1	COURT OF APPEALS
2	STATE OF NEW YORK
3	
4	ODDO,
5	Respondent,
6	-against-
7	No. 9 QUEENS VILLAGE COMMITTEE FOR MENTAL HEALTH FOR JAMAICA
8	COMMUNITY ADOLESCENT PROGRAM, INC.
9	Appellant.
10	20 Eagle Street
11	Albany, New York 12207 January 10, 2017
12	Before:
13	CHIEF JUDGE JANET DIFIORE ASSOCIATE JUDGE JENNY RIVERA
14	ASSOCIATE JUDGE SHEILA ABDUS-SALAAM ASSOCIATE JUDGE LESLIE E. STEIN
15	ASSOCIATE JUDGE EUGENE M. FAHEY ASSOCIATE JUDGE MICHAEL J. GARCIA
16	Appearances:
17	AMY S. WEISSMAN, ESQ.
18	MARSHALL CONWAY & BRADLEY, P.C. Attorneys for Appellant
19	45 Broadway Suite 740
20	New York, NY 10006
21	BRIAN J. ISAAC, ESQ. POLLACK, POLLACK, ISAAC & DECICCO, LLP
22	Attorneys for Respondent 225 Broadway
23	Suite 307 New York, NY 10007
24	110.007
25	Meir Sabbah Official Court Transcriber

1	CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: The next matter on
2	the calendar is appeal number 9, Oddo v. Queens
3	Village Committee for Mental Health for Jamaica
4	Community Adolescent Program.
5	MS. WEISSMAN: May it please the court. My
6	name is Amy Weissman, I represent Queens Village
7	Committee for Mental Health for Jamaica Community
8	Adolescent Program. We request two minutes for
9	rebuttal, please.
10	CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Two minutes, Ms.
11	Weissman?
12	MS. WEISSMAN: Yes.
13	CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Very well.
14	MS. WEISSMAN: Thank you.
15	Queens Village, if it's all right, I'll call
16	them that, owed no duty to Mr. Oddo at the time of this
17	assault. Queens Village's duty was extinguished when it
18	terminated the perpetrator, Mr. Velentzas, from its
19	program, and handed him off to the police.
20	JUDGE RIVERA: Can can Mr. Velentzas
21	
22	CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: How did he come to be
23	placed there?
24	MS. WEISSMAN: He's placed there by a

program called TASC. And it's one of the several

1 units that place de - - -2 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Was he convicted and 3 sentenced there, or was he sent there as a condition 4 of some plea deal; what - - - what were the 5 parameters of his place - - - actual placement? 6 MS. WEISSMAN: He is sent there before any 7 kind of plea deal or conviction. He is sent there as 8 an alternative to incarceration, where he can go, 9 rehabilitate himself, and then after he completes the 10 program is when he would go before the court for any 11 type of sentencing, conviction, or whatever they're 12 going to do with whatever he was charged with at that 13 time. JUDGE RIVERA: So there's no determination 14 15 of criminal liability when he's placed? 16 MS. WEISSMAN: That's correct. He's been 17 arrested, but they haven't made any determinations as to his guilt. 18 19 JUDGE RIVERA: Okay. Can he walk out the 2.0 door? 21 MS. WEISSMAN: He can walk out the door, 22 but then he will be discharged from our program. 23 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: And how does that 2.4

occur, counsel, the discharge?

1 MS. WEISSMAN: The discharge from our 2 program is, we notify TASC, because that was the 3 referring agency, and we let them know - - - he is 4 made aware, upon orientation, that he has to report 5 to TASC the following business day, depending on what day it is, if it was a weekday, then it would be that 6 7 day. 8 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: But what's your 9 process when someone is terminated from the program? 10 MS. WEISSMAN: Because this was a TASC 11 program, our process would be to terminate him, kick 12 him out, he would then have to report to TASC, and we 13 would, of course, notify TASC immediately that he has 14 been discharged from the program. 15 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: But what are your 16 requirements to - - - to accomplish that termination 17 of your relationship with him? 18 MS. WEISSMAN: We let him know, you have 19 been terminated from the program. 2.0 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: And do you fill out 21 paperwork, do you report to the court, do you report 22 23 MS. WEISSMAN: Ordinarily, we would fill 2.4 out paperwork that would be faxed over to TASC, as

well as a phone call to TASC. This situation was a

little bit different because it occurred on a weekend, and Mr. Velentzas had violated not one, but two of our cardinal rules, which means automatic termination. He had been drinking, and then he was involved in an altercation.

2.0

2.4

Before the altercation, we attempted to send him to the faith intermission - - - the mission, which, because it was a weekend, and TASC was not open, and he had been drinking, but that - - - when he got into an altercation, we notified - - - we called 911, and he was taken by the police and removed from our property.

JUDGE STEIN: So - - - so the understanding is, is someone is discharged on a weekend, TASC is not informed until the following Monday, say - - -

MS. WEISSMAN: That's correct, Your Honor.

If it's a weekend, TASC would be informed 9 a.m.

Monday morning.

JUDGE STEIN: And that's the understanding of the court, and probation, and everybody else, that even though they're not supposed to leave, if they leave or if they are discharged for any reason, that's - - - that's - - - the beginning and the end of your duty is to tell them to report, and to notify TASC on the following Monday.

MS. WEISSMAN: Yes. But in this situation, 1 2 we went above and beyond our duty, because we 3 contacted 911, like any agency or individual is permitted to do, and handed him over to the police 4 5 who removed him. 6 JUDGE RIVERA: I'm sorry, I thought you 7 were waiting to send him somewhere else. 8 MS. WEISSMAN: We were - - -9 JUDGE RIVERA: You were in the process of 10 doing that. Isn't that your policy? 11 MS. WEISSMAN: We were in the process of removing him someplace else, just because he had been 12 13 drinking. 14 JUDGE RIVERA: Um-hum. 15 MS. WEISSMAN: We could have allowed him to 16 go on his own, but because he had been drinking, we 17 were notifying the Interfaith Mission. But when he got into the altercation, we called 911. 18 19 JUDGE RIVERA: I'm sorry, just to clarify, 2.0 so you're saying he was drunk at the time he got into 21 the altercation - - -22 MS. WEISSMAN: Yes. He - - -23 JUDGE RIVERA: - - - or he had just been 2.4 drinking? 25 MS. WEISSMAN: He had been drinking.

don't - - -

2.0

2.4

JUDGE RIVERA: So it's your position if he was drunk, and he walked out the door, you wouldn't have done anything until Monday and informed TASC that he was drunk and walked out the door?

MS. WEISSMAN: That is how it works, yes.

JUDGE FAHEY: This is - - - was - - - this was a - - - this is an OR release, right, in criminal court, drug court, OR release, and this is a condition of his release, that he participate in the TASC program. That's what we're talking about here - - -

MS. WEISSMAN: Absolutely.

JUDGE FAHEY: - - - right?

MS. WEISSMAN: If he doesn't participate, then he is a subject to whatever the - - -

JUDGE FAHEY: But my point is, it's an OR release for somebody who's committed a criminal act, so that means they're on their own recognizance, and then they agree to a contract, in essence, with TASC, and the court, and the drug - - and the court, and they say, I'll participate in this, and then when - - after you finish, then you'll come back, and if you successfully completed it, then the court will consider that, and usually you get a reduced sentence

1	to either violation, of misdemeanor, or something.
2	Is it
3	MS. WEISSMAN: That is a hundred percent
4	correct; that's how it works.
5	JUDGE FAHEY: That's so that's what
6	we got here.
7	MS. WEISSMAN: Yes.
8	JUDGE FAHEY: So so this person isn't
9	a prisoner.
10	MS. WEISSMAN: Correct.
11	JUDGE FAHEY: Right. He's just like
12	everybody else on OR release. If they're not a
13	prisoner, they they've assumed this condition
14	though on their release, like any other condition on
15	your release, like say, you can't go out at night, or
16	any other normal kind of bail condition that might be
17	
18	MS. WEISSMAN: You have to abide by the
19	rules
20	JUDGE FAHEY: Right.
21	MS. WEISSMAN: participate in the
22	programs that are offered, attend counseling,
23	whatever the
24	JUDGE FAHEY: So leaving the prisoner
25	question aside, and really, we're focusing on the

gaps in the program referral system, when something happens on the weekend. You see what I'm saying, from - - -

MS. WEISSMAN: I do see what you are saying.

2.4

JUDGE FAHEY: - - - from a liability point of view.

MS. WEISSMAN: But my client has their marching orders, and they did that, and they took it one step further and called 911, and he was removed from our property from the police. And all of the case law cited by the lower courts and - - - the lower court, the Appellate Division, and the respondent, for example, Fox v. Marshall, and Rivera v. New York City Health, there is - - - they are distinguishable from this case in that one, you're dealing with medical facilities, and you're dealing with medical doctors who have evaluated the patient, and who have engaged them in conversation.

You're also dealing with individuals who are under their control in the sense that they haven't been discharged from the facility that they're at, whether they are treating outpatient or inpatient, some of these cases rely on day passes, where a doctor said, it's okay to give them a day

pass, and they can go out, and - - - and they kill somebody, which is not the situation here. Okay. There was no day pass, he had been terminated from the program.

2.0

2.4

Nor was there a doctor. These are counselors that are in alternative to incarceration. There was no doctor providing any kind of professional judgment; the duty was extinguished. As soon as 911 was called, he was terminated from our program, and he was removed from our property.

JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: You mentioned earlier,

I think in response to a question by Judge Stein,

that he - - - or maybe Judge Rivera - - - that he

could walk out the door. In other words, he could go

AWOL.

MS. WEISSMAN: Correct.

JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: And what - - - and you would follow the same, I guess, protocol of, you've already told this person that when they leave the program, however they leave the program, if they're leaving it permanently, that they have to report the next business day to TASC, and then you would - - - you would inform TASC about that.

MS. WEISSMAN: Correct. They're - - - they're made aware, upon their initiation into the

program, that if they leave, then they're subject to 1 2 whatever that criminal judge may do. They've lost 3 their chance for an alternative to incarceration. 4 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: But you're no longer 5 responsible for them, is what you're - - -MS. WEISSMAN: Correct. If we - - - if - -6 7 - to put the onus on my agency to follow up with 8 every single individual who, you know, walked out of 9 the facility, or who was released to the police, or 10 was released to another - - - it would be an 11 impossible task. Where would - - -12 JUDGE STEIN: But isn't it your position 13 that you don't have any right or authority to keep them from walking out the door? 14 15 MS. WEISSMAN: We do not. They could walk out the door, and our - - - our duty is to notify the 16 17 agency who sent them, in this case, it would be TASC, and let them know. 18 19 Thank you very much. 2.0 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Thank you, counsel. 21 Mr. Isaac. MR. ISAAC: Good afternoon. My name is 22 23 Brian Isaac - - - sorry. I represent the plaintiff 2.4 respondent. 25 If I can, I - - - I just want to give you -

1 2 JUDGE RIVERA: How do they have any 3 control, if - - - if the person who is on - - - in the facility can just walk out the door? 4 5 MR. ISAAC: Well - - - I - - - I don't - -6 7 JUDGE RIVERA: And they can't stop them. 8 That's it. They're not - - - they have no authority 9 to do so. 10 MR. ISAAC: Judge Rivera, I'm happy you 11 brought that, because I don't agree with that. So 12 let me - - - let me deal directly with that, if I 13 can, and let me give you the page references as well. 14 JUDGE RIVERA: Um-hum. 15 MR. ISAAC: Okay. Page 83 of the record, 16 paragraph 23. This is Mr. Cottingham. Now, Mr. 17 Cottingham had very, very limited knowledge of what was transpiring, if you read his deposition, which I 18 19 know you did. He answered "I don't know" more than 20 he answered "I know", probably 70 times, but we'll 21 leave that alone. 22 "Q. Are they free to leave the facility at 23 any time? 2.4 "A. No."

Carry over to page 87. Then they go into

1	the particulars. Paragraph 4.
2	"Q. The one exit that is not
3	And this gets to my adversary's point too,
4	so I'll deal with both of them.
5	"The one exit that is not an
6	emergency or fire exit, is there somebody posted at
7	that exit to prevent residents from walking out?
8	"A. When you say prevent, what do you
9	mean? Define prevent for me.
10	"Q. Control access of getting in or
11	getting out of a residence.
12	"A. No, no one can physically control
13	anyone entering or leaving the building.
14	"Q. Are residents allowed to leave the
15	building through that main entrance or exit?
16	"A. Only with staff approval."
17	Now, I will absolutely agree
18	JUDGE RIVERA: Yes, but that would mean
19	they wouldn't be discharged. Right. Isn't
20	isn't that that, yes, the only way they can do that
21	is if we approve it. But once they walk out without
22	our approval, they're they're out of the
23	program, and we don't have control. At least that's
24	what I thought I heard counsel explain.

MR. ISAAC: And - - - and - - - and I

1 understand the point. 2 JUDGE RIVERA: And why isn't that aligned 3 with what you've just read in the record? 4 MR. ISAAC: Because - - - because when - -5 - when they're saying that they can walk out, this is an alternative - - - as Judge Fahey said, this is an 6 7 alternative to prison. What we're doing is we're 8 taking younger people with substance abuse, and by 9 the way, he failed TASC twice before. 10 We're trying to make sure they're not 11 hardening criminals. There's no bar there, there's 12 no gun there, there's no person there who's going to 13 shoot somebody, but they're not free to leave. It's 14 the same thing as the federal prison system, where 15 they talk about - - -16 JUDGE RIVERA: Well, they're not free to 17 leave without consequences. 18 MR. ISAAC: Correct. 19 JUDGE RIVERA: The question is whether or 2.0 not they can stop them. And their position is - - -21 MR. ISAAC: And - - and - -22 JUDGE RIVERA: - - - we can't stop them. 23 There are consequences if they leave without 2.4 permission.

MR. ISAAC: That's true. And my argument

1 is not that they should supplant the police. I know 2 the Judge Saxe, in his decision, went a little far 3 and said it's almost a plaintiff's recovery, and I 4 know my adversary had said that - - - that's not my 5 position at all. 6 My position is that you should do here what you did in the Davis case. This is a question of 7 8 when they come, and I'm not saying they don't have 9 the right - - -10 JUDGE STEIN: But in Davis - - - at least 11 in Davis, we know who had to be warned; it was the 12 patient who had to be warned. And as you know, I 13 didn't agree with Davis - - -14 MR. ISAAC: Yes. I know. 15 JUDGE STEIN: - - - but that's - - - that's 16 the law. 17 MR. ISAAC: I read your - - -18 JUDGE STEIN: But - - - so we knew who had to be warned. Here, if we were to accept your 19 2.0 argument, how - - - wouldn't the - - - whoever had to 21 be warned depend on the circumstances of the moment? 22 In this case, you say it should be the police. 23 if the police weren't called? 2.4

And - - - and are we imposing a duty when

the police are involved, but when the police aren't

```
involved, I mean, it - - - to me - - -
 1
 2
                    MR. ISAAC: No, it's - - -
 3
                    JUDGE STEIN: - - - it seems like it - - -
 4
          it's - -
 5
                    MR. ISAAC: Judge Stein, if I can - - -
 6
                    JUDGE STEIN: - - - there's just no limit
 7
          to this.
 8
                    MR. ISAAC: If I can, I can even use - - -
 9
          using Judge Saxe's dissent, which I - - - I didn't -
10
          - - actually, I should have read the page a little
11
          better for you. It's a very limited duty. Tell the
12
          police, this is a person who is here, this is our - -
13
          - this is our system, we take him to - - -
14
                    JUDGE STEIN: Okay.
15
                    MR. ISAAC: - - - emission - - -
16
                    JUDGE STEIN: But that's - - - but he - - -
17
                    MR. ISAAC: - - - they didn't do that.
18
                    JUDGE STEIN: But here, the police were
19
          called. But - - - but wouldn't we discourage them
20
          from calling the police if we imposed a duty when
21
          they called the police, but not other - - - if - - -
22
          if he walked out the door, who are we going to warn,
23
          but if we called the police because we're concerned
2.4
          about something, then we have this additional duty.
25
          It just doesn't make sense to me.
```

1 MR. ISAAC: Yeah, but, they - - - they said 2 what the duty is, not me. Brian Isaac doesn't - - -3 I don't even do criminal work. They said it. If you look at their - - -4 5 JUDGE STEIN: They said the duty was to 6 notify TASC - - -7 MR. ISAAC: They - - -8 JUDGE STEIN: - - - on the next business 9 day. And just to follow up on Judge Fahey's 10 question, what we have here is a person that was 11 released by the court, and presumably, the court took 12 into consideration his background, that he had failed 13 TASC before, whatever he was charged with, whatever 14 his criminal background was, and said, you know what, 15 we're confident enough that this guy is going to play

How is this any different from somebody in his position, who is told to abide by a curfew of his parents? I mean, what - - - you know.

by the rules, so we're releasing him, and - - - but

he's got this duty.

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. ISAAC: Let - - - let me - - - that me ask it both - - - let me deal with both ways. The police, which you're concerned about, and the nonpolice, which you're also concerned about, and you should be.

1 When the police come, the police get a 911 2 They have absolutely no idea who Mr. Velentzas 3 is, and the argument below, as adumbrated in Judge 4 Sweeny's decision, was that they should have none. 5 JUDGE STEIN: What if the police took him 6 into custody, took him into custody, and then decided 7 to release him an hour later. Then - - -8 MR. ISAAC: I have - - -9 JUDGE STEIN: - - - then was the 10 obligation? 11 MR. ISAAC: We have never said, and I am 12 not saying that JCAP has the authority or the duty to 13 override the police; that was never our claim. claim - - -14 15 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: So if the police were 16 to - - - to come, and they were to tell him there was 17 evidence that they told the police officer, he's in 18 violation, he's being discharged. What's the 19 authority of the police? 2.0 MR. ISAAC: Well - - - well, all you would 21 have to do, all JCAP would have to do to satisfy 22 their duty was to say, very simply, this is a person 23 who is out on this program, he was - - - he violated 2.4 the conditions of the program, our - - - our position

is that we would take him to this intermediary faith

1 mission - - -2 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Where does that duty 3 come from, though, Mr. Isaac? 4 MR. ISAAC: Sorry? 5 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: I'm trying to figure 6 out where that duty comes from. 7 MR. ISAAC: Well, it's simple. Actually, 8 it comes directly from the Davis decision. Let me 9 read to you. I mean, it's almost the same thing. 10 Quote - - - this is at 26 N.Y.3d 577. 11 "Defendants are the only ones who could 12 provide the proper warning." In this case, JCAP is 13 the only one - - -14 JUDGE FAHEY: Yeah, you know, the only 15 problem is - - - is - - - I don't know if I'm reading 16 Davis the same way you are, Mr. Isaacs. You know, 17 let me - - -MR. ISAAC: Well, I got a problem - - - I 18 got a problem then, Judge Fahey - - -19 20 JUDGE FAHEY: Yeah, yeah - - -21 MR. ISAAC: - - - because you wrote it. 22 JUDGE FAHEY: I think you do, because in 23 fairness to you, I - - - I didn't want to sit here 2.4 and not say anything, but I - - - I don't remember if 25

I'm reading it the same way you are. And let me tell

you why, anyway.

2.4

First, I see Davis as a duty to warn patients - - -

MR. ISAAC: Um-hum.

JUDGE FAHEY: - - - who injure a third party of the effects on medication, and secondly, specifically in Davis, we say there is no duty to prevent the patient from leaving the hospital.

And that, the language that you're quoting talks about the development of duty, and that's true, and I stand quite strongly behind that in the development of this duty, but we try to be quite careful in distinguishing and limiting Davis to a particular circumstances and particular set of relationships.

These are not - - - this is not a medical relationship; they don't have that kind of relationship here. And so I - - - I don't think

Davis provides the grounding that you need.

When I'm looking here, I think I - - - I 
- - the question ask myself is, what duty is the

Appellate Division attempting to impose here. First,

are they attempting to impose the duty that Velent 
- - to hold Velentzas in custody, that can't be doing

that, because - - -

1	MR. ISAAC: No, I don't I don't think
2	so.
3	JUDGE FAHEY: there's and you
4	are not arguing with that.
5	MR. ISAAC: I am not.
6	JUDGE STEIN: No. And so, the second point
7	is is, are they do they have a duty to
8	tell the police that he's in TASC, and that he has
9	either got to be detained or transferred to another
10	facility. Well, that's a closer question, I I
11	think that's where you would land. Am I right about
12	that?
13	MR. ISAAC: Hundred percent.
14	JUDGE FAHEY: Okay. Go ahead and make your
15	argument then.
16	MR. ISAAC: And I'd like and
17	and I
18	JUDGE FAHEY: Because it's hard for me to
19	see what legal authority they'd have at all here to
20	hold Velentzas against his will.
21	MR. ISAAC: It's
22	JUDGE FAHEY: They have no legal authority.
23	MR. ISAAC: It's
24	JUDGE FAHEY: So the only question is if
25	there's a civil duty. So

1 MR. ISAAC: Right. And - - - and actually, 2 it's not just me, it's Mr. Cottingham. Look at what 3 he says at 133, paragraph 9, of his affidavit. I 4 didn't say it. "JCAP was under the impression", I 5 don't think you can get summary judgment under the 6 impression, but leave that alone. 7 JUDGE FAHEY: Um-hum. MR. ISAAC: "That Mr. Velentzas would be 8 9 taken to the police station until such time as his 10 probation and TASC officer were notified of the 11 situation." That's a straight duty to warn. 12 Also, Judge Saxe referred to a piece of 13 paper that was a TASC form - - -14 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: I - - - I'm sorry, Mr. 15 Isaac, I'm back to - - -16 MR. ISAAC: Yes. 17 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: - - - warning the 18 police what - - - that this person is in TASC, or 19 that he - - - they already know why they're there. 2.0 They were called - - - the police were called because 21 he violated the rules of this facility. And you're 22 saying that once the police arrive, that the facility 23 had to say, you know, this person is in TASC, so you 2.4 have to do something with him. I - - -

MR. ISAAC: No, not just - - - not that

1 he's in TASC, even more specific. Look, let me - - -2 if - - - if I can - - -3 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Why - - -4 MR. ISAAC: - - - Judge Abdus-Salaam, you 5 know, we keep talking about this as being kind of a minor thing. He was - - - he - - - he is in TASC the 6 7 third time for something that's not too minor, at 8 least to my way of thinking, put a gun to someone's 9 head and say, get me money or I'm going to blow your 10 head off, that's not a minor thing. 11 So all that they had to say was, he's in 12 TASC, we have this procedure, and if you look at 387 13 and 389, they don't say he's not dangerous, they say 14 he is dangerous, and his danger is augmented when 15 he's drunk. So let's - - - let - - - let me give you 16 a ridiculous - - -17 JUDGE RIVERA: And then what's the point, 18 they're - - -MR. ISAAC: Let me - - -19 20 JUDGE RIVERA: - - - they're going to take 21 him to the precinct, what - - -22 MR. ISAAC: Just to tell - - -23 JUDGE RIVERA: - - - what are they going to 2.4 do? 25 MR. ISAAC: To tell them, either take him

1	to precinct, or contact TASC, or cont or take
2	him to Faith Mission. It's a very limited duty.
3	JUDGE RIVERA: If this had happened on the
4	Monday
5	MR. ISAAC: Excuse me?
6	JUDGE RIVERA: Let me try it a different -
7	if this had happened on a Monday, and they
8	and they discharged him, and he walks out the door -
9	
10	MR. ISAAC: Um-hum.
11	JUDGE RIVERA: and the called TASC,
12	is it your position that they also had to call the
13	police?
14	MR. ISAAC: No. No. If it was a Monday,
15	they didn't. The police are involved because it's a
16	weekend. So that's an unfort
17	JUDGE RIVERA: So they called TASC on
18	Monday, it would be
19	MR. ISAAC: Right. And
20	JUDGE RIVERA: it would be fine.
21	MR. ISAAC: That's right. But that
22	that's in accordance, if I can, Judge Rivera, with
23	their own duties. Look at 316 of the record. This -
24	
25	JUDGE STEIN: But if it was a Monday, and -

1 | | - -

2.4

## MR. ISAAC: Sorry?

JUDGE STEIN: If it was a Monday, and your argument is that this happened within a half an hour of his leaving, then how would this - - - how would - - having warned the police or - - - if it was Monday, you say they don't have to warn the police, they just have to call TASC. So how would that have prevented this from happening?

MR. ISAAC: Because this notion that he can --- if --- if --- even if I lose the case, I don't want to lose it on this fact. The notion that he's just free to go out, and he gets a free pass till Monday, isn't in accordance with the record.

TASC gets notified. Let's say that this person didn't have a home, he just can't walk out and go anywhere. If he was in prison, and he committed a crime - - -

CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: So what should they have done, counselor?

MR. ISAAC: They should have given a note - they should have told the police, he's in the
program, he's a potential danger, we would've taken
him to Faith Mission or contacted TASC. That's all
they had to do.

1 JUDGE FAHEY: But what - - - what basis would the police have - - -2 3 MR. ISAAC: And - - -4 JUDGE FAHEY: - - - listen, normally, what 5 can the police do? They can either arrest you - - -MR. ISAAC: Um-hum. 6 7 JUDGE FAHEY: - - - nobody's bringing 8 charges against them, they're just saying, get him 9 off this facility. So they're not doing that. 10 they could detain you, but that - - - how - - - on 11 what basis with they detain him? They have no right to detain anybody. The police can't just say, you 12 13 can't even force him to maintain - - - to keep him in 14 the wrong facility under the OASAS regs, so how could 15 the police detain the kid in any way? 16 So in that context, basically, what they 17 can do is remove him from the scene, unless they see a criminal act in front of them. 18 19 MR. ISAAC: But there was a report. I 20 mean, there was a 911 report that he was involved in 21 a fight, and he was drinking. So that's not legal, 22 and - - -23 JUDGE FAHEY: But - - - but nobody said - -2.4 - nobody signed any complaint for any criminal 25 charges.

1 MR. ISAAC: No, I'm not suggesting that 2 they were, but that's not the point. JCAP shouldn't 3 be able to - - - I'm sorry. I see my time is up, but 4 can I answer Judge Fahey's question? 5 JUDGE FAHEY: No - - -6 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: You may. 7 MR. ISAAC: JCAP - - -8 JUDGE FAHEY: I'll let you answer it, but 9 you see what I'm saying. What you're saying is, you 10 want the police to determine there's been a violation 11 of his bail conditions. 12 MR. ISAAC: I just want the police to do 13 exactly what JCAP would have done for the protection of the public for someone who would otherwise 14 15 probably be in jail. 16 JUDGE FAHEY: I see. 17 MR. ISAAC: Because the in - - - the public 18 is still innocent, and they still have a right to be 19 protected. 20 And I get that it's a very, very good 21 thing, and I believe in it, that you shouldn't try to 22 make young people hardened criminals, but there's a 23 corresponding duty to the public who is sitting out

there, not doing anything wrong, not to give someone

a free pass in something like this.

2.4

And an oral modification that you take - -1 2 - an oral warning that could take twenty-five 3 seconds, I don't think it's too - - - is too great a 4 leap to have. 5 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Thank you, counsel. 6 MR. ISAAC: Thank you. 7 CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Ms. Weissman. 8 MS. WEISSMAN: May it please the court. 9 Respondent can't have it both ways. 10 this had been a Monday, and we discharged him, and 11 didn't call the police, we'd have to notify TASC; 12 who's to say that this wouldn't happen? But then our 13 duty would be extinguished. We went above and 14 beyond; we notified the police, and didn't just 15 discharge him to the public - - -16 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: Well, you had a duty 17 to notify the police, didn't you? 18 MS. WEISSMAN: We had a - - - no. Our duty 19 was to discharge him from the program, and our duty 2.0 was to notify TASC, and in this case, it would've 21 been the next business day. JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: But did - - - did you 22 23 have some internal rule that if somebody breaks a 2.4 cardinal rule of your program, that they get

discharged, but if he assaulted one of your

1 participants, co-participants, would you call the 2 police? 3 MS. WEISSMAN: Well, in this situation, he didn't - - - he got into an altercation - - -4 5 JUDGE ABDUS-SALAAM: I see. MS. WEISSMAN: - - - is what he did. 6 7 that is why we called the police. But our rule, 8 whether you break a cardinal rule or you're 9 discharged for another reason, it's - - it's 10 discharge, and you notify TASC. So if this had happened on a Monday, and we didn't call the police, 11 12 counsel is arguing that our duty would have been 13 extinguished. But here, because it happens on a 14 weekend, we didn't just disch - - -15 JUDGE RIVERA: What if he's on the 16 premises, he violates you rules, he's on the prem - -17 - he says, I don't want to go. 18 MS. WEISSMAN: Then you would - - -19 JUDGE RIVERA: Do you call in the police to 20 have him removed or arrested, because now he's 21 trespassing, because he's broken one of the rules, 22 and he no longer is able to be on the premises? 23 MS. WEISSMAN: Potentially. But if this 2.4 was a weekday, TASC would be open - - -

JUDGE RIVERA: So what was the 911, if not

to have him removed? 1 2 MS. WEISSMAN: The 911 was to have him 3 removed from the premises - - -JUDGE RIVERA: To have him removed - - -4 5 MS. WEISSMAN: - - - in this situation. 6 JUDGE RIVERA: - - - because he was tres -7 - - at that point, I assume you're arguing he's 8 trespassing. 9 MS. WEISSMAN: Of course. And also because 10 he had engaged in an altercation with somebody else, 11 and we felt that it was better to call the police in 12 an emergency like any other homeowner or agency would 13 do in a situation that was similar. 14 Also, Mr. Cottingham's intent has nothing 15 to do with this. Mr. Cottingham wasn't there that 16 day, and whether he believed he was going to be in 17 police custody or escorted off the premises doesn't matter, because as Judge Saxe said in his dissent, 18 19 what authority does Queens Village JCAP have in 2.0 telling the police what to do. The police are 21 trained, they're responding to a 911 call at a 22 facility that's an alternative to incarceration. 23 Even if we had given instruction, who says 2.4 that - -

JUDGE RIVERA: What's in the record to

Τ	clarify, or what's in the record that demonstrates
2	what you call the reason you call 911, what the
3	police did, that they took him into custody. Where -
4	where is that established by the record?
5	MS. WEISSMAN: In the incident report, it
б	says the police 911 was notified, the police
7	came, and they escorted him off the premises, are the
8	exact words
9	JUDGE RIVERA: In other words, the
10	escorting is the equivalent of they then took
11	the police were then responsible for him, because
12	they're physically removing him from the property.
13	MS. WEISSMAN: Exactly. They removed him -
14	
15	JUDGE RIVERA: Which is what he wanted.
16	MS. WEISSMAN: They removed him from the
17	property. So what Mr. Cottingham's intent was is
18	absolutely no merit here, whatsoever.
19	Thank you, Your Honors.
20	CHIEF JUDGE DIFIORE: Thank you, counsel.
21	(Court is adjourned)
22	
23	
24	

## 

2.4

## CERTIFICATION

I, Meir Sabbah, certify that the foregoing

transcript of proceedings in the Court of Appeals of

Queens Village Committee for Mental Health for

Jamaica Community Adolescent Program v. Oddo, No. 9

was prepared using the required transcription

equipment and is a true and accurate record of the

proceedings.

Signature:

Agency Name: eScribers

Address of Agency: 352 Seventh Avenue

Suite # 604

New York, NY 10001

Date: January 12, 2017