY: I agree. Relevant to the 21 interpretation statute - - - the statutes here, and 22 I'm not talking about Public Health Law 230-d and the 23 way that - - -2.4 JUDGE RIVERA: Uh-huh.

MR. LEVY: - - - the court interprets it.

Quite frankly, we think public health law 230-d is absolutely irrelevant in the context of this analysis. But to talk about the fact that there is a legislative process that is available to - - - to cure what Avanguard believes is an inequality in reimbursement, it's there.

2.0

2.4

There is also a secondary method which is petitioning the workers' compensation fee - - - Workers' Compensation Board for some kind of an uptick in the fee schedule, either because of their accreditation, or changing the reimbursement based on the nature of the setting in which the procedure is performed. Those are avenues that are available - -

JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Wouldn't that be an ad hoc kind of avenue because, as your adversary said, those fee schedules haven't been updated since 2003.

MR. LEVY: That's actually not true, Your Honor. The fee schedule has been updated every other year since - - - since going back time immortal. I should have brought it with me today, but the most recent fee schedule was adopted in 2012. Now, there hasn't been a new fee schedule since 2012, but there has been a lot of ongoing dialogue within the Worker's Compensation Board. And in fact, in July of

2014, they circulated a memo collectively among the community to try to identify a number of issues where, based on response that would come in, they would address these particular issues.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

So this is an evolving process. The acupuncture - - - by the way, the acupuncture change that came in, I think came in in 2008 - - -

JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Uh-huh.

MR. LEVY: - - - in that version of the fee schedule. So not only is there an avenue for them, it - - - it really should be left to the legislature. Our - - - our position is the legislature and the Workers' Compensation Board are the appropriate bodies to leave the question here in light of the statute, in light of the workers' compensation fee schedule, in light of all the other policy considerations that have to be taken into account. Because when the Workers' Compensation Board looks at this, or when the legislature looks at it, it has to look at it in the context of everything else. What disadvantages does this create for ASCs who have spent hundreds of thousands, if not millions of dollars? What is the - - - what is the imposition on the surcharge pool? Do we spread the surcharge pool to the OBSs? Do we make the - - - do we make the

1 OBSs participate in Medicaid and Medicare as a 2 condition of getting a fee? They have no - - if I 3 may just finish this last thought and then I'll - - -I'll conclude. 4 5 OBSs are allowed to open wherever they 6 I can open one on one corner, on the next 7 corner, on the next corner. There's no geographical 8 restriction, there is no limitation, there is no

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

requirement to make a showing of need. All of those factors are relevant for the legislature and/or the regulator to take into consideration, and we don't think that it's for this court to make those kinds of policy determinations in this case when there's been so much regulation around this.

CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Thank you, sir.

MR. LEVY: Thank you, Your Honor.

CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Counsel.

MR. MICHAEL: Thank you.

Judge Rivera, to answer your question from before, 68.5 refers to "A service that is performed which is reimbursable under Section 5102(a)1", so it refers specifically to the statute, I think, quite comfortably supplying the - - -

JUDGE RIVERA: It refers to a service.

MR. MICHAEL: Right, and I think a service

1 certainly includes - - -2 JUDGE RIVERA: Not the costs associated 3 with the service. MR. MICHAEL: Right, and - - - but it 4 5 refers to the statute, right? And I think - - -6 JUDGE RIVERA: Yeah, but it's telling you 7 what category of services to look for, right? 8 MR. MICHAEL: Sure. 9 JUDGE RIVERA: 5102 - - - 02, excuse me, 10 (a)1, to look at sort of the laundry list of the 11 services or the categories of services. MR. MICHAEL: Sure. It - - -12 13 JUDGE RIVERA: It doesn't refer to costs 14 or, more importantly for this - - - this discussion 15 here, 5102(a)1's reference to expenses. 16 MR. MICHAEL: Right. I think quite 17 comfortably, a service can be providing the environment for a surgery. That's what - - -18 JUDGE PIGOTT: Is there a schedule - - - is 19 20 there a schedule that you would be charged that would 21 limit how much you can charge for this? 22 MR. MICHAEL: There isn't one; that's the 23 problem, that the regulators ought to adopt one. 2.4 JUDGE PIGOTT: Well, I - - - assuming they 25 don't, I mean, could you say, you know, my service

charge for my office is 1,400 dollars, or 5,000 dollars?

2.0

2.4

MR. MICHAEL: Well, it goes with the prevailing rate for providers in the locality. And to be clear, these are things that office-based surgery providers have been charging for years, negotiating and paying with insurance companies; they're not some made up arbitrary thing.

JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: So are you using the same fee schedules that the ambulatory centers use?

MR. MICHAEL: No, and that's a mistake in the Second Department opinion. It says that - - - totally false. If you look at record page 544 to 545, that's the only bill in the record; contrary to the Second Department's opinion, it does not seek a - - a repayment under the PAS codes for ambulatory surgery centers. We're not trying to pretend to be an ambulatory surgery center.

CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Counsel, going back to Judge Garcia - - - Judge Rivera's question where you started to describe a service can be and - - -

MR. MICHAEL: It can be supplying the environment for a surgery, because there's a professional corporation where one doctor or another does the service, but then whoever - - - whichever

1 doctor is charging for the service, it's Avanguard 2 Medical Group PLLC that's giving you the building and 3 all the other stuff - - - it's a service just like a 4 service is - - - I paid for my hotel last night; 5 they're providing me a place - - -6 JUDGE PIGOTT: Yeah, but it's the same - -7 - it's the same doctor, right? I mean, we're not 8 fooling anybody. I mean, Dr. Gladstein is the one 9 who is the PLLC. 10 MR. MICHAEL: Right, but his wife doesn't 11 own the office-based surgery practice, and she 12 performs procedures, and she could charge separate -13 - - or somebody else could do it. 14 JUDGE PIGOTT: Right. 15 MR. MICHAEL: Right. And - - - but 16 Avanguard, that particular professional corporation 17 is what provides the sort of housing for it. 18 JUDGE RIVERA: But I again, I - - - I just 19 can't see how - - - how you have that interpretation 20 of 68.5 if - - - if, as you say, its referring back 21 to the statute, and that's where your hook is, when 22 the statute clearly talks about expenses and 23 services; two different things. MR. MICHAEL: Even if - - -2.4

JUDGE RIVERA: Expenses are broader than

1 the services, right? Because you've got the little 2 categories of services. 3 MR. MICHAEL: Sure. I think that what 4 Avanguard is providing can be certainly described as 5 services, but even if not, the question is does it fit within the statute. If that's the case, we get 6 7 reimbursed, and if - - - look, even if the court 8 concludes you don't use this catch-all, Avanguard 9 qualifies in the statute - - -10 JUDGE RIVERA: Well, you know, driving - -11 - driving to the OBS is an expense, right? Do you 12 think that's covered? 13 MR. MICHAEL: No, that's not a necessary medical - - -14 15 JUDGE RIVERA: Why not? I've got to get 16 there. How's the doctor going to get there? 17 MR. MICHAEL: How's the doctor - - -18 JUDGE RIVERA: Or transportation costs. 19 MR. MICHAEL: Right, look if we come up 2.0 with all sorts of crazy additional charges and things 21 like this, the arbitrators are perfectly capable of 22 saying, no one's ever charged us before; you're 23 making it up. They've been doing this for years, and

so to answer your question, Judge Stein, this ties in

- - - is this a change in law or not? I would

2.4

emphasize, by a three to one overruling majority, these were getting paid. This - - - what - - - the only change in the law was the Second Department's decision.

2.0

2.4

JUDGE STEIN: Let me ask you this, were they getting paid under an interpretation - - - the arbitrators' interpretation of these statutes and regs, or were they getting paid under their interpretation of contracts between the providers and the insurance companies?

MR. MICHAEL: Strictly by the interpretation of this statute. The predominant theme, where we were winning below, the arbitrators said, statute says all necessary expenses, these are real expenses, you get paid, period. Because what GEICO had been arguing in thousands upon thousands of cases was this only goes to so-called Article 28 facilities. And the arbitrators rejected that.

JUDGE STEIN: Could - - - could you negotiate for this in your contracts?

MR. MICHAEL: Sure. Sure, and some insurance companies pay and - - - and some don't.

And let me just address, by the way, Mr. Levy mentioned Medicare and Medicaid, what is undisputed is they pay more in professional fees to office-based

surgery providers. Why do they pay more? To cover the expenses, because they know that ambulatory surgery centers and hospitals get an additional facility fee. We don't get that facility fee, so they fixed the anomaly in Medicaid and Medicare, but as the Second Department Decision stands, we have this peculiar result.

2.0

2.4

I used the example before of 1,000 bucks to fix the knee. Why on earth would a doctor do it in an accredited office-based environment when you could walk next door to an ambulatory surgery center, get the same 1,000 bucks with no effort on expenses, because then the ASC can just issue a second 500-dollar bill for its facility fee.

JUDGE RIVERA: So - - - so - - - so what's - - - what is the facility fee? Are you amortizing the bricks and mortars? Are you charging for the ongoing costs of the maintenance of the fee? Is it all of the above?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  MICHAEL: It's all of the above. It's the nurses, the - - -

JUDGE RIVERA: So how are you going to amortize that then over time? I mean, what - - - what's going to keep it consistent? What - - - what prevents, maybe not your client, okay - - -

1 MR. MICHAEL: Sure. 2 JUDGE RIVERA: What prevents one of these 3 OBSs from continuing to try and recoup something 4 that's already been covered in the purchase of the 5 facility? MR. MICHAEL: The ambulatory - - -6 7 JUDGE RIVERA: I mean, how would the arbitrator know that? How would the insurance 8 9 company know that? 10 MR. MICHAEL: Look, the ambulatory surgery 11 centers, they charge this fee every procedure, again, 12 and again, and again, so it's the same issue, and the 13 arbitrators have found ways to find a reasonable fee. 14 They go by what's been charged and accepted before, 15 what's been paid by insurance companies; they found 16 ways to do it and they've been doing it in the 17 overwhelming majority of cases. 18 So I see that my light is on, I would just 19 ask that the court consider these arguments and 20 reverse; thank you for your time. 21 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Thank you, sir. 22 Thank you, counsel. 23 (Court is adjourned) 2.4

## 

## 

2.4

## CERTIFICATION

I, Meir Sabbah, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the Court of Appeals of Government Employees Insurance Co., et al. v. Avanguard Medical Group, PLLC, No. 27 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Signature:

Agency Name: eScribers

Address of Agency: 700 West 192nd Street

Suite # 607

New York, NY 10040

Date: February 17, 2016