Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit** > **Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

# SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 13 (Silvera, A.)



This Document Relates to:

BARBARA BURNHAM, as Proposed Executrix of the Estate of WILLIAM EDWARD BURNHAM and DOROTHY I. BURNHAM, Individually,

Index No. 190487/2011

**UNOPPOSED SUMMARY** JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowscrve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York

Jordan C. Fox Belluck & Fok.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

546 Fifth Avenue, 5th Floor

New York, New York 10036

/s/ Brian Sorensen, Esq.

Brian Sorensen, Esq.

McEiroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc.

225 Liberty Street, 36th Floor

New York, New York 10281

SO ORDERED.

Honorable Adam Silvera, J. S. C.

MAR 0 1 2023

## NEW YORK COUNTY OI ERK SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **COUNTY OF NEW YORK** IN RE NEW YORK CITY NYCAL ASBESTOS LITIGATION **I.A.S. Part 13** (Mendez, J.) This Document Relates To: Index No.: 190519/2011 BARBARA RUGGIERI, as Administratrix for the **UNOPPOSED SUMMARY** Estate of JOSEPH RUGGIERI, and BARBARA JUDGMENT MOTION AND **RUGGIERI**, Individually **ORDER** X

WHEREFORE, defendant **BLACKMER** requests summary judgment in the aboveentitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against **BLACKMER**, with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is,

ORDERED, that all claims and cross-claims against defendant **BLACKMER** are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

- face time

Lara H. Weissman, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
BARBARA RUGGIERI, as Administratrix
for the Estate of JOSEPH RUGGIERI, and
BARBARA RUGGIERI, Individually
700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Maryellen Connor

Maryellen Connor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Attorneys for Defendant

Blackmer

J.S.C.

150 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, NY 10038

(212) 791-0285

MAR 0 7 2029

{00148570.}

FILED

MAR 21 2023

COUNTY CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 13 (Mendez, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190508/2011

RICHARD ROKOSZAK, as Special Administrator for the Estate of HENRY J. ROKOSZAK

UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **BLACKMER** requests summary judgment in the aboveentitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against **BLACKMER**, with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is,

ORDERED, that all claims and cross-claims against defendant BLACKMER are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

May 11, 2020

Adam Dreksler

Adam S. Dreksler, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
RICHARD ROKOSZAK, as Special
Administrator for the Estate of HENRY J.
ROKOSZAK

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Maryellen Connor

Maryellen Connor, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Attorneys for Defendant

Blackmer

150 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, NY 10038

(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

HON. ADAM SILVERA

J.S.C.

MAR 0 7 2023

NEW YORK COUNTY SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE NEW YORK CITY **NYCAL** ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 46 (Mendez, J.) Index No. 104272/1997 CAROL NELSON, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM J. NELSON SR., and CAROL NELSON. Individually, **UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT** Plaintiffs. MOTION AND ORDER A.C and S., INC., ET AL., including, SUPERIOR BOILER WORKS, INC., Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Superior Boiler Works, Inc. requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against Superior Boiler Works, Inc. with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is ORDERED, that all claims and cross-claims against defendant Superior Boiler Works. **Inc.** are dismissed with prejudice and without costs. Dated: New York, New York 2020 Eva S. Wayne, Esq. Patti Burshtyn, Esq. MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 700 Broadway New York, New York 10038 New York, New York 10003 Attorneys for Defendant Attorneys for Plaintiffs Superior Boiler Works, Inc. William J. Nelson Sr. and Carol Nelson, et al. (212) 791-0285 (212) 558-5500 SO ORDERED, HDNanagbangrisglivera J.S.C.

{00148958.}

## NEW YORK COUNTY OFFICE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK x NYCAL I.A.S. Part 13 IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Silvera, J.) SALLY GROSS, Individually and as Executrix of the INDEX NO.: 115227/2007 Estate of SEYMOUR GROSS, UNOPPOSED Plaintiff, SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER - against -A.W. CHESTERTON, et al., including DEAN PUMP DIVISION. Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant MET-PRO TECHNOLOGIES LLC, A CECO Environmental Company, Successor by Merger to Met-Pro Corporation, on behalf of its DEAN PUMP DIVISION, sued herein as "DEAN PUMP DIVISION" (hereinafter "DEAN PUMP DIVISION") requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defendant DEAN PUMP DIVISION, with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED that all claims and cross claims against defendant DEAN PUMP DIVISION, are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

Nov./// 23 ,2020

Jordan Fox, Est BELLUCK FOX, LLP 546 Fifth Avenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10036 Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) SALLY GROSS, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of SEYMOUR GROSS

(212) 681-1575

Maryollon Canner

Maryellen Connor, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Attorneys for Defendant MET-PRO

TECHNOLOGIES LLC, A CECO Environmental

Company, Successor by Merger to Met-Pro

Corporation, on behalf of its Dean Pump Division

150 Broadway, Suite 600

New York, NY 10038

(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Adam Silvera, J.S.C.

{00155919.}

MAR 0 7 2023

MAR 21 2023

NEW YORK COUNTY

O'LONY SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **COUNTY OF NEW YORK** IN RE NEW YORK CITY **NYCAL ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 13** (Mendez, J.) LINDA BIGAJ, as Executrix for the Estate of LEONARD Index No.: 115015/1998 JOSEPH BIGAJ and LINDA BIGAJ, Individually, Plaintiffs, UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION -V.-AND ORDER A.C. and S., INC., et al., including, J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES CO., Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant, J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY, with prejudice and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is ORDERED, that all claims and cross-claims against defendant J.H. FRANCE **REFRACTORIES COMPANY**, are dismissed with prejudice and without costs. Dated: New York, New York June 09 2020 ls/Jason Weiner Jason Weiner, Esq. Eva S. Wayne, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. MALABY& BRADLEY, LLC 700 Broadway 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10003 New York, New York 10038 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Defendant Leonard Joseph Biggi and Lin J.H. France Refractories Company (212) 558-5500 (212) 791-0285 SO ORDERED, ONDUADAMISILYERA MAR 0 7 2023 J.S.C.

	Mas
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF	NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	NEW YORK  x NYCAL  I.A.S. Part 13  (Silvera, J.)
	x NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	I.A.S. Part 13
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	(Silvera, J.)
	x
GERALD BEERS and JANE BEERS,	INDEX NO.: 190221/2011
Plainti	•
	UNOPPOSED
- against -	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al. DEAN PUMP DIVISION,	, including MOTION AND ORDER
Defend	lants.
	x
WWW.DEDODE & C. L. AREM DE	
	O TECHNOLOGIES LLC, A CECO  (erger to Met-Pro Corporation, on behalf of its
	"DEAN PUMP DIVISION" (hereinafter "DEAN
PUMP DIVISION") requests summary judg	gment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil
	he complaint and cross-claims against defendant
• • •	and after notice to all co-defendants there is no
opposition, it is 'ORDERED that all claims and cross	claims against defendant DEAN PUMP
DIVISION, are dismissed with prejudice and	
Dated: New York New York	
// Nov. 23 . 2020	
11/#	
	Maryellen Cannar
Jordan Fox, Esty BELLUCK & FOX, LLP	Maryellen Connor, Esq.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor	MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC Attorneys for Defendant MET-PRO
New York New York 10036	
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)	• •
GERALD BEERS and JANE BEERS	TECHNOLOGIES LLC, A CECO Environmental Company, Successor by Merger to Met-Pro
(212) 681-1575	TECHNOLOGIES LLC, A CECO Environmental Company, Successor by Merger to Met-Pro Corporation, on behalf of its Dean Pump Division
	TECHNOLOGIES LLC, A CECO Environmental Company, Successor by Merger to Met-Pro Corporation, on behalf of its Dean Pump Division 150 Broadway, Suite 600
	TECHNOLOGIES LLC, A CECO Environmental Company, Successor by Merger to Met-Pro Corporation, on behalf of its Dean Pump Division 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038
	TECHNOLOGIES LLC, A CECO Environmental Company, Successor by Merger to Met-Pro Corporation, on behalf of its Dean Pump Division 150 Broadway, Suite 600
0.1	TECHNOLOGIES LLC, A CECO Environmental Company, Successor by Merger to Met-Pro Corporation, on behalf of its Dean Pump Division 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038 (212) 791-0285
SO ORDERED, Wille M	TECHNOLOGIES LLC, A CECO Environmental Company, Successor by Merger to Met-Pro Corporation, on behalf of its Dean Pump Division 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038

{00155933.}

	E COURT OF THE STAT OF NEW YORK	E OF NEW YO		
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		I.A.S. Part 13 (Mendez, J.)		
This Docu	ment Relates To:		_ x Index No.: 103692/2000	
RALPH S.	ANDALORO and MILLIE	ANDALORO	UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER _ x	
WH	IEREFORE, defendant <b>BL</b> A	CKMER reques	sts summary judgment in the above-	
no oppositi OR dismissed v	on, it is,	cross-claims aga osts.	after notice to all co-defendants there is inst defendant BLACKMER are	
		Maryellen	Conner	
WEITZ & Attorneys Ralph S. Ar 700 Broad	t, NY 10003	MALABY Attorneys Blackmer 150 Broad		
SO ORDEI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Dat	ed: MAR 0 7 2023	
	H <b>HON!"ABAKN</b>	SHLVERA J.S.C.	•	

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **COUNTY OF NEW YORK** IN RE NEW YORK CITY NYCAL ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 13 (Mendez, J.) RALPH S. ANDALORO and MILLIE ANDALORO, Index No.: 103692/2000 Plaintiffs, UNOPPOSED -V.-SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER A.C. and S., INC., et al., including, J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES CO., Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY, with prejudice and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED, that all claims and cross-claims against defendant J.H. FRANCE REFRACTORIES COMPANY, are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 29
2020

Chris Romanelli, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Ralph S. Andaloro, et

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.

MALABY& BRADLEY, LLC

150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038

Attorneys for Defendant

J.H. France Refractories Company

(212)-701-0284

DATED: **Q**m**ADAMdSHSVERA** 

J.S.C.

PAR 0 7 2003

SUPREME COURT	OF THE	<b>STATE</b>	OF N	EW YO	RK
COUNTY OF NEW	VORK				

IN RE

**NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**  NYCAL **I.A.S. Part 13** (Silvera, A.)

Index No.: 190487/2011

BARBARA BURNHAM, as Proposed Executrix of the Estate of WILLIAM EDWARD BURNHAM and DOROTHY I. BURNHAM, Individually

UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant MET-PRO TECHNOLOGIES LLC, A CECO Environmental Company, Successor by Merger to Met-Pro Corporation, on behalf of its DEAN PUMP DIVISION, sued herein as "DEAN PUMP DIVISION" (hereinafter "DEAN **PUMP DIVISION**") requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defendant **DEAN PUMP DIVISION**, with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED that all claims and cross claims against defendant **DEAN PUMP DIVISION**, are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

September 19, 2021

Jordan Fox, Esq. Belluck & Fox, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs 546 Fifth Avenue, 5<sup>th</sup> Fl.

New York, NY 10036 (212) 681-1575

Maryellen Connor

Maryellen Connor, Esq.

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Attorneys for Defendant MET-PRO TECHNOLOGIES LLC, A CECO Environmental Company, Successor by Merger to Met-Pro Corporation, on behalf of its Dean Pump Division 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038

(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Adam Silvera J.S.C.

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW Y OF NEW YORK	ORK  NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK
IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 46 (Billings, J.)
This Docu	ments Relates to:	Index No.: 190440/2011
	N WRIGHT as Administratrix for the WILLIAM WRIGHT	UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
		x

WHEREFORE, defendant BLACKMER hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against BLACKMER, with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED, that all claims and cross-claims against defendant BLACKMER are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

2018-2020

Lucy Dillings, J.S.C.

Adam Raffo, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

Lamorhun

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Maryellen Connor, Esq.

Malaby & Bradley, LLC

Attorneys for Blackmer

150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038

(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED.

HON. ADAM SILVERA

J.S.C.

MAR 0 7 2023

	E COURT OF THE STATE O OF NEW YORK	F NEW YORK		NEW YORK 21 2023	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		I.A	ORK  X  NYCAL  I.A.S. Part 13  (Silvera A.)		
ALFRED	BARCAVAGE,		lex No.: 19022		
Plaintiff, -against-			UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER		
	C., as successor in interest to IT RS, INC., <i>et al</i> .	E CIRCUIT			
	Defendants	•			
Wi	HEREFORE, ABB, INC. (sued h	erein as "ABB, Inc.	, as successor	in interest to ITE	
	eakers, Inc.") (hereinafter "ABB,				
	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice L				
	ns against defendant ABB, Inc.,				
	opposition, it is				
	RDERED that all claims and cros	s-claims against def	endant ABB,	Inc., are dismissed	
	ejudice and without costs.				
Dated:	New York, New York February 22, 2023				
C	harles M. Ferguson	Robert C. M.	alaby		
Charles F Weitz & Attorney. Alfred B 700 Broa	Ferguson, Esq Luxenberg, P.C. s for Plaintiff(s) arcavage	Robert C. Malab Malaby & Bradl Attorneys for Do ABB, Inc. 150 Broadway, S New York, NY	y, Esq. ey, LLC. efendant Suite 600		

 $\{00201075.2\} \\ 4858-4306-1043, \, v. \, 2$ 

### SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE:

NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 13 (Silvera A.)

JOHN ASTRAS,

Plaintiffs.

Index No.: 190057/2013

UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including ABB, INC., as successor in interest to ITE Circuit Breakers, Inc.

#### Defendants.

WHEREFORE, ABB, INC. (sued herein as "ABB, Inc., as successor in interest to ITE Circuit Breakers, Inc.") (hereinafter "ABB, Inc."), requests summary judgment in the aboveentitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the Complaint and cross-claims against defendant ABB, Inc., without prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED that all claims and cross-claims against defendant ABB, Inc., are dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

February 22

,2023

Charles M. Ferguson

Charles Ferguson, Esq Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

John Astras 700 Broadway

New York, New York, 10003

(212) 558-5500

Robert C. Malaby

Robert C. Malaby, Esq. Malaby & Bradley, LLC. Attorneys for Defendant

ABB, Inc.

150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038

(212) 791-0285

MAR 0 7 2023

{00201074.2} 4890-3066-6547, v. 2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE:

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL I.A.S. Part 13 (Silvera A.)

LOUIS ZOLLO and PHYLLIS ZOLLO,

Index No.: 190229/2013

Plaintiff(s),

UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including ABB, INC., as successor in interest to ITE CIRCUIT BREAKERS, INC.

-	~		•		
11	efe	m	40	n	te
		-11	u		13

WHEREFORE, ABB, INC. (sued herein as "ABB, Inc., as successor in interest to ITE Circuit Breakers, Inc.") (hereinafter "ABB, Inc."), requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the Complaint and cross-claims against defendant ABB, Inc., without prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED that all claims and cross-claims against defendant ABB, Inc., are dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

New York, New York

February 22 , 2023

Charles M. Ferguson

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Louis Zollo and Phyllis Zollo

700 Broadway

New York, New York, 10003

(212) 558-5500

Robert C. Malaby

Robert C. Malaby, Esq. Malaby & Bradley, LLC. *Attorneys for Defendant ABB, Inc.*150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038

(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED.

ion. Adam Silvera J.S.C

MAR 0 7 2023

{00201183.2} 4885-7800-9395, v. 2

		X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	NYCAL	
111 1013.	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 13	
		(Silvera A.)	
	E STELLKE, AS EXECUTRIX FOR OF WILLIAM STELLKE AND	THE Index No.: 190	0362/2013
VALERIE	E STELLKE, INDIVIDUALLY,	UNOPPOSED	
	Plaintiff(s),	JUDGMENT ORDER	MOTION AND
	-against-		FILE
-	C., as successor in interest to ITE CII RS, INC., et al.,	RCUIT	MAR 2 1 2023
IJA GASI KA KA			NEW YORK COUN
	Defendants.	X	COUNTY CLERK
Wi	HEREFORE, ABB, INC. (sued herein	as "ABB. Inc., as success	or in interest to ITE
** '	ILICEI OILE, ADD. IIIC. (Saca notoin		•• ••• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Circuit Rr	eakers Inc.") (hereinafter "ARR Inc."		
	eakers, Inc.") (hereinafter "ABB, Inc.	"), requests summary judg	ment in the above-
entitled ac	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law ar	"), requests summary judg nd Rules § 3212, dismissir	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and
entitled ac	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arns against defendant ABB, Inc., witho	"), requests summary judg nd Rules § 3212, dismissir	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and
entitled accross-clair there is no	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arns against defendant ABB, Inc., without opposition, it is	"), requests summary judg nd Rules § 3212, dismissir ut prejudice, and after not	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and ice to all co-defendants
entitled ac cross-clain there is no	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arms against defendant ABB, Inc., witho opposition, it is RDERED that all claims and cross-claims	"), requests summary judg nd Rules § 3212, dismissir ut prejudice, and after not	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and ice to all co-defendants
entitled accross-clain there is no Of without pr	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arms against defendant ABB, Inc., witho opposition, it is RDERED that all claims and cross-claims and without costs.	"), requests summary judg nd Rules § 3212, dismissir ut prejudice, and after not	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and ice to all co-defendants
entitled accross-clain there is no Of without pr	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arms against defendant ABB, Inc., without opposition, it is RDERED that all claims and cross-claims and without costs.  Jew York, New York	"), requests summary judg nd Rules § 3212, dismissir ut prejudice, and after not	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and ice to all co-defendants
entitled accross-clain there is no Of without pr	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arms against defendant ABB, Inc., witho opposition, it is RDERED that all claims and cross-claims and without costs.	"), requests summary judg nd Rules § 3212, dismissir ut prejudice, and after not	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and ice to all co-defendants
entitled accross-clain there is no Of without pr Dated: N	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arms against defendant ABB, Inc., without opposition, it is  RDERED that all claims and cross-claims and	"), requests summary judg nd Rules § 3212, dismissir ut prejudice, and after not	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and ice to all co-defendants
entitled accross-clain there is no OF without properties Note that the control of	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arms against defendant ABB, Inc., without opposition, it is RDERED that all claims and cross-claimejudice and without costs.  Jew York, New York  February 22  , 2023	"), requests summary judg nd Rules § 3212, dismissir ut prejudice, and after not	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and ice to all co-defendants
entitled accross-clain there is no Of without pr Dated: N  Charles Fer	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arms against defendant ABB, Inc., without opposition, it is  RDERED that all claims and cross-claims and	"), requests summary judg nd Rules § 3212, dismissir ut prejudice, and after not ms against defendant ABI	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and ice to all co-defendants
entitled accross-clain there is no Of without pr Dated: N Charles Fer Weitz & Lu	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arms against defendant ABB, Inc., without opposition, it is  RDERED that all claims and cross-claimejudice and without costs.  Jew York, New York  February 22 , 2023   Jew M. Ferguson  Guson, Esq  xenberg, P.C.  M. M. Ferguson  Roy Plaintiff(s)	"), requests summary judg and Rules § 3212, dismissing the prejudice, and after not the management of the prejudice of the pr	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and ice to all co-defendants
entitled accross-clain there is no Of without pr Dated: N Charles Fer Weitz & Lu Attorneys for	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arms against defendant ABB, Inc., without opposition, it is  RDERED that all claims and cross-claims and	"), requests summary judg and Rules § 3212, dismissing the prejudice, and after not the management of the properties of	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and ice to all co-defendants
entitled accross-clain there is no Of without pr Dated: N Charles Fer Weitz & Lu Attorneys for Walerie Stee William Stee	rion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arms against defendant ABB, Inc., without opposition, it is  RDERED that all claims and cross-claims and	"), requests summary judg and Rules § 3212, dismissing the prejudice, and after not the management of the prejudice of the prejudice, and after not the management of the prejudice, and after not the management of the prejudice	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and ice to all co-defendants
entitled accross-clain there is no Of without pr Dated: N Charles Fer Weitz & Lu Attorneys for Valerie Stel William Stel	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arms against defendant ABB, Inc., without opposition, it is  RDERED that all claims and cross-claims and	"), requests summary judg and Rules § 3212, dismissing the prejudice, and after not the management of the prejudice, and after not the management of the prejudice, and after not the management of the prejudice, and after the prejudice of the pr	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and ice to all co-defendants
entitled accross-clain there is no Of without pr Dated: N Charles Fer Weitz & Lu Attorneys for Valerie Ster William Ster 700 Broadv New York,	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arms against defendant ABB, Inc., without opposition, it is  RDERED that all claims and cross-claimejudice and without costs.  Item York, New York February 22, 2023  Rosenberg, P.C.  For Plaintiff(s)  Itee, as Executrix for the Estate of Elike, et al.  New York, 10003	"), requests summary judg and Rules § 3212, dismissing the prejudice, and after not the management of the prejudice of the prejudice, and after not the management of the prejudice, and after not the management of the prejudice	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and ice to all co-defendants
entitled accross-clain there is no Of without pr Dated: N Charles Fer Weitz & Lu Attorneys for Valerie Stel William Stel	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law arms against defendant ABB, Inc., without opposition, it is  RDERED that all claims and cross-claimejudice and without costs.  Item York, New York February 22, 2023  Rosenberg, P.C.  For Plaintiff(s)  Itee, as Executrix for the Estate of Elike, et al.  New York, 10003	"), requests summary judged Rules § 3212, dismissing the prejudice, and after not the many many many defendant ABI and the many defendant ABI and the many defendant and the many many many many many many many many	gment in the above- ng the Complaint and ice to all co-defendants

 $\substack{\{00201181.2\}\\4857\text{-}7621\text{-}4323, \text{ v. 2}}$ 

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF OF NEW YORK			
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	-	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 13 (Silvera A.)	
JOHN L.	OLIVERI,		Index No.: 190	032/2013
	Plaintiff(s),			SUMMARY MOTION AND
ABB, INC	-against- , as successor in interest to ITE	CIRCUIT	ORDER	FILE
	RS, INC., et al.,			MAR 2 1 2023
	Defendants.		x	NEW YORK COUNTY COUNTY CLERK
WI	IEREFORE, ABB, INC. (sued her			r in interest to ITE
Circuit Bre	akers, Inc.") (hereinafter "ABB, I	nc."), request	ts summary judgm	ent in the above-
entitled act	ion, pursuant to Civil Practice Lav	w and Rules	§ 3212, dismissing	g the Complaint and
cross-clain	ns against defendant ABB, Inc., w	ithout prejud	ice, and after notic	ce to all co-defendants
there is no	opposition, it is			
OR	DERED that all claims and cross-	claims again	st defendant ABB	, Inc., are dismissed
without pro	ejudice and without costs.			
Dated:	New York, New York February 22, 2023			
	erles M. Ferguson erguson, Esq		? Malaby	
Weitz & 1	Luxenberg, P.C.  for Plaintiff(s)	Malaby & I	Malaby, Esq. Bradley, LLC. For Defendant	
John Olis 700 Broad		ABB, Inc.	or Defendam	
	k, New York, 10003	150 Broady New York, (212) 791-0		
SO ORDE		) 		MAR 0 7 2023
	Hon. Adam Silvera J.S.C.			

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF N OF NEW YORK	EW YOR	K	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		NYCAL I.A.S. Part 13 (Silvera A.)	
JUSTIN C	G. MURPHY and ARLENE MUR	PHY,	x Index No.: 190	0429/2013
	Plaintiff(s), -against-		UNOPPOSED JUDGMENT ORDER	SUMMARY MOTION AND
including	TH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., o ABB, INC., as successor in interes BREAKERS, INC. Defendants.			MAR 2 1 2023  NEW YORK COUNT COUNTY CLERK
WH	IEREFORE, ABB, INC. (sued herei	in as "ABB	x, Inc., as successo	or in interest to ITE
Circuit Bre	eakers, Inc.") (hereinafter "ABB, Inc	e."), reques	ts summary judgr	nent in the above-
entitled act	ion, pursuant to Civil Practice Law	and Rules	§ 3212, dismissin	g the Complaint and
cross-clain	ns against defendant ABB, Inc., with	out prejud	ice, and after noti	ce to all co-defendants
there is no	opposition, it is			
OR	DERED that all claims and cross-cl	aims again	st defendant ABB	, lnc., are dismissed
without pro	ejudice and without costs.			
Dated:	New York, New York February 22, 2023			
Charles F Weitz & I Attorneys Justin G. 700 Broa	k, New York, 10003	Robert C Malaby & Attorney: ABB, Inc 150 Broa New Yor	dway, Suite 600 k, NY 10038	
SO ORDE	A.L. A	(212) 79		MAR 0 7 2023

	COURT OF THE STATE OF OF NEW YORK	NEW YORK  MAR 21 E
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NEW YORK   X  NYCAL  I.A.S. Part 13  (Silvera A.)  X  NEW YORK COUNTY COUNTY CLERK
EUGENE	MCGRATH and EILEEN MCG	
	Plaintiff(s),	UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
	-against-	ORDER
•	., as successor in interest to ITE RS, INC., et al.,	CIRCUIT
	Defendants.	
WI	IEREFORE, ABB, INC. (sued her	rein as "ABB, Inc., as successor in interest to ITE
		nc."), requests summary judgment in the above-
		w and Rules § 3212, dismissing the Complaint and
		ithout prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants
	opposition, it is	
		claims against defendant ABB, Inc., are dismissed
	ejudice and without costs.	
Dated:	New York, New York February 22 , 2023	
Attorneys EUGENI MCGRA 700 Broa	dway k, New York, 10003	Robert C. Malaby Robert C. Malaby, Esq. Malaby & Bradley, LLC. Attorneys for Defendant ABB, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038 (212) 791-0285

{00201144.2} 4887-9975-5598, v. 1

NEW YORK COUNTY SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **COUNTY OF NEW YORK** IN RE: **NEW YORK CITY NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 13 ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Silvera A.) Index No.: 190380/2013 GEORGE W. KLEIN and NANCY KLEIN, **UNOPPOSED SUMMARY** Plaintiff(s), JUDGMENT MOTION AND -against-ORDER A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including ABB, INC., as successor in interest to ITE CIRCUIT BREAKERS, INC., Defendants. WHEREFORE, ABB, INC. (sued herein as "ABB, Inc., as successor in interest to ITE Circuit Breakers, Inc.") (hereinafter "ABB, Inc."), requests summary judgment in the aboveentitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the Complaint and cross-claims against defendant ABB, Inc., without prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is ORDERED that all claims and cross-claims against defendant ABB, Inc., are dismissed without prejudice and without costs. New York, New York Dated: February 22 , 2023 Charles M. Ferguson Robert C. Malaby Charles Ferguson, Esq. Robert C. Malaby, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Malaby & Bradley, LLC. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Attorneys for Defendant George W. Klein and Nancy Klein ABB, Inc. 700 Broadway 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York, 10003 New York, NY 10038 (212) 558-5500 (212) 791-0285 MAR 0 7 2023

{00201146.2} 4878-5645-8035, v. 2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK **NEW YORK CITY** NYCAL IN RE: **I.A.S. Part 13 ASBESTOS LITIGATION** (Silvera A.) Index No.: 190452/2013 CHARLES KATUNA and ADELE KATUNA, UNOPPOSED SUMMARY Plaintiff(s), JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER -against-A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including ABB, INC., as successor in interest to ITE CIRCUIT BREAKERS, INC., Defendants. WHEREFORE, ABB, INC. (sued herein as "ABB, Inc., as successor in interest to ITE Circuit Breakers, Inc.") (hereinafter "ABB, Inc."), requests summary judgment in the aboveentitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the Complaint and cross-claims against defendant ABB, Inc., without prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is ORDERED that all claims and cross-claims against defendant ABB, Inc., are dismissed without prejudice and without costs. New York, New York Dated: February 22 \_\_\_\_, 2023 Charles M. Ferguson Robert C. Malaby Charles Ferguson, Esq. Robert C. Malaby, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Malaby & Bradley, LLC. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Attorneys for Defendant Charles Katuna and Adele Katuna ABB, Inc. 700 Broadway 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York, 10003 New York, NY 10038 (212) 558-5500 (212) 791-0285 MAR 0 7 2023

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Adam Silvera J.S.C.

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF OF NEW YORK		^	EW MAR 21	EL
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		NYCAL I.A.S. Part 13 (Silvera A.)	MAR 2 1 COUNTY CLE	NATA PAKTA
EDWARE KACHOO	B. KACHOCKI and NANCY CKI, Plaintiff(s), -against-		Index No.: 190 UNOPPOSEI		
	C., as successor in interest to ITE RS, INC., et al.,	CIRCUIT			
	Defendants.	<b>.</b>			
WH	HEREFORE, ABB, INC. (sued he	rein as "ABB, l	nc., as success	or in interest to	ITE
	eakers, Inc.") (hereinafter "ABB, I				
	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice La				
	ns against defendant ABB, Inc., w				
	opposition, it is				
OR	NDERED that all claims and cross-	claims against	defendant ABI	B, Inc., are dism	nissed
	ejudice and without costs.				
Dated:	New York, New York February 22, 2023				
Charles F Weitz & Attorneys EDWAR KACHO 700 Broa	dway k, New York, 10003	Robert C. Malaby & Br. Attorneys for ABB, Inc. 150 Broadwa New York, N (212) 791-02	alaby, Esq. radley, LLC. r Defendant  y, Suite 600  IY 10038		
SO ORDE	ERED, Hon Adam Silvera J.S.C.	Dated	- Sign	MAR 0 7	2023

{00201144.2} 4892-1534-6995, v. 2

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF I OF NEW YORK	NEW YORK
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NEW YORK  NYCAL  I.A.S. Part 13  (Silvera A.)
WILLIAM JENKINS	A JENKINS and MARGUERITE, Plaintiff(s), -against-	
including	TH WATER PRODUCTS CO., of ABB, INC., as successor in interest BREAKERS, INC.	et al., est to ITE
	Defendants.	X
WI	HEREFORE, ABB, INC. (sued her	ein as "ABB, Inc., as successor in interest to ITE
		nc."), requests summary judgment in the above-
		v and Rules § 3212, dismissing the Complaint and
		thout prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants
	opposition, it is	
	• •	claims against defendant ABB, Inc., are dismissed
	rejudice and without costs.	
Dated:	New York, New York February 22, 2023	
	larles M. Ferguson	- Robert C. Malaby
Weitz & Attorney William 700 Broa	rk, New York, 10003	Robert C. Malaby, Esq. Malaby & Bradley, LLC. Attorneys for Defendant ABB, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, NY 10038 (212) 791-0285
SO ORD	ERED, Ulle 1	MAR 0 7 2023

 $\{00201142.2\} \\ 4878-8994-6931, v. 2$ 

Hon. Adam Silvera J.S.C.

NEW YORK COUNTY SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK **NYCAL NEW YORK CITY** IN RE: I.A.S. Part 13 ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Silvera A.) X Index No.: 190145/2013 WILLIAM FARMER, **UNOPPOSED SUMMARY** Plaintiff(s), JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER -against-ABB, INC., as successor in interest to ITE CIRCUIT BREAKERS, INC., et al., Defendants. WHEREFORE, ABB, INC. (sued herein as "ABB, Inc., as successor in interest to ITE Circuit Breakers, Inc.") (hereinafter "ABB, Inc."), requests summary judgment in the aboveentitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the Complaint and cross-claims against defendant ABB, Inc., without prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is ORDERED that all claims and cross-claims against defendant ABB, Inc., are dismissed without prejudice and without costs. New York, New York Dated: February 22 , 2023 Charles M. Ferguson Robert C. Malaby Charles Ferguson, Esq NEW YORK COUNTY Robert C. Malaby, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Malaby & Bradley, LLC. COUNTY CLERK Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Attorneys for Defendant William Farmer ABB, Inc. 700 Broadway 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York, 10003 New York, NY 10038 (212) 558-5500 (212) 791-0285 MAR 0 7 2023

Hon. Adam Silvera J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	•
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  JOHN C. HOWREN, JR., Individually and as Executor of the Estate of VICKI H. HOWREN, deceased	Index No. 190263/11  UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Pacifudgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to Cludismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defend with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendants there is ordered to the complaint of the complete statement of the complete s	vil Practice Law and Rules § 3212 lant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc. s no opposition, gainst defendant Sur-Seal Gasket &
DATED: 3/3/2023	an & Lonardo
	inardo Defendant iket & Packing, Inc. nnehey, Warner,
SO ORDERED,  Hon, Adam Silvera J.S.C.	Road, Suite 303

MAR 2 1 2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF I COUNTY OF NEW YORK	NEW YORK
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LI	TIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	Index No. 190437/11
ARTHUR LETTOW	
	UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
dismissing the complaint and cross-claims with prejudice, and after notice to all co-de ORDERED, that all claims and or Packing, Inc., are dismissed with prejudice	cross claims against defendant Sur-Seal Gasket &
DATED: 3/3/2023	Quan Disakdo
Attorneys for Plaintiff Belluck & Fox LLP	Anha M. DiLonardo  Attorneys for Defendant
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor	Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc.
New York, NY 10036	Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
	Coleman & Goggin 105 Maxess Road, Suite 303
$\boldsymbol{\rho}$	Melville, NY 11747
( م ا	
SO ORDERED,	
Han Adam Cil	TOPO IS C MAD 4 / 2000

MAR 2 1 2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF N	EWYORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LIT	IGATION	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	Index No.	190448/11
EDWARD RAGUSIN and LUCILLE RAGU		1004 1011
		SED SUMMARY NT MOTION ER
dismissing the complaint and cross-claims with prejudice, and after notice to all co-de ORDERED, that all claims and or Packing, Inc., are dismissed with prejudice	fendants there is no opposit	tion,
Attorneys for Plaibtiff Belluck & Fox LLP 546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor New York, NY 10036  SO ORDERED,	Anna M. DiLonardo Attorneys for Defendant Sur-Seal Gasket & Packir Marshall, Dennehey, War Coleman & Goggin 105 Maxess Road, Suite Malville, NY 11747	rner,
Hon. Adam Si	vera J.S.C.   MAR 1 4 2	023

MAR 2 1 2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: DONALD SEWARD CAMPBELL	Index No. 190319/10  UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Pa judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to Ci dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defend with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendants there is against the prejudice and without co-packing, Inc., are dismissed with prejudice and without co-packing.	vil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., s no opposition, gainst defendant Sur-Seal Gasket &
DATED: 3/3/2023	n. D. Loras do
New York, NY 10036 Marshall, De Coleman & G	oriardo Defendant sket & Packing, Inc. nnehey, Warner,
SO ORDERED,  Hon, Adam Silvera J. S.C.	

MAR 2 1 2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	•
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  MARICA KRIVICIC Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of CARLO KRIVICIC, deceased	Index No. 190095/08  UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Parjudgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to Cidismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defend with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendants there is ordered and cross claims against defendants there is ordered and cross claims against defendants there is ordered and cross claims against defendants and cross claims against defendants there is only ordered and cross claims against defendants and cross claims against defendants there is ordered and cross claims against defendants and cross claims against defendants there is ordered action, pursuant to Cidismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defendants there is only ordered action, pursuant to Cidismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defendants there is only ordered action, pursuant to Cidismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defendants there is only ordered action, pursuant to Cidismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defendants there is only ordered action, pursuant to Cidismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defendants and cross-claims against defendants there is only ordered action.	vil Practice Law and Rules § 3212 dant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., s no opposition, gainst defendant Sur-Seal Gasket &
DATED: 3/3/2023	n Otodaledo
New York, NY 10036 Marshall, Der Coleman & G 105 Maxess I	onardo Defendant sket & Packing, Inc. nnehey, Warner, loggin Road, Suite 303
SO ORDERED,  Hon. Adam Silvera J.S.C.	NR 1 4 2023

MAR 2 1 2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	•
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:  JOSEPH BARRESI and MARIA ROSE BARRESI	Index No. 190084/08  UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Pacifudgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to Cividismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defend with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendants there is ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendants and cross claims against defendants there is ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendants are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.	ril Practice Law and Rules § 3212, ant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., s no opposition, ainst defendant Sur-Seal Gasket &
New York, NY 10036  Marshall, Der Coleman & G 105 Maxess F Melville, NY  SO ORDERED,	Defendant ket & Packing, Inc. inehey, Warner, oggin Road, Suite 303

MAR 2 1 2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ARTHUR R. COFFEY and PATRICIA C. COFFEY	Index No. 190077/08  UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Pac judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to Cividismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defendate with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendance of the complaint and cross-claims against defendance of the complai	I Practice Law and Rules § 3212 ant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc. no opposition, ainst defendant Sur-Seal Gasket &
New York, NY 10036 Marshall, Deni Coleman & Go	Defendant tet & Packing, Inc. nehey, Warner, oggin oad, Suite 303
SO ORDERED, Hon. Adam Silvera J.S.C.	IAR 1 4 20023

MAR 2 1 2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	•
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	Index No. 190069/09
BURTON FEINSTEIN and ROSALUND M. FEINSTEIN	(11d0x 140, 100000)
	UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Pace Judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to Cividismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defends with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendants there is ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendants there is ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendants there is ORDERED.	il Practice Law and Rules § 3212 ant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc. no opposition, ainst defendant Sur-Seal Gasket 8
DATED:	n. Questdo
Attorneys for Plaintiff Anna M. DiLo	nardo
Belluck & Fox.LLP Attorneys for I 546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor Sur-Seal Gasl	Defendant ket & Packing, Inc.
New York, NY 10036 Marshall, Den	nehey, Warner,
Coleman & Go 105 Maxess R Melville NY 1 SO ORDERED, Hon. Adam Silvera J.S.C.	load, Suite 303
	R 1 4 2023

MAR 2 1 2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Index No. 190025/2008 NANCY COOPER, as Executrix of the Estate of HERMAN ZEH, deceased and FRANCES ZEH. Individually UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER WHEREFORE, defendant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212. dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defendant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendant Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc., are dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 3/3/2023 DATED: Attorneys for Plaintiff Anna M. DiLonardo Belluck & Fox LLP Attorneys for Defendant 546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc. New York, NY 10036 Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin 105 Maxess Road, Suite 303 Melvitle, NY, 11747 SO ORDERED. Hon, Adam Silvera J.S.C. MAR 1 4 2023

FILED

MAR 2 1 2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	<del>nen</del>
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: VICTOR A, TODD	Index No. 109984/08
	UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Pajudgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to C dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defen with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims a	ivil Practice Law and Rules § 3212 dant, Sur-Seal Gasket & Packing, Inc. is no opposition,
Packing, Inc., are dismissed with prejudice and without co	
Attorney's for Plaintiff  Anna M. DiL	
546/Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor Sur-Seal Ga New York, NY 10036 Marshall, De Coleman & C	sket & Packing, Inc. ennehey, Warner, Goggin Road, Sulte 303
SO ORDERED,  Hon. Adam Silvera J.S.C.	MAR 1 4 2023

MAR 2 1 2023

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY		NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	**	IAS PART 13
This Document Pertains To the Matter of		(Silvera, A.)
MADOADETIMAN	E Choppes	Index No.: 117992/2002
MARGARET IZYK, as Executrix for the IZYK, and MARGARET IZYK, Individu		
	, ,	UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
Plaintiffs,		JUDGMENT MOTION AND
-against-		ORDER
·		
A.C. and S., INC., et al.,		
Defendant		
·	X	
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK	X	einafter "VIKING"), hereby requests
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK summary judgment in the above-entitled	CING PUMP, INC., (her	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK	CING PUMP, INC., (her	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK summary judgment in the above-entitled dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against V	X  KING PUMP, INC., (her  d case, pursuant to Civil in the control of the co	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK summary judgment in the above-entitled dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against V ORDERED, that, upon notice to	CING PUMP, INC., (her d case, pursuant to Civil VIKING with prejudice, the all co-defendants, and absorber.	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, there being no opposition thereto; tent any opposition, all claims and cross-
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK summary judgment in the above-entitled dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against V  ORDERED, that, upon notice to claims against VIKING, be and are hereb	CING PUMP, INC., (her d case, pursuant to Civil VIKING with prejudice, the all co-defendants, and absorber.	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, there being no opposition thereto; tent any opposition, all claims and cross-
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK summary judgment in the above-entitled dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against V ORDERED, that, upon notice to	CING PUMP, INC., (her d case, pursuant to Civil VIKING with prejudice, the all co-defendants, and absorber.	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, here being no opposition thereto; ent any opposition, all claims and cross-
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK summary judgment in the above-entitled dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against V ORDERED, that, upon notice to claims against VIKING, be and are hereb Dated: February 14, 2023	CING PUMP, INC., (her d case, pursuant to Civil VIKING with prejudice, the all co-defendants, and absorber.	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, here being no opposition thereto; ent any opposition, all claims and cross-
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK summary judgment in the above-entitled dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against V ORDERED, that, upon notice to claims against VIKING, be and are hereb Dated: February 14, 2023 New York, New York	CING PUMP, INC., (her d case, pursuant to Civil VIKING with prejudice, the all co-defendants, and absorber.	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, there being no opposition thereto; tent any opposition, all claims and cross-
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK summary judgment in the above-entitled dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against V  ORDERED, that, upon notice to claims against VIKING, be and are hereb  Dated: February 14, 2023 New York, New York  Charles M. Ferguson	XING PUMP, INC., (her d case, pursuant to Civil of VIKING with prejudice, the all co-defendants, and absorpt dismissed with prejudice.	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, here being no opposition thereto; ent any opposition, all claims and crossee and without costs.
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK summary judgment in the above-entitled dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against VORDERED, that, upon notice to claims against VIKING, be and are hereboated:    February 14	XING PUMP, INC., (her d case, pursuant to Civil VIKING with prejudice, the all co-defendants, and absorption dismissed with prejudice Mark K. Hsu, Es HAWKINS PAR	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, here being no opposition thereto; ent any opposition, all claims and crosse and without costs.  Q. NELL & YOUNG LLP
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK summary judgment in the above-entitled dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against V ORDERED, that, upon notice to claims against VIKING, be and are hereb Dated: February 14, 2023 New York, New York  Charles W. Ferguson Charles Ferguson, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff	XING PUMP, INC., (her d case, pursuant to Civil VIKING with prejudice, the all co-defendants, and absorption dismissed with prejudice Mark K. Hsu, Es HAWKINS PAR Attorneys for De	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, here being no opposition thereto; ent any opposition, all claims and crosse and without costs.  q.  NELL & YOUNG LLP fendant
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK summary judgment in the above-entitled dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against V ORDERED, that, upon notice to claims against VIKING, be and are hereb Dated: February 14, 2023 New York, New York  Charles M. Ferguson Charles Ferguson, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway	XING PUMP, INC., (her d case, pursuant to Civil VIKING with prejudice, the all co-defendants, and absorption dismissed with prejudice Mark K. Hsu, Es HAWKINS PAR Attorneys for De 275 Madison Av	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, here being no opposition thereto; ent any opposition, all claims and crossee and without costs.  q.  NELL & YOUNG LLP fendant enue, 10th Floor
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK summary judgment in the above-entitled dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against V  ORDERED, that, upon notice to claims against VIKING, be and are hereb Dated: February 14, 2023 New York, New York  Charles M. Ferguson Charles Ferguson, Esq.	XING PUMP, INC., (her d case, pursuant to Civil VIKING with prejudice, the all co-defendants, and absorption dismissed with prejudice Mark K. Hsu, Es	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, here being no opposition thereto; ent any opposition, all claims and crosse and without costs.
WHEREFORE, Defendant VIK summary judgment in the above-entitled dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against V ORDERED, that, upon notice to claims against VIKING, be and are hereb Dated: February 14, 2023 New York, New York  Charles W. Ferguson Charles Ferguson, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff	XING PUMP, INC., (her d case, pursuant to Civil VIKING with prejudice, the all co-defendants, and absorption dismissed with prejudice Mark K. Hsu, Es HAWKINS PAR Attorneys for De	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, here being no opposition thereto; ent any opposition, all claims and crosse and without costs.  q. NELL & YOUNG LLP fendant enue, 10th Floor

MAR 1 4 2077-

HON. ADAM SILVERA

NEW YORK COUNTY

COUNTY CLERK

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF OF NEW YORK		K K	NEW MAR 2
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		NYCAL I.A.S. Part 13 (Silvera A.)	NEW YORK COUNTY CLE
PETER J.	PRANZO and RACHELA PR		Index No.: 190235	5/2014
	Plaintiff(s),		UNOPPOSED SU	
	-against-		JUDGMENT MO ORDER	OHON AND
including	TH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ABB, INC., as successor in inte			
	Defendants.		v	
WI	HEREFORE, ABB, INC. (sued he		x, Inc., as successor ir	interest to ITE
Circuit Bro	eakers, Inc.") (hereinafter "ABB,	Inc."), reques	ts summary judgmen	t in the above-
entitled ac	tion, pursuant to Civil Practice La	w and Rules	§ 3212, dismissing th	e Complaint and
cross-clair	ns against defendant ABB, Inc., v	vithout prejud	ice, and after notice t	o all co-defendant
there is no	opposition, it is			
OF	RDERED that all claims and cross	-claims again	st defendant ABB, In	c., are dismissed
without pr	ejudice and without costs.			
Dated:	New York, New York February 22, 2023			
	éarles M. Ferguson	– <u>Robert C</u>	?. Malaby	
	Ferguson, Esq Luxenberg, P.C.		Malaby, Esq.	
Attorney	s for Plaintiff(s)	•	Bradley, LLC. For Defendant	
700 Broa	<b>Pranzo and Rachela Pranzo</b> Idway	ABB, Inc.	vov Suita 400	
New Yor	k, New York, 10003		way, Suite 600 NY 10038	
(212) 558	8-5500	(212) 791-		

SO ORDERED,

Hon Adam Silvera I S C

MAR 0 7 2023

 $\begin{array}{c} \{00201169.2\} \\ \text{4887-2893-8803, v. 2} \end{array}$ 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	YORK  **  NYCAL I.A.S. Part 13 (Silvera A.)			
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 13 (Silvera A.)			
NEIL J. CURTIN JR. and ROBERTA P. CURT	<del></del>			
Plaintiff(s), -against-	UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER			
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including ABB, INC., as successor in interest to ITE CIRCUIT BREAKERS, INC.				
Defendants.	x			
WHEREFORE, ABB, INC. (sued herein as	s "ABB, Inc., as successor in interest to ITE			
Circuit Breakers, Inc.") (hereinafter "ABB, Inc."),	requests summary judgment in the above-			
entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and	Rules § 3212, dismissing the Complaint and			
cross-claims against defendant ABB, Inc., without	prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants			
there is no opposition, it is				
ORDERED that all claims and cross-claims against defendant ABB, Inc., are dismissed				
without prejudice and without costs.				
Dated: New York, New York  February 22, 2023				
Charles Ferguson, Esq Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) Neil J. Curtin Jr. and Roberta P. Curtin 700 Broadway New York, New York, 10003 New York, 558,5500	chert C. Malaby Dert C. Malaby, Esq. Depth & Bradley, LLC. Depth of Defendant B, Inc. Broadway, Suite 600 W York, NY 10038 2) 791-0285			

Dated:

MAR 0 7 2023

 $\substack{ \{00201077.2\} \\ 4870\text{-}6779\text{-}7811, \, v. \, 2}$ 

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Adam Silvera J.S.C.--