SCANNED ON 1/15/2013

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);

2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: CHARLES J. LYNCH and HELEN LYNCH, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No. 190059/12 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 10, 2012

Philip J. O'Rourke, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Charles J. Lynch and Helen Lynch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld: _____

4815-9645-9790.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: JEFFREY A. ZAYAS and SUSAN ZAYAS, Plaintiffs, -against- PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 107102/02 11/15/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/22/12

Steven Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 1863.6066

~~Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.~~
Attorney for Plaintiff
Jeffrey A. Zayas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10005
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: LEONID M. LIBERMAN and DINA LIBERMAN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No. 190057/12 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
29 February, 2012

Philip J. O'Rourke, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Charles J. Lynch and Helen Lynch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

Sent - Rcvd & Dtd:

4851-5795-1502.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

SANTOS ASSENZIO and ANNATOLIA ASSENZIO, **Index No. 190008-2012**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MARCH 15, 2012

Michael P. Roberts, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Robert M. Gilmartin, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

JOSEPH P. MCCORT and MARTHA MCCORT, **Index No. 190286-2011**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER, LLC,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler, LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2012

Christopher Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Matthew Straus, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler, LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

JOSEPH P. MCCORT and MARTHA MCCORT, **Index No. 190286-2011**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPROATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2012

Christopher Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Matthew Straus, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by Merger To CBS Corporation, F/K/A Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shelly Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Royal Blue

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS V. CARROLL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 106364/03 &
100232/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89895

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN CARBONE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102899/03 &
100741/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89939

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES JOSEPH DUPLESSIS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 128019/02 &
105959/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89877

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DAVID DAVIDSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 127555/02 &
102362/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89791

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL A. LUCADAMO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 116293/04 &
100234/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89898

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 20..
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES E. KNAPP

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 128019/02 &
105942/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/14, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89879

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES E. REMINGTON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105932/03 &
128019/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89881

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

Sent - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

DONALD M. MUELLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 116057/05

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.92456

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH A. MANCUSO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 107457/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90935

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL J. RUSSO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100864/03 &
108768/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.90037

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RICHARD VOSSELER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 128024/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89885

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH P. MCCORT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 190286/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 3, 2012

Chris Romanelli, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Karen M. Lager, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473. 92145

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARTIN S. ARONSON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 126686/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 6, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89113

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GAISTER A. BELL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 126687/02 &
104635/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89114

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARMEN BRASERO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 126686/02 &
103999/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 10, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89117

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
JOHN F. CASSIDY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 126934/02 &
104417/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89119

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH SYRACUSE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 124444/02 &
127679/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 6, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88980

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CONRAD F. WAHL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 112127/02 &
107169/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 6, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88986

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GILBERTO ORTIZ ARCE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 127677/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89112

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SKH - Hova & Dld:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES E. MAYBEE

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 126935/02 & 104166/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 6 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89239

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dkt'd

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES MASTIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 113481/02 &
107401/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 6, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88965

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL W. RICKARD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 101768/03 &
124489/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 16 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88967

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT FLAHERTY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104011/03 &
126686/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89161

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Ltd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CAHILL J. BLANEY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 126681/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89115

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARTIN ROTHSTEIN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 126935/02 &
104155/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89257

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Adv'd & Dism.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES W. HOLECEK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 126687/02 &
104623/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89227

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - nova & BMI

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY J. HAYWARD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 126037/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89223

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
JOHN H. MCGINNIS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 126685/02 &
103333/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89240

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

STEPHEN M. SHEDLOW

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 107086/01
119379/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 6, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.85678

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL PATRICK GILL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104174/03 &
126935/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/12 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89164

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - AGVD & DM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK MONASTERO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 127406/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89241

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HERBERT SUSSMAN and LENORE SUSSMAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 190105/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Caterpillar, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Caterpillar, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Caterpillar, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 16, 2012

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Caterpillar, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 1028.93280

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD J. FERRARO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 126687/02 &
104639/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89127

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012
FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THOMAS FESHOH

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104638/03 &
126687/02 & 110744/06

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 6, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89128

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 13 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELBERT M. FULGHAM

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 127408/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 6, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89162

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 13 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY J. HAYWARD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 126037/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89223

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

Clerk - notified and:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANIEL HOWARD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 126686/02 &
104014/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 16 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89228

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT LOUIS LINDARS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 126935/02 &
104168/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 6, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89235

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LEONARD MARKS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 126937/02 &
105605/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89238

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

Entered & Ord:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LAMAR CHANDLER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 126681/02 &
103530/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 6, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89122

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RENATO JOSEPH CHIRLES

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 126935/02 &
104184/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 6, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89123

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hova & Dia.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
JOHN R. SALTZER, SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 107100/02 &
124268/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 16 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.88969

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH P. McCORT and MARTHA McCORT,

Index No.: 190286-11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter **"ELECTROLUX"**), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
4/4, 2012

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.
Individually and as Successor to Tappan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

DONALD W. RIDDELL and SHIRLEY RIDDELL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No. 113565-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

417

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

FRANCIS J. RUSSO and MARIE RUSSO,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 11-190348

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 21, 2012

BY: _____
~~WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.~~
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212)558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Peter Tambini, Esq.

BY: _____
Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER & BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

DONALD W. RIDDELL and SHIRLEY RIDDELL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No. 127345-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

ROBERT C. MCDONALD and ELISABETH MCDONALD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 111778-04

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/4/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq. John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

KENNETH EGGENBERGER and LILA EGGENBERGER,

Index No.: 190408-11

Plaintiffs,

- against –

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan (hereinafter **"ELECTROLUX"**), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
4/10, 2012

Richard White, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to Tappan
and Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012
SAH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

KENNETH EGGENBERGER and LILA EGGENBERGER,

Index No.: 190408-11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., SPIRAX SARCO, INC.,

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **SPIRAX SARCO, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
4/10, 2012

Richard White, Esq. SCHD
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
SPIRAX SARCO, INC.
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SCH Rcvd & Dtd

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:** **ROBERT FOGLIETTA,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190463/10** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **WAFIK TAWFIK,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190327/11** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
HERMAN SKOLNICK, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 190122/11** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:** **EDWARD J. MALLOY,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190145/11** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hon. & Ord.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
ALBERT MAYCE, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 190117/11**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SAH - Revd & Dtd:

CLB55688/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ERICH SZILLUS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 105562/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dana Northcraft,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

4/8/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH-

CLB55971/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

PEGGY LOFTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 106692/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dana Northcraft,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

4/8/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Entd

CLB56476/legal/nosjm/

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CHUN HING LEE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190082/12

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

James C. Long, Jr.,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036

4/8/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - [illegible]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: ROBERT FLAHERTY AND MARJORIE FLAHERTY against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	INDEX NO.: 02-126686 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: April 3 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

MICHAEL FANELLI, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Robert Flaherty and Marjorie Flaherty
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

APR 09 2012

SKH - Hoag & Dun.

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

BY-POOL-14

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

KMA56477/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CHUN HING LEE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190082/12

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants JOHN CRANE, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants JOHN CRANE, INC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants JOHN CRANE, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June ___, 2009

John C. Long, Jr., Esq.
Belluck & Fox
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036

4/8/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
John Crane, Inc.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 09 2012

SHH - Motion Seq. 001

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: GIOVANNI ROTOLO, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF LUISA ROTOLO AND GIOVANNI ROTOLO, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF SALVATORE ROTOLO against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	INDEX NO.: 02-126682 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/20/12 New York City

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Giovanni Rotolo, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Luisa Rotolo and Giovanni Rotolo, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Salvatore Rotolo
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

APR 20 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: MARCEL H. SCANLON AND MURIEL SCANLON against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	INDEX NO.: 02-126686

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/20/12 New York, NY

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JOHN RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Marcel H. Scanlon and Muriel Scanlon
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

APR 09 2012

SKH - Hoagland, Longo

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: AURELIO TORRES, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 00-115014

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: April 3, 2012 New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

DAVID A. CHANDLER, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Aurelio Torres
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 09 2012 APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Hova & Dis.

BY-NONE-3

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 02-126687
DAN A. DEVICO AND SUE DEVICO against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: April 3, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C..
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Dan A. DeVico and Sue DeVico
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 09 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **RAYMOND J. SCHWARTZ, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 117873/01** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/22/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **CARL KROGER,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 102314/01** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:
3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **WILLIAM C. MARTIN, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 103611/01** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:
3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **SALVATORE RANDAZZO, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 106463/01** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:
3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:** **MARY ROMANO, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of GINO ROMANO,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 115836/00** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:
3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **RAYMOND SABO, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190318/11** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
MURRAY BLONDER, Plaintiff(s),	**INDEX NO.: 190370/11**
vs.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.	

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 2 2012

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:** **EDWARD SADOWSKI,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190215/11** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Hold & Old:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **ENEA CARTELLI,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190309/11** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **JOSEPH PETER MCCORT, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190286/11** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for Turner Construction Company
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dlvd

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **ROBERT D. BISHOP, SR., Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 125268/00** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:
3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hold & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
RONALD MARSHBURN, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 190142/11**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **STEVE FREDRICKSON, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190443/10** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/20/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Donald J. Fay, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No. 12-190082

----------X

CHUN HING LEE,

Plaintiff,

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a The B.F. Goodrich Company, and as Successor in Interest to Goodrich – Gulf Chemical, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules, Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, s/h/a B.F. GOODRICH COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all Claims and Cross-Claims against Defendant GOODRICH CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

March 30, 2012

BY: _____
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212)681-1575
Attorneys for Plaintiff

James C. Long, Jr., Esq.

BY: _____
Patrick J. Dwyer
SMITH, STRATTON, WISE, HEHER & BRENNAN, LLP
2 Research Way,
Princeton, NJ 08540
(609)924-6000
Attorneys for GOODRICH CORPORATION

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
THOMAS STRINGER

Index No.: 190444/10

Plaintiff,

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- against -

BURNHAM, LLC

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
THOMAS STRINGER
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190122/11

HERMAN SKOLNICK

Plaintiff,

- against -

BURNHAM, LLC

Defendants.

----------X

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
HERMAN SKOLNICK
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD SADLOWSKI

Plaintiff,

- against -

BURNHAM, LLC

Defendants.

Index No.:
190115/11

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/20, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
RICHARD SADLOWSKI
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------	x	(Heitler, J.)
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL Index No.: 190044-12
----------	x	
This Document Relates to:	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT**
JOHN E. CISLER	:	**MOTION AND ORDER**
----------	x	

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that John E. Cisler was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 27, 2012

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: _____
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173

Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc. f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

By: _____
Chris Romanelli, Esq..
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED

_____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL (J. Sherry Klein Heitler)
This Document Relates to: MURRAY N. WALKER, SR & BARBARA WALKER	:	Index No.: 11-190433 **SECOND NOTICE** **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that Murray N. Walker, Sr. was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/27, 2012

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: _____
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173

Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc. f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation

Early & Strauss, L.L.C.

By: _____
Jason Hodrinsky, Esq.
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SO ORDERED

_____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hovd & Dist.

536.13297/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	:	
CHARLES J. MAHFOUD, Plaintiff, vs.	:	Index No.: 120904/02 113279/02 (January 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group)
UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 3/26/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Ent.

536.15228/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: MICHAEL W. RICKARD, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 101768/03 124489/02 (January 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION** **AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 3/26/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SNT - Nova & Bio.

536.15225/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	:	
JOSEPH SYRACUSE, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	:	Index No.: 124444/02 127679/02 (January 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 3/26/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

536.15069/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	
NICHOLAS C. LATZER, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 125211/02 (January 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 3/26/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Hon. S. [illegible]

536.15227/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	:	
RALPH F. LUISI, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	:	Index No.: 103178/03 124445/02 (January 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 3/26/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hon. [illegible]

536.13297/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	:	
THADDEUS M. KOZLOWSKI, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	:	Index No.: 113279/02 120902/02 (January 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 3/26/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

CLB55970/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

SAMUEL OSBORNE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 113565/02 &
127345/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dana M. Northcraft .Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

4/8/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dlv:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **EDWARD R. SKELLY,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 107095/01** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:** **MARILYN E. WILLIAMS, MICHAEL E. WILLIAMS, and JOHN P. HUNT, as Co-Executors for the Estate of JOSEPH J. TURNER,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 107335/01** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated:
3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Thomas A. Tranfaglia,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190270/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Thomas A. Tranfaglia
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-11845

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Did:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Eddie Sims,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190257/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Eddie Sims
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-11844

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

Hon. Shelley K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - [illegible]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
George L. Santiago,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190445/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

~~Suzanne M. Ratcliffe,~~ Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
George L. Santiago
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9800
Our File No.: 6754-12090

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Milton E. Jacobs,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 127310/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
March 28, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Milton E. Jacobs
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-461

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Did:

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Salvatore J. Vasta and Patricia Vasta,

Index No.: 113938/00

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
March 19th, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Salvatore J. Vasta and Patricia Vasta
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

UNITED LEGAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Sophie Ann Kenny,

Index No.: 190446/11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
APRIL 2, 2012

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Sophie Ann Kenny
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
A. BRANDIS, ESQ.

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-12083

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SRH - Revd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

UNITED LAWYERS

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Walter F. Wiebe,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190407/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

~~Suzanne M. Rateliffe~~, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Walter F. Wiebe
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-12141

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hold & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MARJORIE BERKOWITZ

Plaintiff,

- against -

BURNHAM, LLC

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.:
190065/11

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
MARJORIE BERKOWITZ
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11004-1

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Shelley K. Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

UNITED LAWYERS

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Judge Heitler)
JOHN J. BARRETT,	Index No.: 190157/11
Plaintiff(s)	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
- against -	
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC, et al.	
Defendants.	

WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
JOHN J. BARRETT
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Jeffrey Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.:10924-6498

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered: _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ISRAEL SASONI

Plaintiff,

- against -

BURNHAM, LLC

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.:
190327/10

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ISRAEL SASONI
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190028/11

ROBERT POLOTAYE

Plaintiff,

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- against -

BURNHAM, LLC

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ROBERT POLOTAYE
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry V. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ALBERT MAYCE

Plaintiff,

- against -

BURNHAM, LLC

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.:
190117/11

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
ALBERT MAYCE
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Judge Heitler)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 108680/06
GEORGE HISCHE Plaintiff, - against - BURNHAM, LLC Defendants.	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
GEORGE HISCHE
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SM - Mots & Ord:

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:

PETER P. HONAN

Plaintiff,

- against -

BURNHAM, LLC

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 107970/99

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/16, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
PETER HONAN
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

CNY - Nova & Bld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Judge Heitler)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 190123/11
EARL ERIKSON, Plaintiff, - against - BURNHAM, LLC Defendants.	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
EARL ERIKSON
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

SO ORDERED:
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

UNITED LEGAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190157/11

JOHN J. BARRETT

Plaintiff,

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- against -

BURNHAM, LLC

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
JOHN J. BARRETT
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-1

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	:	NYCAL
	:	I.A.S. Part 30
CAROL GREY,	:	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	:	
Plaintiff(s),	:	Index No(s).: 190086-12
	:	
-against-	:	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY**
	:	**JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
BELL & GOSSETT CO., et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant WEINMAN, an unincorporated trade name of Crane Pumps & Systems, Inc. (sued herein as Weinman Pump & Supply Company) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant WEINMAN with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant WEINMAN be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 3, 2012
New York, New York

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
WEINMAN, an unincorporated trade name of Crane Pumps & Systems, Inc.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

LUIGI GARRITANO and CESIRA GARRITANO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 190089-11

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., on behalf of named defendants Crane Co. and Jenkins Valves, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against named defendants Crane Co. and Jenkins Valves, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against named defendants Crane Co. and Jenkins Valves, Inc. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 20, 2011
New York, New York

Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

MARGARET SARMATANO, as Executrix for the Estate of CHARLES F. HENDRICKSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 100822-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 21, 2012
New York, New York

Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

MARGARET HICKEY, Individually, and as Executrix for the Estate of HERBERT P. HICKEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 100788-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 21 2012
New York, New York

Danny R. Kraft, Jr., Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

RICHARD WALIGOVSKA and LYDIA WALIGOVSKA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 103278-99

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled cases, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 21, 2012
New York, New York

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

UNITED LAWYER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Haley N. Mason,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190269/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq. (for Charles Ferguson)
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Haley N. Mason
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-11840

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Neal P. Izzo,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190428/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

~~Suzanne M. Ratcliffe~~, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Neal P. Izzo
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-12072

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry R. Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Wendell Corwin Sr.,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190447/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/6, 2012

~~Suzanne M. Ratcliffe~~, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Wendell Corwin Sr.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 67[illegible]1014

So Ordered:

Hon. ~~Sherry K.~~ Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
PATRICK TAURASO and JOSEPHINE TAURASO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendant.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190167-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 2, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: April 11, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
POPI PAPOULIAS, as Administratrix for the Estate of EMMANUEL PAPOULIAS, and POPI PAPOULIAS, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendant.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190316-10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY" and "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 2, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: April 11, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
McGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
~~(212) 548-2~~100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
JOHN J. BARRETT and MAUREEN BARRETT,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendant.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190157-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY" and "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 2, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: Apr 11, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
DAVID B. DURK and ARLENE DURK,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

BMCE INC., et al.,

Defendant.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190432-10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 2, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: April 11, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
McGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

BERNARD BROWNE AND LOURDES BROWNE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ALFA LAVAL, INC.; et al.

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190131-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "ITT Corporation (Individually and as successor to ITT Fluid Products Corp., ITT Hoffman, ITT Bell & Gossett Company, ITT Grinnell Corp. and Kennedy Valve" and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: February 7, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: 3/29, 2012

Jason Hodrinsky, Esq.
Mark Bibro, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Fl.
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

Christopher P. Browne, Esq.
McGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
ANTHONY FERRERA AND EMILY FERRARA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190308-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, sued herein as "WEYERHAEUSER CO.", and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: October 20, 2011

Signed by Plaintiff: April 2, 2012

Derell Wilson, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Fl.
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	:	NYCAL
	:	I.A.S. Part 30
DAVID DAVIDSON and DOROTHY DAVIDSON,	:	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	:	Index No.: 127888-02
Plaintiff(s),	:	
	:	
-against-	:	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/4/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 4/13

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	:	NYCAL
	:	I.A.S. Part 30
DAVID DAVIDSON and DOROTHY DAVIDSON,	:	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
	:	Index No.: 102362-03
Plaintiff(s),	:	
	:	
-against-	:	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/4/12
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

VINCENT J. AULETTA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 106509-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 30, 2012
New York, New York

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

CAROL GREY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

BELL & GOSSETT CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 190086-12

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: March 26, 2012
New York, New York

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hold & Dlg.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
EARL ERIKSON and ELIZABETH ERIKSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendant.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190123-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 2, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: April 11, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
STEPHEN A. MARTIN and BRIGID MARTIN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190160-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY" and "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 2, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: April 11, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
~~(212) 548-2100~~

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

- x

This Document Relates To:
ALBERT MAYCE and MARIANNE MAYCE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendant.

- -x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190117-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY" and "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 2, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: Apr. 11, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
McGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
DOUGLAS MCLAUGHLIN and SANDRA SUE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,
Defendant.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190449-10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 2, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: April 11, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
McGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 18 2012

Entered & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
PEDRO RODRIGUEZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.P. MOLLER-MAERSK, INC., et al.,

Defendant.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190451-10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY" and "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 2, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: April 11, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
McGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

CLD:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
ISRAEL SASONI and JANET SASONI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,
Defendant.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190327-10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION f/k/a ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., sued herein as "BELL & GOSSETT COMPANY" and "ITT CORPORATION," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 2, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: April 11, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

CLK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:
JOHN CATALFAMO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190313-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Weyerhaeuser Company, sued herein as "Weyerhaeuser Co.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 29, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: April 11, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of Americas, 7th Fl.
New York, New York 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

\38094490.1

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- x

This Document Relates to:
HAROLD J. BRENNAN and DOMENICA BRENNAN,
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,
Defendants.

---------- x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 190256-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Weyerhaeuser Company, sued herein as "Weyerhaeuser Co.," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 26, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: April 11, 2012

Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
MCGUIREWOODS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of Americas, 7th Fl.
New York, New York 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

\37920952.1

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X NYCAL

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Klein-Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
WILLIAM C. HOTZELT and EMILY C. HOTZELT,

Index No.:190361/10

Plaintiffs,

against

A.W. CHESTERTON CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, defendant Howden North America, Inc., formerly known and referred hereinafter as Howden Buffalo, Inc. ("Howden") and as misidentified in Plaintiffs' Complaint as Howden Buffalo, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Howden, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Howden, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
William C. Hotzelt and Emily C. Hotzelt
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Jeffrey Fegan, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Howden North America, Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11231-102

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	:	Index No. 190203/2010
EDWARD KERN	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/10, 2012

Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

CULLEN & DYKMAN, LLP
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
Counsel for Defendant

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

00203041.WPD

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	Index No. 190195/2010
EDWARD McCARTHY	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/10, 2012

Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

CULLEN & DYKMAN, LLP
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
Counsel for Defendant

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

00203041.WPD

APR 13 2012

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	:	Index No. 190262/2010
MICHAEL MORENO	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/10, 2012

Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

CULLEN & DYKMAN, LLP
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
Counsel for Defendant

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

00203041.WPD

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dld: _____

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to: **WILLIAM RAFFAELE**	Index No. 190168/2010 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/10, 2012

Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

CULLEN & DYKMAN, LLP
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
Counsel for Defendant

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

00203041.WPD

APR 13 2012

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	:	Index No. 190121/2010
EVELYN C. MOLLOY	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREAS, Defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/10, 2012

Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

CULLEN & DYKMAN, LLP
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
Counsel for Defendant

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

00203041.WPD

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Claudia Sanchez,

Index No.: 190312/11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

~~Suzanne M. Ratcliffe~~, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Claudia Sanchez
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-11945

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & D...

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Joseph Milazzo Jr.,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190311/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Joseph Milazzo Jr.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-1191

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Read & Ord:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION**

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 102115/07
107299/00
125768/99

ROBERT LAURANCE MAYDICH

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/9, 2012

~~Peter Tambini, Esq.~~ Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Robert L. Maydich
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ADD 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 126935/02
104174/03

MICHAEL PATRICK GILL

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 4th, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Michael Patrick Gill
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

David H. Jones, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

GEORGE K. HAUSER and MARCELLA HAUSER,

Plaintiff,

-v.-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al., including MORSE DIESEL, INC.,

Defendants.

Index No. 190052/09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 26, 2012

Michael P. Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
George K. Hauser and Marcella Hauser
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.,
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dist.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

JOSEPH P. WOODS and CAROLYN WOODS,

Plaintiff,

-v.-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al., including MORSE DIESEL, INC.,

Defendants.

---x

Index No. 190005/08

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 26, 2012

Michael P. Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Joseph P. Woods and Carolyn Woods
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.,
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____
APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

CHARLES ZUKAITIS,

Plaintiff,

-v.-

A.W. CHESTERTON, CO., et al., including MORSE-DIESEL, INC.,

Defendants.

Index No. 190068/09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse-Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 26, 2012

Michael P. Roberts, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC..
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Charles Zukaitis
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.,
150 Broadway, Suite 700
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---x

JOHN DELLARATA and MADELENA DELLARATA, — Index No. 190248/11

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.B. DICK COMPANY, et al.,
including THE MONTALVO CORPORATION,

Defendants.

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant The Montalvo Corporation (hereinafter "Montalvo") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Montalvo with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Montalvo be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2012

~~David Chandler, Esq.~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
John Dellarata, et al.
(212) 558-5500

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
The Montalvo Corporation
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

CLK - Nova & Bld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

EDWIN PACHECO and RAMONA PACHECO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS; et al.

Defendants.

Index No.: 190393/2010

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendants, **THE MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL s/h/i/a MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE** and **MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE**, hereby request summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR §3212 and New York State Workers' Compensation Law §11, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants, **THE MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL s/h/i/a MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE** and **MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition hereto; it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants, **THE MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL s/h/i/a MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE** and **MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE**, are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 3, 2011

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

By:_____
Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

GORDON & SILBER, P.C.

By:_____
Jon D. Lichtenstein, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendants
THE MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL s/h/i/a MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE and MSMC RESIDENTIAL REAL ESTATE
355 Lexington Avenue, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 834-0600

SO ORDERED: _____

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER 1-12-12

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

{G0071474}

Duplicate Original

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

———————————————————————x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

———————————————————————x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 113932/02
106581/02

GUY MARKS and PAULETTE MARKS

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

———————————————————————x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/9, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Guy Marks and Paulette Marks
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

Entered & Filed:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 103869/06

FRANK J. STILA

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/9, 2012

Joe A. Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Frank J. Stila
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew E. Endlich, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Nova & Dis.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROLLAND E. HICKEY AND BERNICE A. HICKEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 125965/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/12, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hickey, Rolland E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1235-20961

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROLLAND E. HICKEY AND BERNICE A. HICKEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 125965/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Nichole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hickey, Rolland E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
ADD 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KENNETH HELLER

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-108157

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/27, 2012

By:_____
Frank Weitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By:_____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13468377.2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH INTRABARTOLO

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-108136

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/27, 2012

By:_____

Frank A. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By:_____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13468377.2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN ROSH

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-102168

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/27, 2012

By: _____

Frank A. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13468377.2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-110717

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH S. COLLESANO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
March 22 2012

By: _____
PHAN ALVARADO, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Did: _____

13642913.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAUL KRUG

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-110269

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
March 27, 2012

By: _____
Fran Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

13642913.1

ORIG - Filed & Did: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-107005

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MILTON JACOBS

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/19, 2012

By: _____
Than Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13833735.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALFRED O'CONNOR

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-126935

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3-26, 2012

By: _____
Dana M. Northcott, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 19 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13833735.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN J. O'BRIEN

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-102837

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/27, 2012

By:_____

Michael Fanello, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By:_____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
~~(716)~~ 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

13833735.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LEONARD SITAREK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-107004

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/19, 2012

By: _____

Ethan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dtd: _____

13833735.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WESLIE WALDRON

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-105715
02-110319

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
March 26, 2012

By: _____

_____, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: _____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13468406.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH MORGANO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 126934/02 &
104386/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 16, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89242

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Mot. & Ord.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT A. BAIOCCO, SR.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-114562

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/27, 2012

By: _____

Michael Farrell, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

13833735.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GERALD KELTY

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-126934

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3-26, 2012

By: _____

Dana Urbanek, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

CM - Mova & Did: _____

13833735.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-104166

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH KETELS

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/27, 2012

By:_____

Frank M. Catiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By:_____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13468377.2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCIS R. DEFILIPPIS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 126687/02 &
104644/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 6, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89124

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012
BKH - Rpvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROCCO MATERO

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-102008

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/27, 2012

By:_____

Frank M Weitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By:_____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 600
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13468377.2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-123415

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALVIN SCHADE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/27, 2012

By:_____

Frank A. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By:_____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant;
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13468377.2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SOL HITZIG

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-05078

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/27, 2012

By: _____

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 600
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

13419916.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILLIAM PULVERMACHER

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-105177

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/27, 2012

By: _____

Frank A. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13468377.2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICHOLAS BALESTRA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-122704

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/27, 2012

By:_____

Frank M. Weitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By:_____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAR 27 2012

13468377.2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MILLARD SHERLOCK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 01-122391

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
3/27, 2012

By:_____

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By:_____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13468377.2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)**
DENNIS ROTUNNO, Plaintiff(s), vs. A.C. and S. INC., ET AL. Defendants.	**INDEX NO.: 104453/97**
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/29/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Did:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **ANDREW S. NEER,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 107404/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

3/29/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:** **GERARDO RUBINO (ANGELINA FUSCO),** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 109704/08** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

3/29/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MAHFOUD, CHARLES J.

Index No
120904/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 29, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hova a Did.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

GOOLEY, WILLIAM T.

Index No
107102/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
2.29, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LATZER, NICHOLAS C. (DEC.)

Index No
125211/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/2, 2012

John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

SITAREK, LEONARD P. (DEC.)

Index No
112121/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
Feb-25, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BISOGNO, DANIEL

Index No
107102/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
Feb-25, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WILSNACK III, WALTER W.

Index No
111909/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
Feb-25, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & MCMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - nova a Dld: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

THOMPSON, LOWELL H.

Index No
111909/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
Feb-25, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dld:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100731/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

EUGENE RISO, JR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: April 4, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Carpathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
APR 13 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

THOMAS A. TRANFAGLIA and MARIE TRANFAGLIA

Index No.: 190270/11

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, Defendant CompuDyne Corporation, Individually, and as Successor to York Shipley, Inc. (hereinafter "CompuDyne"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant COMPUDYNE, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, COMPUDYNE, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
3/26, 2012

Patti Burshtyn Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Katrina Murphy, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100959/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

WAYNE ADAMS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/11, 2012
New York, New York

Brendan Tully, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 605-6200

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 107102/07

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JEFFREY ZAYAS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4/4, 2012
New York, New York

~~Matthew MacIntyre~~
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BALDUCCI, ROGER J. (DEC.)

Index No
119783/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
3/9, 2012

John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

RECEIVED MAR 09 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BLANK, RALPH (DEC.)

Index No
125260/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
March 7, 2012

Michael Farnell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CUCCO JR., CARL

Index No
106578/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
March 4, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 39
(Freedman, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Index No

LAWRENCE LEBROCQ

105719/06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER RE: MAY 2008 IN-EXTREMIS

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 8, 2012

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
110 William Street
26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Helen E. Freedman

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

KEOUGH, JOHN J. (DEC.)

Index No
106693/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
March 4, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BYRNES, JOHN P. (DEC.)

Index No
119504/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
March 14, 2012

SUZANNE RATCLIFFE
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 39
(Freedman, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Index No

Martin Hansen

117349/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
RE: MAY 06 IN-EXTREMIS

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 8, 2012

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Wall Street Plaza
88 Pine Street
New York, New York 10005-1801

AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Helen E. Freedman

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Index No
190343/10

BERNARD D'AQUILA

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: APRIL 2011 IN EXTREMIS CLUSTER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 27, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Index No
190348/10

MONTE MAGID

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: APRIL 2011 IN EXTREMIS CLUSTER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 27, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MILAZZO JR., JOSEPH

Index No
190311/11

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: APRIL 2012 IN EXTREMIS

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 27, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

Snn - Hcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Index No
190358/10

ARTHUR J. MEDIATE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: APRIL 2011 IN EXTREMIS CLUSTER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 27, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Revd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120520/02

FREDERICK METHE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: OCTOBER 2010 IN EXTREMIS

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
February 23 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 39
(Freedman, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Robert T. Martin

Index No
115462/05

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
February 27, 2012

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038

AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Helen E. Freedman

APR 23 2012

Motion Mailed & Did:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

William Tynan

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 39
(Freedman, J.)

Index No
102033/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: NOVEMBER 2006 IN-EXTREMIS

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
February 27, 2012

Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038

AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS, ESQS.
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Helen E. Freedman

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LeROY V. MORAN, JR.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S)

Index No.: 190433/09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: OCTOBER 2010 IN EXTREMIS

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
February 27 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190128/10

CARL A. STEINER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: OCTOBER 2010 IN EXTREMIS

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
February 22, 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Hovu a Ord.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WAHL, CONRAD F.

Index No
112127/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
March 4, 2012

Matthew T. Mandanici

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CAYEN, HORACE LEO (DEC.)

Index No
123161/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
March 4, 2012

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. Mackaya

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CARLISLE, GLENN R.

Index No
114132/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Albertson, New York
March 4, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

GUIDAL, ROBERT

Index No
107102/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
2-29, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JACOBS, MILTON E.

Index No
107005/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: DECEMBER 2011 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
January 9, 2012

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

LUISI, RALPH F. (DEC.)

Index No
103178/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
March 14, 2012

Suzanne Ratcliffe
SUZANNE RATCLIFFE
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
~~(516) 294~~-5433

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BORG, LINO

Index No
126810/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
March 14, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH TIMMONS

Index No.
190353/09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER RE: APRIL 2010 IN EXTREMIS

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 27 ,2012

CHARLES FERGUSON
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

STUFANO, EDWARD J.

Index No
114090/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
March 14, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ALBERT MAYCE

Index No
190117/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: OCTOBER 2011 IN EXTREMIS

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 27, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

BLONDER, MURRAY

Index No
190370/11

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: APRIL 2012 IN EXTREMIS

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 27, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD SADOWSKI

Index No
190215/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: APRIL 2012 IN EXTREMIS

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 27, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - new

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

SALVATORE LoVERDE

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S)

Index No.: 190189/09
109117/00
121983/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER Re: OCTOBER 2010 IN EXTREMIS

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
February 27 2012

CHARLES FERGUSON
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

TMc:CC(jpk)
3/16/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 107457/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JOSEPH MANCUSO	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 30, 2012

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ David J. Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3198

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dtd: ____

Our File No
S-5675-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

KOZLOWSKI, THADDEUS M.

Index No
113279/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 29, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RECEIVED MAR 0 1 2012

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RICKARD, MICHAEL W.

Index No
101768/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 29, 2012

Michael Fanelli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Index No

STRADER, HAROLD G. 107004/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
Feb-25, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 18 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

KARTIS SR., PAUL

Index No
113567/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
February 29, 2012

Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RUGGIERO, RALPH J.

Index No
107403/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
Re: JANUARY 2012 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
March 14, 2012

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TISHMAN CONSTRUCTION
CORPORATION, as Successor in
Interest to TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, New York 11507
(516) 294-5433

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

TMc:CC(jpk)
8/18/10

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 120582/01 and 111231/01 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JAMES SCHIAVO	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/14/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

Our File No
S-6546-01

TMc:CC(jpk)
8/1/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 105625/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ROCCO PELUSO	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

Our File No
S-5157-02

TMc:CC(jpk)
3/16/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 116057/05 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: DONALD M. MUELLER	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 30, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

Our File No
S-4261-05

TMc:CC(jpk)
2/6/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 107403/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: GLEN R. CARLISLE	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

Our File No
S-7989-02

TMc:CC(jpk)
1/27/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 113279/02 and 120904/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: CHARLES MAHFOUD	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

Our File No
S-s-8699-02

TMc:CC(jpk)
3/9/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 106510/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: EDWARD SAMSON	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2012

~~Peter Tambini, Esq.~~ Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

Our File No
S-5142-02

TMc:CC(jpk)
3/9/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 105874/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: FRANK J. COSTELLO	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 30, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3198

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

Our File No
S-5288-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WILLIAM ANTON STOCKHAUSEN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. PART 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190062/2011; 114791/2000
108382/2000

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
March 26, 2012

Daniel P. Blouin, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

J.S.C.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

TMc:CC(jpk)
3/9/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 110850/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ANTHONY CELESTE SR.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 30, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-9998

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

Our File No
S-5891-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

TIMOTHY MEYER and KAREN MEYER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190094/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY , be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 03.28.12

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Timothy Meyer and Karen Meyer
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 344-5461

Cassandre H. Pelissier-Donovan, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
Keeler-Dorr-Oliver Boiler Company
500 Mamaroneck Ave., Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10005
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

APR 13 2012

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JAMES E. REMINGTON (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant,

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 128019/2002
105932/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York
3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 401
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126934/02

GERALD F. KELTY, JR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/4/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119673 -00

VERA ZABLOSKI, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of ALEXANDER ZABLOSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

APR 23 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 4/4/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

HERBERT SUSSMAN and LENORE SUSSMAN ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

BORG WARNER CORPORATION, by its successor-in-interest BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190105-12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 9, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 128019/02

JAMES E. REMINGTON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 3\26\12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 127888-02
102372-03

RAYMOND HODGE SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 3/26/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: **Index No: 101635-03**

JOANNE R. VIOHL, as Executrix for the Estate of FRANK J. STILA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 3/29/12
New York, New York

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SAM - FILED & ENTD

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 127888-02
102362-03

DAVID DAVIDSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/4/12
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

TMc:CC(jpk)
3/1/12

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 128019/02 and 105931/03 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: WILLIAM HENRY RICH	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Our File No
S-4533-02

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

TMc:CC(jpk)
7/5/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 110269/02 and 126812/02 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JAMES A. ROBINETT	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Our File No
S-8471-02

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/28/11

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 105423/99 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: MARVIN SCHUMER	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

Our File No.
S-5333-99

TMc:CC(jpk)
4/5/10

SUPREME COURT : ALL COUNTIES
WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	INDEX NO. 124333/00 ASSIGNED TO: HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JOHN CABELLO	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/4/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 100035

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
RICHARD W. BABINECZ, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc.
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

Our File No
S-4454-01

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARCIA SARAMA, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of THADDEUS SARAMA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111124/00, 122193/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

John E. Richmond, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Marcia Sarama and Thaddeus Sarama
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ADD 1 2 2012

1235-19364

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRED SCHERER AND INGRID SCHERER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 127886/02 102471/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March, 2012

Jaime B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Fred Scherer and Ingrid Scherer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11322

{N0129031-1}

APR 13 2012
SKH - Hon'd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRED SCHERER AND INGRID SCHERER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 127886/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Fred Scherer and Ingrid Scherer
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26115M

{N0042173-1}

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WAYNE SEAMAN AND ETHEL SEAMAN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100087/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wayne Seaman and Ethel Seaman
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11339

APR 19 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

{N0129031-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WAYNE SEAMAN AND ETHEL SEAMAN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100087/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wayne Seaman and Ethel Seaman
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26109Q

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EUGENE RISO, JR., Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendant(s).	Index No.: 105151/03, 100731/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 4, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Eugene Riso, Jr.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

324-7203AD

{N0134691-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EUGENE RISO, JR., Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendant(s).	Index No.: 105151/03, 100731/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 4, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Eugene Riso, Jr.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hevy & Dis.

{N0134698-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EUGENE RISO, JR., Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendant(s).	Index No.: 105151/03, 100731/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 4, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Eugene Riso, Jr.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26196AD

APR 19 2012

{N0134700-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: LEIGHTON LEWIS, Plaintiff, -against- AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No. 190069/11 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
29 February, 2012

Philip J. O'Rourke, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Charles J. Lynch and Helen Lynch
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

4830-6158-5934.1

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MADELENA DELLARATTA, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN DELLARATTA,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.B. DICK COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 190248/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant QPI Multipress (f/k/a Multipress, Inc.) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212 and the NYCAL Amended Case Management Order dated September 20, 1996, Amended as of February 19, 2003, dismissing Plaintiffs' Amended Verified Complaint against Defendant QPI Multipress (f/k/a Multipress, Inc.) with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to Plaintiffs and all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant QPI Multipress (f/k/a Multipress, Inc.), be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 5, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: _____
Chris Romanelli, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Madelena Dellaratta, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of John Dellaratta

SCHNADER HARRISON SEGAL & LEWIS LLP

By: _____
Matthew S. Tamasco, Esq.
140 Broadway, 31st Floor
New York, New York 10005
Attorneys for Defendant
QPI Multipress (f/k/a Multipress, Inc.)

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED, _____

PHDATA 3779845_1

UNITED LAWYERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **RONALD HANZE**	Index No(s).: 190344-10
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York April 11, 2012

Ambre Brandis, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 Ext. 21

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: **RICHARD MEHLROSE**	:	Index No.: 100582-00
	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2012

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz and Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy, Esq.
SMITH ABBOT, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 981-4501 Ext. 25

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

----------X	**NYCAL**
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	**STIPULATION OF DISCONTINUANCE AS AGAINST DEFENDANT CARBORUNDUM CORPORATION**
----------X	
This Document Applies To:	
Anita Scardino and Donald Scardino as Co-Executors of the Estate of Xavier Scardino	**Index № 10/190184**
	Judge Sherry K. Heitler
----------X	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for the plaintiff in the above-captioned action and defendant CARBORUNDUM CORPORATION, n/ka/ Industrial Holdings Corporation, Inc., that, whereas no party herein is an infant or incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the action, the above entitled action be, and the same hereby is, discontinued with prejudice and without costs to either party as against the other. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court.

Dated: New York, New York
March 26, 2012

Robert I. Komitor, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

Diane H. Miller, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN LLP
Attorneys for the Defendant
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, L.L.P. 800 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, N.Y. 10022 00259340.WPD

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

----------X	**NYCAL**
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	**STIPULATION OF DISCONTINUANCE AS AGAINST DEFENDANT CARBORUNDUM CORPORATION**
----------X	
This Document Applies To:	
Maria Raffaele, Individually and as Administratrix of the Estate of William Raffaele	**Index № 10/190168**
	Judge Sherry K. Heitler
----------X	

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between counsel for the plaintiff in the above-captioned action and defendant CARBORUNDUM CORPORATION, n/ka/ Industrial Holdings Corporation, Inc., that, whereas no party herein is an infant or incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the action, the above entitled action be, and the same hereby is, discontinued with prejudice and without costs to either party as against the other. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court.

Dated: New York, New York
March 26, 2012

Robert I. Komitor, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for the Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

Diane H. Miller, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN LLP
Attorneys for the Defendant
500 Mamaroneck Avenue
Suite 501
Harrison, NY 10528

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, L.L.P. 800 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, N.Y. 10022 00259340.WPD

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RYLAND HOLMES,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190109/2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 3/22/2012

Peter Tambini, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Margaret J. Leszkiewicz, Esq. PAUL JOSEPHS
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Review & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DOROTHY CULLENS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH L. CULLENS AND DOROTHY CULLENS, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 113473/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Joseph L. Cullens
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-8174

{N0135383-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DANIEL J. KENNEY, JR. AND CATHERINE KENNEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100396/03 115565/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Daniel J. Kenney, Jr. and Catherine Kenney
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11356

APR 13 2012

Dtd:

{N0129031-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DANIEL J. KENNEY, JR. AND CATHERINE KENNEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100396/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/12, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Daniel J. Kenney, Jr. and Catherine Kenney
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26079G

{N0129031-1}

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CHARLES E. KNAPP AND HELEN KNAPP, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 128019/02 105942/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Jaime B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Charles E. Knapp and Helen Knapp
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11326

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

{N0129031-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CHARLES E. KNAPP AND HELEN KNAPP, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 128019/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Charles E. Knapp and Helen Knapp
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26078Z

{N0129031-1}

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: NICHOLAS K. KOLEFF, SR. AND BARBARA KOLEFF, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 128025/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/12, 2012

Jaime B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Nicholas K. Koleff, Sr. and Barbara Koleff
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11327

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MILTON E. JACOBS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107005/02, 116225/02, 127310/02, 113567/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Milton E. Jacobs
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 12 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MILTON E. JACOBS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107005/02, 116225/02, 127310/02, 113567/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Milton E. Jacobs
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 9583-25730

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ERIK JEDNAT, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF MARY LOU JEDNAT, AND ERIK JEDNAT, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100283/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/12, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Mary Lou Jednat
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11349

APR 19 2012

SXH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ERIK JEDNAT, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF MARY LOU JEDNAT, AND ERIK JEDNAT, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100283/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/11, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Mary Lou Jednat
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26105

APR 13 2012

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LYNNE STACK, AS PROPOSED ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF PAUL STACK AND LYNNE STACK, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100340/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Paul Stack
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1235-19333

{N0128123-1}

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PHYLLIS LYNNE STACK, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF PAUL STACK AND PHYLLIS LYNNE STACK, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100340/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Paul Stack
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11353

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROLLAND E. HICKEY AND BERNICE A. HICKEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 125965/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hickey, Rolland E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

Sh'H - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

2571-1277

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL J. RUSSO AND CAROL RUSSO, Plaintiff(s) -against- A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 108768/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TISHMAN LIQUIDATING CORP., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TISHMAN LIQUIDATING CORP. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, TISHMAN LIQUIDATING CORP., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Michael J. Russo and Carol Russo
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26322

{N0133495-1}

APR 19 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL J. RUSSO AND CAROL RUSSO, Plaintiff(s) -against- A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 108768/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, OAKFABCO, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, OAKFABCO, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, OAKFABCO, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 11, 2012

Jason S. Riemer, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Michael J. Russo and Carol Russo
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1427

{N0133495-1}

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100726/03

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SHIRLEY LEASOR, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES H. LEASOR AND SHIRLEY LEASOR, INDIVIDUALLY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James H. Leasor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1122-21759

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hold & Dtd:

{N0128123-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SHIRLEY LEASOR, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES H. LEASOR AND SHIRLEY LEASOR, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100726/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James H. Leasor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
2082-11367
APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SHIRLEY LEASOR, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES H. LEASOR AND SHIRLEY LEASOR, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100726/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 11, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of James H. Leasor
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26182
APR 13 2012

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SUZANNE LEITHNER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100731/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/12, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Suzanne Leithner
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11368

{N0129031-1}

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JERRY CHIERCHIA AND JOAN CHIERCHIA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111230/01,123078/01 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/3, 2012

Carol M Tempesta
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Chierchia, Jerry
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 18 2012

{N0130753-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GERALD F. KELTY, JR. AND TERESA DAVIS KELTY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126934/02, 104397/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3 Ue, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kelty, Gerald F. Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Ckd.

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELLEN CRESPO, as Administratix for the Estate of ELMER ALBERICO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114013/02, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3 26, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
ALBERICO, ELMER
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1235-2434

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELLEN CRESPO, as Administratix for the Estate of ELMER ALBERICO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114013/02, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-26, 2012

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
ALBERICO, ELMER
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd.

2082-10159

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Harold J. Brennan,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 190256/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4/10, 2012

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

~~Suzanne M. Ratcliffe~~, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Harold J. Brennan
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
~~Our File No.:~~ 6754-11836

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KATHRYN D'AGOSTINO, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MICHAEL J. D'AGOSTINO AND KATHRYN D'AGOSTINO, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100731/03 105170/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Michael J. D'Agostino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26196K
APR 13 2012

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: NICHOLAS K. KOLEFF, SR. AND BARBARA KOLEFF, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 128025/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Nicholas K. Koleff, Sr. and Barbara Koleff
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 13 2012 APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
2383-26090
SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THERESA AGONEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF LAWRENCE W. AGONEY AND THERESA AGONEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100724/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Lawrence W. Agoney
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11358

APR 13 2012

{N0129031-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:
CHUN HING LEE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190082/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant, ITT CORPORATION *f/k/a* ITT INDUSTRIES, INC., erroneously sued herein as "I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as successor to Bell & Gossett," and its past and present parents, affiliates and subsidiaries and its predecessors and successors in interest and its agents, heirs and assigns ("Defendant"), hereby request Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Signed by Defendant: March 7, 2012

Signed by Plaintiff: Apr. 11, 2012

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Genevieve MacSteel, Esq.
McGUIREWOODS LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1345 Avenue of the Americas
7th Floor
New York, NY 10105
(212) 548-2100

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THERESA AGONEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF LAWRENCE W. AGONEY AND THERESA AGONEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100724/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Lawrence W. Agoney
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

1122-21935

{N0128123-1}

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EUGENE M. BREETVELD AND THERESA BREETVELD, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 111588/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
3-26, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northrop
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Eugene Breetveld and Theresa Breetveld
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

1235-2563

{N0133566-1}

SKH - Move & Unit

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EUGENE M. BREETVELD AND THERESA BREETVELD, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 111588/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-26, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Eugene Breetveld and Theresa Breetveld
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012
2383-26427
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH CLARKE, Plaintiffs, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190047/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Taco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Taco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Taco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/10, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Taco, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

LORI A. BENAVIDES, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
CLARKE, JOSEPH
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

543-1404
APR 13 2012

SK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELLEN CRESPO, as Administratix for the Estate of ELMER ALBERICO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114013/02, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-26, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Daran Nyrtracht, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
ALBERICO, ELMER
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

1122-2912

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELISABETH MCDONALD, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT MCDONALD AND ELISABETH S. MCDONALD, INDIVIDUALLY Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 111940/03, 111778/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Robert McDonald
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26937

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELISABETH MCDONALD, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT MCDONALD AND ELISABETH S. MCDONALD, INDIVIDUALLY Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 111940/03, 111778/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Robert McDonald
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2571-1728

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dld: _____

{N0132891-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EDWARD MALONEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 127888/02 102382/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/11, 2012

Jaime B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Edward Maloney
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
2082-11320

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EDWARD MALONEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 127888/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Edward Maloney
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) ~~558~~-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26113AI

APR 13 2012

SKH - RCVD & Ltd.

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELISABETH MCDONALD, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT MCDONALD AND ELISABETH S. MCDONALD, INDIVIDUALLY Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 111940/03, 111778/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Robert McDonald
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-8269

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELISABETH MCDONALD, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT MCDONALD AND ELISABETH S. MCDONALD, INDIVIDUALLY Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 111940/03, 111778/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Robert McDonald
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-20669

{N0132885-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CHARLES L. CHIDESTER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190293/11, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4, 2012

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Chidester, Charles L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012
1003-3560

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT JOSEPH BLASO AND MARIA BLASO, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126937/02, 105626/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Nichole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Blaso, Robert Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

2082-11280

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALLEN E. BLANCHARD, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF EVERETT A. BLANCHARD, AND ALLEN E. BLANCHARD, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF LUCY BLANCHARD, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100085/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Lucy Blanchard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26096

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd.

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALLEN E. BLANCHARD, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF EVERETT A. BLANCHARD, AND ALLEN E. BLANCHARD, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF LUCY BLANCHARD, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100085/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Jaime B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Lucy Blanchard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THERESA AGONEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF LAWRENCE W. AGONEY AND THERESA AGONEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100724/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Lawrence W. Agoney
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

2383-2618 APR 23 2012

{N0042173-1}

APR 13 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LUEY BLANCHARD, AS PROPSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF EVERTT A. BLANCHARD AND LUEY BLANCHARD, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100085/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Evertt A. Blanchard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1122-0132

{N0128123-1}

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES B. MIMS AND BARBARA L. MIMS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. W. CHESTERTON CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 125551/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 12, 2012

Nicole Wesselmannn, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
James B. Mims and Barbara L. Mims
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue-20th Floor
New York, New York 10170
(212) 986-2233

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 3002-8125

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: AURELIO TORRES, Plaintiffs, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190497/11 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/2, 2012

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dave Chandler, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Aurelio Torres
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ADR

1003-3937

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RONALD SZAMATULSKI, Plaintiff, -against- AMERICAN OPTICAL COMPANY, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190079/11 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Taco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Taco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Taco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4/, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Taco, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ronald Szamatulski
Early & Strauss, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10170
(212) 986-2233

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

542-1408

APR 1 3 2012

SKH - not Ctd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARK ROCK AND SONDRA ROCK, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190319/11 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29, 2012

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Pavel Burshtyn
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mark Rock and Sondra Rock
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1003-3557

APR 13 2012

SKH

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CHARLES ARTHUR ROSS, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126935/02, 104154/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ross, Charles Arthur
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARTIN ROTHSTEIN AND HELEN ROTHSTEIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126935/02, 104155/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rothstein, Martin
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THERESA AGONEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF LAWRENCE W. AGONEY AND THERESA AGONEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100724/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Lawrence W. Agoney
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1235-21445

{N0128123-1}

APR 13 2012

Clerk's Mem & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS COSKEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF ANN COSKEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100284/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Ann Coskey
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11347

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dtd.

{N0129031-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS COSKEY, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF ANN COSKEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100284/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Ann Coskey
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26103

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SUZANNE LEITHNER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100731/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Suzanne Leithner
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26196Z

APR 13 2012

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT JOSEPH BLASO AND MARIA BLASO, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126937/02, 105626/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Blaso, Robert Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

2571-1324

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PETER JOHN CANNETTI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF KATHLEEN CANNETTI AND JANICE MARIE SCHAFFER AS THE EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN CANNETTI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 112649/03 100087/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/12, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Kathleen Cannetti
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld: 1

{N0129031-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PETER JOHN CANNETTI, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF KATHLEEN CANNETTI AND JANICE MARIE SCHAFFER AS THE EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOHN CANNETTI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 112649/03 100087/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Kathleen Cannetti
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
2383-26109AH
APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CHARLES L. CHIDESTER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190293/11, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4, 2012

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Chidester, Charles L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

504-814

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CHARLES L. CHIDESTER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190293/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Dap, Inc., improperly sued as Dap Products, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Dap, Inc., improperly sued as Dap Products, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Dap, Inc., improperly sued as Dap Products, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/9, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Dap, Inc., improperly
sued as Dap Products, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Chidester, Charles L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

604-467

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: VIRGINIA M. MONAGHAN, Individually and PAUL A. MONAGHAN as Personal Representative for the Estates of JOHN J. MONAGHAN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114568/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-26, 2012

Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
MONAGHAN, JOHN J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
APR NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Revd & Dkt.

2082-10162

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELISABETH MCDONALD, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ROBERT MCDONALD AND ELISABETH S. MCDONALD, INDIVIDUALLY Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 111940/03, 111778/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Robert McDonald
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1122-0081

{N0132878-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN H. MCGINNIS AND JEAN KAREN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 126685/02, 103333/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/10, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew Park, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
McGinnis, John H.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

1122-23954

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN H. MCGINNIS AND JEAN KAREN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126685/02, 103333/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/10/, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew Park, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
McGinnis, John H.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1235-23608

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN H. MCGINNIS AND JEAN KAREN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126685/02, 103333/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/10, 2012

Nichole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew Park, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
McGinnis, John H.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Ord: _____

2082-11267

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN H. MCGINNIS AND JEAN KAREN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126685/02, 103333/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/10, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew Park, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
McGinnis, John H.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Hcvd & Dld: _____

2383-25977AB

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL J. SUSINO AND VALERIE A. SUSINO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110180/00, 117863/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown (NY), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown (NY), with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown (NY), be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-26, 2012

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown (NY)
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Susino, Michael J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2572-40

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EDWARD C. POULSEN AND THERESA POULSEN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126934/02, 104382/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29, 2012

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Fowell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Poulsen, Edward C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

2571-589AN

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS FESHOH AND SUSAN FESHOH, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110744/06, 126687/02; 104638/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29, 2012

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Nichole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Fowell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Feshoh, Thomas
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

2082-11259

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: HARRY ADLER JR. AND RITA ADLER, Plaintiffs, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190181/11 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/2, 2012

Michelle D. Grady

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dave Chandler, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Harry Adler, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 13 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & D...

1003-514

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES B. MIMS AND BARBARA L. MIMS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. W. CHESTERTON CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 125551/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Apr. 12, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
James B. Mims and Barbara L. Mims
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10170
(212) 986-2233

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

454-8125

SKH - Revd & Dtd

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

VIRGINIA M. MONAGHAN, Individually and PAUL A. MONAGHAN as Personal Representative for the Estates of JOHN J. MONAGHAN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 114568/02,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-26, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
MONAGHAN, JOHN J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Revd & Dtd.

2383-25723

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JAMES W. HOLECEK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 126687/02, 104623/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Farrell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Holecek, James W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

1122-23822

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN T. CASSIDY AND MARGARET CASSIDY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126934/02, 104417/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cassidy, John T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH

1235-23614

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN T. CASSIDY AND MARGARET CASSIDY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126934/02, 104417/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cassidy, John T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hold a Dat.

2383-25978E

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN T. CASSIDY AND MARGARET CASSIDY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126934/02, 104417/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cassidy, John T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

2571-589E

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: SILVIO CESTRA AND ELISABETH CESTRA, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 126934/02, 104416/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
January 20, 2012

Kerrann Cook, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Silvio Cestra and Elisabeth Silvio
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

2383-25978F

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

{N0120910-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RAYMOND SABO, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190318/11, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Algoma Hardwoods, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Algoma Hardwoods, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Algoma Hardwoods, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 28, 2012

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Algoma Hardwoods, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sabo, Raymond
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Revd & Dtd.

1223-7

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPHINE TAURASO, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICK TAURASO, and JOSEPHINE TAURASO, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190167/11 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/28, 2012

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

David A. Chandler, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patrick Tauraso and Josephine Tauraso
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10170
(212) 986-2233

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

504-0745

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPHINE TAURASO, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICK TAURASO, and JOSEPHINE TAURASO, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190167/11 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/28, 2012

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

David A. Chandler, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Patrick Tauraso and Josephine Tauraso
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10170
(212) 986-2233

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hollman & Dtd:

504-0745

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: HENRY WILLIS and BARBARA M. WILLIS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 106695/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

4/10/2012

Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Henry Willis and Barbara M. Willis
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012
1235-4625
SKH - Rcvd & Dld: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ELLEN CRESPO, as Administratix for the Estate of ELMER ALBERICO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114013/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-26, 2012

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
ALBERICO, ELMER
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

2383-25709

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GERALD W. BURGIN, as Executor for the Estate of MERLE G. BURGIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 116958/05, 107221/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
4/10, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

John Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Burgin, Merle G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 19 2012

1235-432

SKH - Rcvd & Ord.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EUGENE M. BREETVELD AND THERESA BREETVELD, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 111588/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
3-26, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Eugene Breetveld and Theresa Breetveld
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hand & Dld: 324-7570

{N0133568-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EUGENE M. BREETVELD AND THERESA BREETVELD, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 111588/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/20, 2012

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Eugene Breetveld and Theresa Breetveld
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-3043

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GERALD F. KELTY, JR. AND TERESA DAVIS KELTY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126934/02, 104397/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-26, 2012

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kelty, Gerald F. Jr.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

2571-589Y

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EDWIN MARSH, Plaintiff(s) -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190083/12 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, COURTER & COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, COURTER & COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, COURTER & COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/10, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
COURTER & COMPANY, INC.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

~~Adam Cooper, Esq.~~ Joe Vasquez
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edwin Marsh
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012 1122-24147
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

{N0133006-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EDWIN MARSH, Plaintiff(s) -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190083/12 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TREADWELL CORPORATION, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TREADWELL CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, TREADWELL CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/10/12, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
TREADWELL CORPORATION
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

~~Adam Cooper, Esq.~~ Joe Vazquez
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edwin Marsh
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
1235-23818

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

{N0133006-1}

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EDWIN MARSH, Plaintiff(s) -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190083/12 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, OAKFABCO, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, OAKFABCO, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, OAKFABCO, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/10/, 2012

Jason S. Riemer, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
OAKFABCO, INC.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

~~Adam Cooper, Esq.~~ Joe Vazquez
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edwin Marsh
700 Broadway
New York, New York 100
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

{N0133006-1}

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PETER E. FLEMING AND CYNTHIA FLEMING, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107222/02, 113637/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-16, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Nortrech
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Fleming, Peter E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1236

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RICHARD GALLI and DOROTHY GALLI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S. INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111436/98, 111049/98 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/10, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

John Richmond
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Richard Galli and Dorothy Galli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-20498

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GERALD F. KELTY, JR. AND TERESA DAVIS KELTY, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 126934/02, 104397/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-26, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Gerald F. Kelty, Jr. and Teresa D. Kelty
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Hand & Dtd:

1122-23967

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GERALD F. KELTY, JR. AND TERESA DAVIS KELTY, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 126934/02, 104397/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-26, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Gerald F. Kelty, Jr. and Teresa D. Kelty
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 19 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Revd & Dtd.

1235-23618

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS FESHOH AND SUSAN FESHOH, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110744/06, 126687/02; 104638/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29, 2012

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Fawell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Feshoh, Thomas
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd.

2383-27483

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES W. HOLECEK, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126687/02, 104623/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29/, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Fanwell.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Holecek, James W.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rev

2383-25981AB

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN H. MCGINNIS AND JEAN KAREN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126685/02, 103333/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/10/, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Matthew Park, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
McGinnis, John H.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012
SKH - RCVD & ENT.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: VIRGINIA M. MONAGHAN, Individually and PAUL A. MONAGHAN as Personal Representative for the Estates of JOHN J. MONAGHAN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114568/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-21, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northcutt, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
MONAGHAN, JOHN J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd.

1122-24109

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: VIRGINIA M. MONAGHAN, Individually and PAUL A. MONAGHAN as Personal Representative for the Estates of JOHN J. MONAGHAN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114568/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3-26, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
MONAGHAN, JOHN J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dism.

1235-23774

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RENATO JOSEPH CHIRLES AND LILLIAN CHIRLES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 126935/02, 104184/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Chirles, Renato Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

2571-1325

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL PATRICK GILL, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126935/02, 104174/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gill, Michael Patrick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

1235-23617

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RENATO JOSEPH CHIRLES AND LILLIAN CHIRLES, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126935/02, 104184/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Chirles, Renato Joseph
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RENATO JOSEPH CHIRLES AND LILLIAN CHIRLES,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 126935/02, 104184/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Chirles, Renato Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1235-23616

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RENATO JOSEPH CHIRLES AND LILLIAN CHIRLES, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126935/02, 104184/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Chirles, Renato Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dld: _____

2383-25976Z

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS FESHOH AND SUSAN FESHOH, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110744/06, 126687/02; 104638/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Fawell.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Feshoh, Thomas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

1235-23430

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES W. HOLECEK, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126687/02, 104623/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Fawell.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Holecek, James W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hovd & Dld.

1235-23441

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS FESHOH AND SUSAN FESHOH, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110744/06, 126687/02; 104638/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Farrell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Feshoh, Thomas
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

1122-23811

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PEARL RABINOWITZ as Personal Representative For Estate of WALTER RABINOWITZ, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126934/02, 104381/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rabinowitz, Walter
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hcvu & Dio.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PEARL RABINOWITZ as Personal Representative For Estate of WALTER RABINOWITZ, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126934/02, 104381/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Jason Riekner, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rabinowitz, Walter
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

2571-589AO

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MILTON E. JACOBS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107005/02, 116225/02, 127310/02, 113567/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Phan T Alvarado, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Milton E. Jacobs
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

2383-25730

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MILTON E. JACOBS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107005/02, 116225/02, 127310/02, 113567/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Phan T. Alvarado, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Milton E. Jacobs
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1235-6589

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MILTON E. JACOBS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 107005/02, 116225/02, 127310/02, 113567/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Phan T. Alvarado, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Milton E. Jacobs
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 4122-7085

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHAEL PATRICK GILL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 126935/02,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gill, Michael Patrick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

2383-25976AJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EUGENE RISO, JR., Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendant(s).	Index No.: 105151/03, 100731/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 4, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Eugene Riso, Jr.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1340

APR 12 2012

{N0134703-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL J. RUSSO AND CAROL RUSSO, Plaintiff(s) -against- A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 108768/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, SAFEGUARD INDUSTRIAL EQUIPMENT, CO., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, SAFEGUARD INDUSTRIAL EQUIPMENT, CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, SAFEGUARD INDUSTRIAL EQUIPMENT, CO., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment, Co.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Michael J. Russo and Carol Russo
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

0324-7481

{N0133495-1}

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL J. RUSSO AND CAROL RUSSO, Plaintiff(s) -against- A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 108768/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, COURTER & COMPANY, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, COURTER & COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, COURTER & COMPANY, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Michael J. Russo and Carol Russo
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1122-20219

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

{N0133495-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL J. RUSSO AND CAROL RUSSO, Plaintiff(s) -against- A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 108768/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, TREADWELL CORPORATION, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TREADWELL CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, TREADWELL CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/12, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Michael J. Russo and Carol Russo
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
235-19699

APR 13 2012

Entered & Dtd:

{N0133495-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN T. CASSIDY AND MARGARET CASSIDY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126934/02, 104417/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cassidy, John T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1122-26

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RUTH MOTT, INDIVIDUALLY AND PROPOSED ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF EDWARD T. MOTT, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100720/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Edward T. Mott
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11370
APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

{N0129031-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RUTH MOTT, INDIVIDUALLY AND PROPOSED ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF EDWARD T. MOTT, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100720/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Edward T. Mott
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26175
APR 13 2012

SNH - Motion & Order

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN QUATTROCCHI, as Proposed Administrator for the Estate of DAMIANO QUATTROCCHI, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 123970/02, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/20, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
QUATTROCCHI, DAMIANO
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ADD 1 2 2012

324-7098

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN QUATTROCCHI, as Proposed Administrator for the Estate of DAMIANO QUATTROCCHI, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 123970/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

John E. Richmond, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
QUATTROCCHI, DAMIANO
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

1122-18974

SKH - Rcvd & Dld:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN QUATTROCCHI, as Proposed Administrator for the Estate of DAMIANO QUATTROCCHI, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 123970/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/20, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Quattrocchi, Damiano
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

1235-18437

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JOHN QUATTROCCHI, as Proposed Administrator for the Estate of DAMIANO QUATTROCCHI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 123970/02,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/20, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Quattrocchi, Damian
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

2383-25856

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT VASSALLO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 127888/02 102395/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April, 2012

Jaime B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Vassallo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11323

{N0129031-1}

APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT VASSALLO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 127888/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Vassallo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26113AV

{N0042173-1}

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOANNE R. VIOHL, as Executrix for the Estate of FRANK J. STILA, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 103869/06, 126681/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Stila, Frank J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ADD 13 2012

1122-1063

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOANNE R. VIOHL, as Executrix for the Estate of FRANK J. STILA, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 103869/06, 126681/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/11, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Stila, Frank J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1235-577

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOANNE R. VIOHL, as Executrix for the Estate of FRANK J. STILA, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 103869/06, 126681/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Stila, Frank J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

2383-25975AN

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOANNE R. VIOHL, as Executrix for the Estate of FRANK J. STILA, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 103869/06, 126681/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4/1, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Stila, Frank J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

2571-1323

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CHRISANNE SCHWARTZ, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF RICHARD L. STIEGLER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100089/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Richard L. Stiegler
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1122-0182

{N0128123-1}

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hon. & Dist.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RICHARD L. STIEGLER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100089/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Richard L. Stiegler
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shetry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1235-20552

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

{N0128123-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CHRISANNE SCHWARTZ, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF RICHARD L. STIEGLER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100089/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Richard L. Stiegler
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11340

APR 13 2012

SKH - Kentile & Stiegler

{N0129031-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CHRISANNE SCHWARTZ, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF RICHARD L. STIEGLER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100089/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Richard L. Stiegler
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

{N0129031-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PHYLLIS LYNNE STACK, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF PAUL STACK AND PHYLLIS LYNNE STACK, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100340/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Paul Stack
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26185

APR 13 2012

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PEARL RABINOWITZ as Personal Representative For Estate of WALTER RABINOWITZ,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 126934/02, 104381/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rabinowitz, Walter
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1122-20642

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PEARL RABINOWITZ as Personal Representative For Estate of WALTER RABINOWITZ, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126934/02, 104381/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rabinowitz, Walter
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SHH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1235-20135

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **ALFRED E. SMITH, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 113616/04** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

James Spanarkel, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 20 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **RAYMOND F. WEBER,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 112954/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

Dated: 3/26/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

James Spanarkel, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Hon. & Ord.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DORIEL EDWARDS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100731/03 105165/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Doriel Edwards
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11365

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DORIEL EDWARDS, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100731/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Doriel Edwards
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26196P

APR 13 2012

SKH - Revd

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EDMOND HERMAN, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF ABRAHAM HERMAN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100282/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Abraham Herman
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11348
APR 13 2012

{N0129031-1}

SKH - Revised

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EDMOND HERMAN, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF ABRAHAM HERMAN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100282/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/12, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Abraham Herman
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26104

APR 13 2012

SKH - Bond & Mtg

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROLLAND E. HICKEY AND BERNICE A. HICKEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 125965/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hickey, Rolland E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dlvd

1122-21460

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DOROTHY CULLENS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH L. CULLENS AND DOROTHY CULLENS, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 113473/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Joseph L. Cullens
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
COUNTY CLERK
APR 13 2012
Rcvd & Dtd:
SKH 1122 0058

{N0135376-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DOROTHY CULLENS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH L. CULLENS AND DOROTHY CULLENS, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 113473/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Joseph L. Cullens
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012
1235-2146
SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DOROTHY CULLENS, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH L. CULLENS AND DOROTHY CULLENS, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 113473/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/4, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Joseph L. Cullens
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012
SNH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-26989

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KATHRYN D'AGOSTINO, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF MICHAEL J. D'AGOSTINO AND KATHRYN D'AGOSTINO, INDIVIDUALLY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100731/03 105170/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Michael J. D'Agostino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11364

{N0129031-1}

ADD 1 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROLLAND E. HICKEY AND BERNICE A. HICKEY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 125965/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Joe Vazquez, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Hickey, Rolland E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

APR 13 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

2383-25907

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARTIN ROTHSTEIN AND HELEN ROTHSTEIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126935/02, 104155/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 21, 2012

Roy Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rothstein, Martin
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ADD 1 3 2012

1235-23626

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS FESHOH AND SUSAN FESHOH, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110744/06, 126687/02; 104638/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/29, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Fanell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Feshoh, Thomas
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
APR 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
APR 13 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1302