SCANNED ON 1/17/2013

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);

2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL P. GILL

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-126935

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
April 26, 2012

By: Phan Alvarado
_____, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13877861.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

THOMAS V. CARROLL and ROSETTA CARROLL,

Index No.: 03/106364
03/100232

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/3, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 115520/05

WLADIMIR KISELOVSKI AND SUSAN KISELOVSKI

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/7, 2012

Joe A. Vazquez, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Wladimir Kiselovski, et al.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Robert C. Malaby, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd;

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

JOHN D. TUBRIDY and MERCEDES TUBRIDY, — Index No. 190205/12

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL., including, VIKING PUMP, INC.

Defendant(s).

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc. (hereinafter "Viking"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Viking with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Michael Roberts, Esq.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Steven J. Lancelot, et al.
(212) 558-5500

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Viking Pump, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

THOMAS J. BRUGAR and TERI BRUGAR, — Index No. 190118/12

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, *et al.*, including VIKING PUMP, INC.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc. (hereinafter "Viking"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Viking with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/7, 2012

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Thomas J. Brugar, et al.
(212) 558-5500

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Eva S. Wayne, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Viking Pump, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: JAMES E. KINGSLEY, Plaintiffs, -against- PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 190081-12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
4-20-2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 1863.28311

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
James E. Kingsley
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10005
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

4829-9252-2767.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: PAUL KRATIS, SR., Plaintiff, -against- PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 113567/02, 127312/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 8, 2012

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 1863.15526

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Paul Kratis, Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ MAY 10 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

CMH - Rcvd & Dld: _____

4818-0305-8959.1

AETNA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: Gordon Conklin and Jacqueline Conklin, Plaintiffs, -against- 4520 Corp. Inc., Defendants.	Index No. 190423/11 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., individually and incorrectly sued herein as Peerless Heater Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/8/2012

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
1863-28106

Douglas Von Oiste, Esq.
Attorney for Plainitff
Gordon and Jacqueline Conklin
Karst & Von Oiste
19500 Texas 249
Houston, Tx 77070-3000
281-970-9980

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dld: _____

4826-4830-8750.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: JAMES E. WILSON and PATRICIA WILSON, Plaintiffs, -against- PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 119376/00, 107190/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 8, 2012

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 1863.9767

David J. Barry, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
James E. Wilson
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

X.:/cases/FBW46519/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

CHARLES FUNICELLO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 103523-03& 126681-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

4/12/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

X:/cases/FBW48376/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

THEODORE HEINTZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 100861-03 & 115312-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Radcliffe

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

4/12/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

X:/FBW45797/legal/March 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH POSSTER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100286-03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Halbardier

Frank Ortiz,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

4/12/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

X.:/cases/FBW45712/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JEFFREY A. ZAYAS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 107102-02 & 119115-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

4/12/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

X:/cases/FBW45797/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GLENN R. CARLISLE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 114132-02 & 107403-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

4/12/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

X.:/cases/FBW45593/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MILTON JACOBS

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 107005-02, 116225-02, 127310-02 & 113567-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

4/12/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

X:/cases/FBW45921/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOHN P. BYRNES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 119504/02
& 113278-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

4/12/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

X.:/cases/FBW46554/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

RAYMOND HODGE, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 102372-03 & 127888-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

4/12/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
~~(212)~~ 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

X.:/cases/FBW46554/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DAVID DAVIDSON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 127888-02 & 102362-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

4/12/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

X.:/cases/FBW46519/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

FRANK STILA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 103496-03, 126681-02, 103869-06

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 4/12/12
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

CLB56365/legal/nosjm/January 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOHN P. BYRNES,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 113278/02 &
119504/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

4/19/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

CLB55167/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DOREEN MORRISON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC, et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190258/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

~~Joseph Williams, Esq.~~ Charles Fagan
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

4/19/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. ~~Sherry~~ Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dld:

CLB56547/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

BENJAMIN K. SCHAEFFER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS, et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190120/12

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Bryan Belasky,Esq.
Belluck & Fox
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036

4/16/12

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 1 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

CLB56222/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOSEPH POSSTER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 100286/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

4/19/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

CLB55970/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ALFRED DIFRANCO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC, et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 103527-03 &
126681-02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

David Barry, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

4/16/12

Joseph DiGregorio, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Anne Marie Williams, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of Thomas Williams,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 106981/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/3, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Anne Marie Williams, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of Thomas Williams
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10921-1381

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
James E. Wilson and Patricia Wilson,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 107190/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/3, 2012

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
James E. Wilson and Patricia Wilson
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-37[illegible]

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

CLB56157/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ANDREW KORNACKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 121910/02

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

~~Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.~~ David J. Barry
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
4/16/12

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Roland E. La Dieu, Sr. and Jeanne La Dieu,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 110790/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/3, 2012

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Roland E. La Dieu, Sr. and Jeanne La Dieu
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10924-1150

So Ordered:

MAY 10 2012

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:
James F. Smith

Plaintiff,

- against -

A. O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

Index No.: 120395/01

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
3/12, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James F. Smith
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps, Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-1

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
Robert Engle,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 190330/11
114501/05

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
April 2, 2012

Daniel Blown ~~Suzanne M. Ratcliffe~~, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Robert Engle
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-11719

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES KNAPP

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-128019
03-105942

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
April 26, 2012

By: _____
Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13877861.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

WALTER D. GUTHRIE and LONA GUTHRIE,

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al., including DeZurik,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190114/2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant DeZurik (improperly sued herein as "DEZURIK, INC.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DeZurik with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DeZurik be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5-7 2012

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Walter D. Guthrie, et al.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

DPS

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
DeZurik
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAY 10 2012

Sign - Read & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No.: 103960/04
JOHN WALL	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Bonnie M. Steinwolf, Esq. Belluck & Fox, LLP 546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor New York, New York 10036	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1726463

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 112085/05

ADA OVALLE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Bonnie M. Steinwolf, Esq. Belluck & Fox, LLP 546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor New York, New York 10036	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1726463

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 103614/05

ALFRED HAYGOOD

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/18, 2012

Bonnie M. Steinwolf, Esq. Belluck & Fox, LLP 546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor New York, New York 10036	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2012

1726463

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

GERALD BEERS and JANE BEERS,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS and BLACKMER, et al.

Defendants.

Index No.: 190221/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BLACKMER by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant BLACKMER with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BLACKMER be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
May 8, , 2012

John Ryan, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Av., 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

William T. Miedel, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
BLACKMER
100 Wall Street
New York, NY 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

MAXIMILIAN SPRENG and ANNELIESE SPRENG,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, et al.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190297/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant MILWAUKEE VALVE COMPANY, INC., by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant MILWAUKEE VALVE COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MILWAUKEE VALVE COMPANY, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 8, 2012

Jessica Russell, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Ave., 4th floor
New York, NY 10036-5000
(212) 681-1575

Cynthia Weiss Antonucci, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
MILWAUKEE VALVE CO., INC.
100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
(212) 687-0100

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

GEORGE L. SANTIAGO and RUTH SANTIAGO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No. 11-190041

WHEREFORE, defendant PLASTICS ENGINEERING COMPANY, by its attorneys, Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant PLASTICS ENGINEERING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PLASTICS ENGINEERING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 5/3, 2012

Christopher A. Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Michael J. Masiello, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
Plastics Engineering Company
99 Garnsey Road
Pittsford, New York 14534

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

HARRIS BEACH PLLC
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, S.)

----------x

This Document Relates to:
CHESTER W. ZYCH
Plaintiff,

-against-

Index No. 12-190162

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

ALSTOM POWER, INC. (Individually and as Successor to Air Preheater Corporation d/b/a Ljungstrom Air Preheater); ET AL
Defendants

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendants ALSTOM POWER, INC. Individually and as Successor to Air Preheater Corporation d/b/a Ljungstrom Air Preheater) d/b/a Ljungstrom Air Preheater) hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ALSTOM POWER, INC Individually and as Successor to Air Preheater Corporation d/b/a Ljungstrom Air Preheater) without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ALSTOM POWER, INC. Individually and as Successor to Air Preheater Corporation d/b/a Ljungstrom Air Preheater), be and the same hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
April 17, 2012

BRIAN EARLY, ESQ.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Early & Strauss, LLC
360 Lexington Avenue
20th Floor
New York, NY 10017

VILIA HAYES, ESQ.
Attorney for Defendant
Hughes, Hubbard & Reed, LLP
One Battery Park Plaza
New York, NY 10004

MAY 10 2012

So ordered:

Hon Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
ROBERT M. KENNEY and CHRISTINE KENNEY,	:	Index Nos. 112033/03 111590/03
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq.
John Richmond

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
KENNETH L. NIXON and FREDA NIXON, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.		Index No. 124487/02 100455/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
John E. Richmond, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Goliz Shoai-Naini, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Reva & Dia: _____

X.:/cases/FBW46512/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MICHAEL GILL,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 104174-03 & 126935-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff 4/12/12
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Motion & Ord:

X:/cases/FBW52311/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DENNIS LA DUE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 126291-02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

4/12/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 1000
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
JEANNE ENGLE, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM F. ENGLE, and JEANNE ENGLE, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	Index Nos. 100862/03 121248/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
JENNIE M. DALKAS (Dec.), Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	:	Index No. 100855/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
LOUIS J. CZACHOR (Dec.), Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 108026/03 100855/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
ROBERT CIAIO, as Executor for the Estate of ANGELO CIAIO,	:	Index Nos. 116191/03 100855/03
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
DEANA CERRONI, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN J. CERRONI,	:	Index No. 100854/03
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
ROBERT J. DOMBROWSKI and MARJORIE DOMBROWSKI, Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.		Index No. 190114/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
Patti Burshtyn, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

MAY 10 2012

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
ELIZABETH KANE BLANC, as Executrix for the Estate of WALTER T. KANE, and DEBORAH ANN KANE, as Executrix for the Estate of FLORENCE S. KANE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	Index Nos. 102379/04 100864/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Ent.

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
MARILYN PAGAN, as Executrix for the Estate of CARLOS GARCIA,	:	Index Nos. 114007/03 100904/03
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
RALPH R. LEBERER (Dec.) and MARGARET LEBERER,	:	Index No. 100864/03
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111957/04

James G. Kutkuhn and Barbara L. Kutkuhn

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.[illegible]285

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119461/03

Laura Landino, as Executrix of the Estate of Frank Landino, a/k/a Franklin Delano Landino a/k/a Frank Delano Landino, Deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30635

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

----------x

JOANNE BISCHOFSERGER, CAROLANNE CHAMBERLAIN AND SUZANNE V. PADDOCK, AS CO-EXECUTRIXES OF THE ESTATES OF DIANA MARY VERDE AND ANTHONY VERDE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al. including, RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 107352-2005

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RESEARCH-COTTRELL, INC. n/k/a AWT AIR COMPANY, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 8, 2012

Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick, Detert, Moran & Arnold, LLP
Attorneys for Research-Cottrell, Inc.
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

NJ/477291v1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

MARY KNEISEL and WILLIAM KNEISEL, **Index No. 190080-2012**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant General Electric Company hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant General Electric Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant General Electric Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-20-2012

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for General Electric Company
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

MARY KNEISEL and WILLIAM KNEISEL, **Index No. 190080-2012**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. et al., including CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a VIACOM INC., successor by merger to CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPROATION,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant CBS Corporation, a Delaware corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by merger to CBS Corporation, a Pennsylvania corporation, f/k/a Westinghouse Electric Corporation (hereinafter "Westinghouse") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Westinghouse with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Westinghouse be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
4-30-2012

Benjamin Daoche, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Michael A. Tanenbaum, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for CBS Corporation, f/k/a Viacom Inc., successor by Merger To CBS Corporation, F/K/A Westinghouse Electric Corporation
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Signed & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL**

MAXIMILLIAN SPRENG and ANNELIESE SPRENG, **Index No. 190297-2011**

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

3M COMPANY Individually and as Successor to Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co. et al., including FOSTER WHEELER LLC,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Foster Wheeler LLC hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Foster Wheeler LLC with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Foster Wheeler LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
MAY 8, 2012

Jessica Russell, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Stephen Novakidis, Esq.
Sedgwick LLP
Attorneys for Foster Wheeler LLC
Three Gateway Center, 12th Floor
Newark, NJ 07102

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: NYCAL
FRANK RUGNETTA and HELEN RUGNETTA,		: Index No. 190389/2011
Plaintiffs,		: **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-		:
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*,		: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler : IAS Part 30
Defendants.		:

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

By: _____
Daniel Wasserberg

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP

By: _____
Jason Kaufman

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VERNON DePRIEST

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 03-102479

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, NY
_____, 2012

By: _____ 5/4/2012
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld: _____

13877861.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH A. MANCUSO

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-107457

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
_____, 2012

By: _____ 5/4/2012
Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Signed & Dtd: _____

13877861.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:	
JOSEPH E. CARR (dec.),	Index No.: 02/114016
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,	
Defendants.	

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/3, 2012

John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

CH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:	
JEFFREY A, ZAYAS (dec.),	Index No.: 02/119115 02/107102
Plaintiff(s),	
-against-	**NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,	
Defendants.	

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
513, 2012

John J. Burbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
George A. Walker and Phyllis C. Walker,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 109483/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/3, 2012

Matthew Park, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
George A. Walker and Phyllis C. Walker
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 10124-53

MAY 10 2012

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

CLB55793/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ALICE BUTERA, AS Executrix for the Estate of VERNON DEPRIEST,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 127886/02 &
102479/03

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

4/23/2012

Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Matthew Charles, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Dkt.:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL

Index No. 190408/2011

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KENNETH EGGENBERGER AND LILA EGGENBERGER,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Elliott Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Elliott Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Elliott Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 29, 2012

John Ryan, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Kenneth Eggenberger, et al.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Elliott Company
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	**Index Nos. 190081-11**
WILLIAM JOSEPH GORHAM and FRANCIS MARY GORHAM	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/7, 2012

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
WILLIAM JOSEPH GORHAM and FRANCIS MARY GORHAM
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **MATTHEW DEGAETANO, Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL. Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 107183/02** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

4/12/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Entered & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Edward Kuczwara,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190265/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/7, 2012

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Edward Kuczwara
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raghu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-11837

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Clerk's Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Arlene Labocetta,

Index No.: 190260/11

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Goulds Pumps Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
5/7, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Susanna M. Ratcliffe, Esq. DANIEL
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. WASSERBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Arlene Labocetta
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Raggu Bandlamudi, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Goulds Pumps Inc.
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 6754-11899

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

CHARLES L. CHIDESTER

Index No.: 190293/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Robertshaw Controls Company ("Robertshaw"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Robertshaw with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robertshaw be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 23, 2012

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Joseph P. LaSala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Robertshaw Controls Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 1 0 2012

Sent - Rcvd & Dtd:

1743830_1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190095/08

Marica Krivicic, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Carlo Krivicic, Deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335[illegible]299[illegible]

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4059367.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113481/02
107401/02

James P. Mastin

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/23/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.2505

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4913841v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 112168/04

Hubert Lee King, Jr., as Personal Representative of the Estate of Hubert Lee King, Sr., and Miriam King, Individually

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.3166

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shelry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103505/04

Jo Anne Healy, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Thomas Healy, and Jo Anne Healy, Individually

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/27/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31045

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4059063.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106592/08

Peter Shea Lang and Mary Lou Lang

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32243

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 11 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106593/08

Donald R. Langston and Peggy Langston

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/2/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.328

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4059393.1

X.:/cases/FBW46518/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

SILVIO CESTRA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 104416/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

4/12/12

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 1 0 2012

CVH - Rcvd & Dtd:

X:/cases/FBW46515/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ROBERT FLAHERTY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S., INC.

Defendant(s).

----------X

Index No.: 104011-03 & 126686-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

4/12/12

Suzanne M. Halbardier, Esq.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE
Attorneys for Defendant
FULTON BOILER WORKS
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107100/02
124268/02

John R. Saltzer Sr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/23/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.27414

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 14 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4913860v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 117541/02

Harold R. Schwilk

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/24/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.2[illegible]74

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4913864v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107086/01

Stephen M. Shedlow

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/24/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05331.15183

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shirley K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107004/02
115173/02

Leonard P. Sitarek

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/24/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05135.26402

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 1 6 2012

Rcvd & Dtd:

4913871v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 127329/02
113567/02

Kiriakos Pappas

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/23/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.28139

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 1 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107403/02
114095/02

Ralph J. Ruggiero

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/23/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.26250

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4913857v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114368/04

Kerman Kornweiser and Beatrice Kornweiser

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31837

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 30 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190164/09

Sidney Goldstein and Dolores Goldstein

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/26/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.34365

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld.

4060997.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190081-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WILLIAM JOSEPH GORHAM and FRANCIS MARY GORHAM,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 7, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM JOSEPH GORHAM and FRANCIS MARY GORHAM,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendant(s).

Index No.: 190081-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: May 7, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____ MAY 18 2012

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HOWARD E. JAMES, SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 127886/02 &
102477/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89795

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RONALD E. HOEFT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100234/03 &
106359/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89897

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT M. HILL

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100229/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89896

FILED
MAY 25 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT PYANOWSKI

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 99-125791
04-103005

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, NY
May 1, 2012

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: B. R. D.

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Entered & Ord:

13833735.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RALPH GESUALDI

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-217638

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, NY
5/1, 2012

By: _____
Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at ~~Fountain~~ Plaza
~~40 Fountain~~ Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

DKM - Nova a Ltd: _____

13646404.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES W. HOLECEK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-126687

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, NY
5/1, 2012

By: _____
Michael Favello, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

CLERK - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13877861.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND NODELL

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-126686

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, NY
5/1, 2012

By: _____
Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH Rcvd & Dtd: _____

13845723.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HENRY HAYWARD

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-126037

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, NY
5/1, 2012

By: _____
Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Signed: _____

13845723.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DAVID DAVIDSON

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 03-102362

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, NY
5/1, 2012

By: _____

_____, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____.

13877861.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 05-116057

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD M. MUELLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
5/4, 2012

By: _____
Matthew Park, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

13877861.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT McDONALD

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 111778/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North America, LLC, sued herein as "Freightliner Corp." ("DTNA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 20, 2012

By: _____
John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Joseph J. Ortego, Esq.
Santo Borruso, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant, Daimler Trucks North America, LLC s/h/a "Freightliner Corp."
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, NY 11735-2728
(516) 832-7500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

13820308.2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MAXIMILIAN SPRENG and ANNELIESE SPRENG, Plaintiff, vs. BRASS-CRAFT MANUFACTURING COMPANY, et al. Defendants.	Index No.: 190297/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Brass-Craft Manufacturing Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Brass-Craft Manufacturing Company, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants all claims and cross claims against defendant, Brass-Craft Manufacturing Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
February 1, 2012

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By:_____
Jessica Russell, Esq.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

ANSA ASSUNCAO, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Brass-Craft Manufacturing Company

By:_____
James S. Coons, Esq.
707 Westchester Avenue, Suite 309
White Plains, New York 10604
(914) 298-2260

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT FLAHERTY

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-126686

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
5/1, 2012

By: _____

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Read & Did: _____

13877861.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
Our File No. 35224

WALTER D GUTHRIE and LONA GUTHRIE

Plaintiff(s),

vs.

THE WILLIAM POWELL COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants(s).

Index No.: 190114-11

DEFENDANT THE WILLIAM POWELL COMPANY'S NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant The William Powell Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant The William Powell Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant The William Powell Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-3-12
2011

BY: _____
Bonnie M. Steinwolf, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
212-681-1575

BY: _____
Matthew H. Mueller, Esq.
Clemente Mueller, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendant
The William Powell Company
5 Penn Plaza, 19th Floor
New York, New York 10001
212-425-5005

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD STERNER

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-114859

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, NY
5/1, 2012

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: B. Dwyer

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Filed & Dtd:

13595928.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANDREW S. NEER

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-107404
07-116798

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
5/1, 2012

By: _____

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

13595928.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BARTOLOMEO J. BARBUTO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115837/03 & 100234/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89894

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012
Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EVERETT A. BLANCHARD

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100085/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89888

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRED SCHERER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102471/03 &
127886/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89875

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WALTER RABINOWITZ

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104381/03 &
126934/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89254

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALFRED DIFRANCO

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 103527/03 &
126681/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89126

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x	NYCAL
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30
----------x	(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARTIN ROTHSTEIN,

Index No.: 03/104155
02/126935

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

Defendants.
----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
April 17th, 2012

John J. Rutbridge, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, NY 11201
(718)855-9000

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DANIEL J. KENNEY, JR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 115565/03 &
100396/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89933

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SAM - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES A. ROSS

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 104154/03 &
126935/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89256

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 14 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Otd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
This Document Relates to:

FRANK J. STILA

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 126681/02 &
103496/03 &
103869/06

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.

MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., improperly named as Premier Refractories, Inc. f/k/a Adience, Inc. f/k/a BMI**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89264

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BILLIE W. SELF

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 127400/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89260

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES W. MCDONALD, JR

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102171/03 &
127887/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN
& COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89797

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD MALONEY

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 127888/02 & 102382/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89796

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH Hcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HERMAN ABRAHAM

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100282/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89925

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARY L. JEDNAT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100283/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89926

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012
Entered & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BRITT L. MORTON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100083/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89891

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SAH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NICHOLAS K. KOLEFF, SR.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 128025/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89880

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WAYNE R. SEAMAN

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100087/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89892

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH L. HAMMOND

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 102892/03 &
100741/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89943

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 11 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALBERT V. MONROE III

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 100727/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89946

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 1 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD T. MOTT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100720/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.889947

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Hcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT F. NUGENT

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100287/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.899

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DAVID C. NIEMCZYK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100336/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89927

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012
Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GINO PICISTRELLI

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100718/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 1052
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89948

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SUZANNE LEITHNER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)

Index No: 105155/03 & 100731/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs,

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89945

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Revd & Utd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES H. LEASOR

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30, (Heitler, J.)
Index No: 100726/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

James M. Skelly, Esq.
MARKS, O'NEILL, O'BRIEN & COURTNEY, P.C.
Attorneys for **Adience f/k/a BMI, Inc.**
530 Saw Mill River Road
Elmsford, New York 10523
(914) 345-7301
File No.: 473.89944

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Hovd & Did:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:
JAMES G. COSTELLO

Index No.: 110088/99

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant BURNHAM LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BURNHAM LLC, be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
4-25, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James G. Costello
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
212-558-5500

Joseph M. Angiolillo, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Burnham LLC
177 Montague Street
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 855-9000
Our File No.: 11084-3004

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

COSMO CASAREGOLA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co., *et al.*,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190421/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL IAS PART 30

WHEREFORE, Defendant Fisher Controls International LLC, identified herein as "Fisher Controls International LLC, a subsidiary of Emerson Electric Co." ("Fisher"), requests Summary Judgment in the above entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Fisher with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Fisher be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 9, 2012

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG

By: _____
Lori A. Benavides, Esq.
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
T: 212.605.6200
Attorneys for Plaintiff

SIMON•LESSER PC

By: _____
Leonard F. Lesser, Esq.
Andrew W. Dean, Esq.
420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 345
New York, New York 10170
T: 212.599.5455
Attorneys for Defendant Fisher Controls International LLC

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

_____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: **Index No: 108898-03**

JAMES W. CALLINAN and JO ANNE CALLINAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 111588-03

EUGENE M. BREETVELD,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
~~Manasquan~~, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

PHILLIP A. BENEDETTO,

Index No.: 100864-03
111911-03

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., et al.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

EDWIN MARSH ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190083-12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 23, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph Vazquez, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, ~~LLC~~
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
~~(732) 528-~~8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Klein

MAY 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

WALTER DEPAOLA ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190464-11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 4/10/12
New York, New York

John Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: **Index No: 100741-03**

FRANK CANALE and ANTOINETTE CANALE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 127886-02

WILLIAM F. HASSLER and MARGARET P. HASSLER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
~~(732)~~ 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---x

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 11/190421

COSMO CASAREGOLA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co., et al.

Defendant(s).

---x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

~~Robert Komitor, Esq.~~ LORI A. BENAVIDES, ESQ.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

HERBERT SUSSMAN and LENORE SUSSMAN ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

BORG WARNER CORPORATION, by its successor-in-interest BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190105-12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Ingersoll-Rand Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105626-03

ROBERT JOSEPH BLASO and MARIA BLASO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 101635-03

FRITZ BUHRER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION This Document Relates to: **GERALD BEERS and JANE BEERS,** **Plaintiff(s),** v. **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,** **Defendant(s).**	**Index No.: 190221/11** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 7, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Rheem Manufacturing Company
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & [illegible]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Veda M. Duell, as Executrix for the Estate of Albert Duell and Veda M. Duell, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 110260-04; 111642-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 126291-02

PATSY LADUE, as Executrix for the Estate of DENNIS LADUE, and PATSY LADUE, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 17 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126686-02
104018-03

VINCENT LEONE and JOANNE LEONE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: **Index No: 126686-02**

FREDERICK A. PORTA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190423-11

GORDON CONKLIN and JACQUELINE CONKLIN, HIS WIFE,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff,

-against-

4520 CORP INC. as Successor in Interest to B.F. Shaw Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 23, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Erik P. Karst, Esq.
Karst & Von Oiste, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
19500 State Highway 249, Suite 420
Houston, TX 77070
(281) 970-9988

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane U.S. Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 17 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190525-11

EDWARD WIERBACK,

Plaintiff,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et al.

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 23, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Erik P. Karst, Esq.
Karst & Von Oiste, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
19500 State Highway 249, Suite 420
Houston, TX 77070
(281) 970-9988

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane U.S. Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SAM - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 12-190030

GEORGE T. HOBBS, JR. and MARIE JANE HOBBS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL INC., (Individually and as Successor to ARMSTRONG MACHINE WORKS), et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, INGERSOLL-RAND COPMANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, INGERSOLL-RAND COPMANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant INGERSOLL-RAND COPMANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 23, 2012
New York, New York

Mark Bibro, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
INGERSOLL RAND COMPANY
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
DONALD SZOBODI, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of RONALD STEPHEN SZOBODI, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	Index Nos. 100855/03 103500/06 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
FRANK T. SNYDER (Dec.), Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., Defendants.	:	Index Nos. 104543/03 100855/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
DEBORAH MCGOWAN, as Executrix for the Estate of ALBERT J. MIRRA,	:	Index Nos. 100866/03 108780/03
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

Rec'd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
STEPHANIE D. BALSECA, as Executrix for the Estate of STEVEN D. WHITE, and DEANNE WHITE, Individually,	:	Index Nos. 100864/03 110509/03
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
MARGARET WARDELL, as Executrix for the Estate of ELMONT E. WARDELL,	:	Index No. 100855/03
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J)

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

June 2012 FIFO Group

EUGENE M. BREETVELD and THERESA BREETVELD,

Index No. 111588-2003

Plaintiffs,

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants,

----------X

WHEREFORE, Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a CHAMPION INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a CHAMPION INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a CHAMPION INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
4-25, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
By ~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 509-3456
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CLARK, GAGLIARDI & MILLER, P.C.
By John S. Rand, Esq.
99 Court Street
White Plains, New York 10601
(914) 946-8900
Attorneys for Defendant INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY s/h/a CHAMPION INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

Dated:

Mail - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — Index No. 40,000/88

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EUGENE M. BREETVELD and THERESA BREETVELD,, — Index No.: 111588-03

Plaintiff,

-against- — AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO..

Defendants.

----------X

STATE OF NEW YORK)
ss:
COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER)

Beth Ann Lacy, being worn says: I am not a party to the action, am over 18 years of age and reside at Tarrytown, New York.

On March 20, 2012, I served a true copy of the annexed No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order in the following manner:

☑ **Service By mail** — By mailing the same in a sealed envelope, with postage prepaid thereon, in a post-office or official depository of the U.S. Postal Service within the State of New York, addressed to the last known address of the addressee(s) as indicated below:

☐ **Personal Service** — By delivering the same personally to the persons at the address indicated below:

☐ **Service by Electronic means** — By transmitting the same to the attorney by electronic means to the telephone number or other station or other limitation designated by the attorney for that purpose. In doing so I received a signal from the equipment of the attorney indicating that the transmission was received, and mailed a copy of same to that attorney, in a sealed envelope, with postage prepaid thereon, in a post office or official depository of the U.S. Postal Service within the State of New York, addressed to the last-known address of the

☐ **Overnight Delivery Service** — by depositing the same with an overnight delivery service in a wrapper properly addressed. Said delivery was made prior to the latest time designated by the overnight delivery service for overnight delivery. The address and delivery service are indicated below:

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

SERVICE LIST CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE

Sworn to before me on March 20, 2012

Marion J. D'Aloise

Marion J. D'Aloise
Notary Public, State of New York
No. 01MA4764629
Qualified in Westchester County
Commission Expires November 30, 2014

Beth Ann Lacy

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Maximilian Spreng and Anneliese Spreng,

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

3M Company, et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 11-190297

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mestek, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Mestek, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mestek, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-30, 2012

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
212.681.1575

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mestek, Inc.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Maximilian Spreng and Anneliese Spreng,

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

3M Company, et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 11-190297

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Velan Valve Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Velan Valve Corp. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Velan Valve Corp. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-30, 2012

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
212.681.1575

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Velan Valve Corp.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

ME1 12883952v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Cosmo Casaregola,

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

3M Company, et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 11-190421

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Velan Valve Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Velan Valve Corp. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Velan Valve Corp. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
4-30, 2012

~~Audrey Raphael, Esq.~~ Lori A. Benavides, Esq
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Ave, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022
212.605.6267

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Velan Valve Corp.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

ME1 12895787v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Kenneth Eggenberger and Lila Eggenberger,

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

Aerco International, Inc., et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 11-190408

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Velan Valve Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Velan Valve Corp. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Velan Valve Corp. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-30, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 5th Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
212.681.1575

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Velan Valve Corp.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Signed & Dtd:

ME1 12883146v.1

7104-480(11)
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ROBERT C. MC DONALD AND ELISABETH MC DONALD, ***Plaintiffs*** -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. ***Defendants***	NYCAL INDEX NO: 111778-04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendants, Motion Control Industries, Inc., as predecessor in interest to Carlisle Corporation ("Motion Control"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Motion Control, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Motion Control be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/20/12.

By: _____ 4/20/12
John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212-558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Russell F. Anderson, Jr., Esq.
HARWOOD LLOYD, LLC
350 Fifth Avenue, 59th Floor
New York, NY 10118
212-268-5136
Attorneys for Defendant, Motion Control Industries, Inc.

Dated: New York, New York
_____.

SO ORDERED: _____
HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 1 A 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1982777

7104-241 (11)
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

VIRGINIA BIRGE, as Executrix or the Estate of HAROLD BIRGE, and VIRGINIA BIRGE, Individually *Plaintiff* -against- A.C.and S., INC., et al. *Defendants*	**NYCAL** **INDEX NO. 123226-01** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendants, Motion Control Industries, Inc., as predecessor-in-interest to Carlisle Corporation ("Motion Control"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Motion Control, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Motion Control be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

_____.

By: Matthew T. MacIntyre 4/11/12
Lisa Nathanson Busch, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212-558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Russell A. Pepe, Esq.
HARWOOD LLOYD, LLC
350 Fifth Avenue, 59th Floor
New York, NY 10118
212-268-5136
Attorneys for Defendant,
Motion Control Industries, Inc.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____.

MAY 10 2012

SO ORDERED: _____
HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1720618_1.DOC

7104-377(11)
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

KATHY METZGER, as Executrix for the Estate of DENNIS WHALEN

Plaintiffs

-against-

A.C. and S., INC., et al.

Defendants

NYCAL

INDEX NO. 107450-01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendants, Motion Control Industries, Inc., as predecessor-in-interest to Carlisle Corporation ("Motion Control"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Motion Control, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Motion Control be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

_____.

By: 4/11/2012
~~Lisa Nathanson Rusch, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212-558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Russell A. Pepe, Esq.
HARWOOD LLOYD, LLC
350 Fifth Avenue, 59th Floor
New York, NY 10118
212-268-5136
Attorneys for Defendant,
Motion Control Industries, Inc.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____.

SO ORDERED: _____
HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1720586_1.DOC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190120/12

BENJAMIN K. SCHAEFFER and CHARLENE VIRGINIA SCHAEFFER,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/24/12
New York, New York

Bryan Belasky Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111778-04
111940-03

ELISABETH S. MCDONALD, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT MCDONALD, and ELISABETH S. MCDONALD, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

MAY 10 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To: **Index No.: 100455-05**

KENNETH L. NIXON and FREDA NIXON,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 30, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Keith M. O'Connor, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

7104-127 (11)
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

OLLIE DOYCHAK, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANDREW DOYCHAK and OLLIE DOYCHAK, Individually

Plaintiffs

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants

NYCAL
INDEX NO. 105654-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendants, Motion Control Industries, Inc., as predecessor-in-interest to Carlisle Corporation ("Motion Control"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Motion Control, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Motion Control be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/11/12.

By: _____
Lisa Nathanson Busch, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212-558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Russell A. Pepe, Esq.
HARWOOD LLOYD, LLC
350 Fifth Avenue, 59th Floor
New York, NY 10118
212-268-5136
Attorneys for Defendant,
Motion Control Industries, Inc.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

_____.

SO ORDERED: _____
HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Hold & Ltd: _____

1956798

7104-136 (11)
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ROBERT B. GAGLIARDO and BARBARA GAGLIARDO ***Plaintiffs*** -against- A.C.and S., INC., et al. ***Defendants***	**NYCAL** **INDEX NO. 104982-01** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendants, Motion Control Industries, Inc., as predecessor-in-interest to Carlisle Corporation ("Motion Control"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Motion Control, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Motion Control be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/20/12

By: Matthew Mac Intyre
Lisa Nathanson Busch, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212-558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: [signature]
Russell A. Pepe, Esq.
HARWOOD LLOYD, LLC
350 Fifth Avenue, 59th Floor
New York, NY 10118
212-268-5136
Attorneys for Defendant,
Motion Control Industries, Inc.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: [signature]
HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012
SKH Hand & Dtd: 1

1720583_1

7104-53 (11)
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

CHARLES JOHNSON and JOAN JOHNSON,

Plaintiffs

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., et als.

Defendants

NYCAL
INDEX NOS: 121477/02
105917/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendants, Motion Control Industries, Inc., as predecessor in interest to Carlisle Corporation ("Motion Control"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Motion Control, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Motion Control be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/11/12

By: _____
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212-558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Russell A. Pepe, Esq.
HARWOOD LLOYD, LLC
130 Main Street
Hackensack, NY 07601
201-487-1080
Attorneys for Defendant,
Motion Control Industries, Inc.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED: _____
HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

1808221-1

7104-734 (11)
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

WILLIAM PISANO, ***Plaintiffs*** -against- ABEX CORPORATION, et als. ***Defendants***	NYCAL INDEX NO: 190077/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendants, Motion Control Industries, Inc., as predecessor in interest to Carlisle Corporation ("Motion Control"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Motion Control, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Motion Control be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/12/2012.

By: _____
Carmen St. George, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10003
212-605-6200
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Russell A. Pepe, Esq.
HARWOOD LLOYD, LLC
350 Fifth Avenue, 59th Floor
New York, NY 10118
212-268-5136
Attorneys for Defendant,
Motion Control Industries, Inc.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
_____.

SO ORDERED: _____
HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

1799825-1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

NILA HAUPTNER, Individually and as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD HAUPTNER,

Index No.: 190273-11

Plaintiff,

- against –

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., COPES-VULCAN, INC.et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, Defendant, COPES-VULCAN, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **COPES-VULCAN, INC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **COPES-VULCAN, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
May 2, 2012

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh & Slater, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
COPES-VULCAN, INC.
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DIANE DEFRANCISI, as Executrix of the Estate of LEONARD DEFRANCISI and DIANE DEFRANCISI, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

- against –

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., individually And as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan, et al

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 110682/06

SECOND NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York
3/3, 2012

_____, Esq.
Beldock & Fox
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Fl
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

CYNTHIA K. MESSEMER, ESQ.
Hodges Walsh Slater LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., individually and as successor to Tappan and Copes-Vulcan
55 Church Street, Suite 211
White Plains, NY 10601
Tel: 914-385-6000
Fax: 914-385-6060

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

So Ordered: _____ J.S.C.

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X NYCAL – IAS Part 30

MARY KNEISEL and WILLIAM KNEISEL, Index No.: 190080-12

Plaintiffs, Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al,

No Opposition Summary

Defendants. **Judgment Motion and Order**

----------X

WHEREFORE, Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/23-2012

Benjamin Darche
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Norman J. Golub
Marshall Conway & Bradley, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant Slant/Fin Corporation
45 Broadway
New York, New York 10006
(212) 619-4444

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WILLIAM HEUER and RENEE HEUER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., et al,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL – IAS Part 30
Index No.: 190056-12

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order

WHEREFORE, Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2012

4/23/2012

Samuel Meirowitz
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Norman J. Golub
Marshall Conway & Bradley, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant Slant/Fin Corporation
45 Broadway
New York, New York 10006
(212) 619-4444

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190221/11

GERALD BEERS and JANE BEERS,

Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant, BIRD INCORPORATED hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, BIRD INCORPORATED with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BIRD INCORPORATED be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: MAY 7, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
BIRD INCORPORATED
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

SO ORDERED,

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 5/10/12

7104-391(11)
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

BRENDA L. TANNER, as Administratrix for the Estate of William Tanner, and BRENDA L. TANNER, Individually

Plaintiff

-against-

A.C. and S., Inc., et al

Defendants

NYCAL
INDEX NO: 105354-01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendants, Motion Control Industries, Inc., as predecessor-in-interest to Carlisle Corporation ("Motion Control"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-captioned matter, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Motion Control, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against Motion Control be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

_____.

By: 4/11/12
Lisa Nathanson Busch, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212-558-5500
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Russell A. Pepe, Esq.
HARWOOD LLOYD, LLC
350 Fifth Avenue, 59th Floor
New York, NY 10118
212-268-5136
Attorneys for Defendant,
Motion Control Industries, Inc.

Dated: New York, New York

_____.

SO ORDERED: _____
HONORABLE SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER, J.S.C.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1720599_1.DOC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates to:

KENNETH EGGENBERGER and LILA EGGENBERGER,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

Index No.: 190408/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 7, 2012
New York, New York

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC.,
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

MH - Rcvd & Dtd

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

THOMAS J. BRUGAR and TERI BRUGAR ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et al.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190118-12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC., f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: May 4, 2012
New York, New York

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
Braaten & Pascarella, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
Trane US Inc., f/k/a American Standard, Inc.
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Honorable Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

Index No.: 190120/12

BENJAMIN K. SCHAEFFER and CHARLENE VIRGINIA SCHAEFFER,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 4/24/12
New York, New York

Bryan Belasky, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
BRAATEN & PASCARELLA, LLC
Attorney for Defendant
TRANE US INC. f/k/a AMERICAN STANDARD INC.,
2430 Route 34, Suite A-18
Manasquan, New Jersey 08736
(732) 528-8888

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114090/02
107403/02

Edward J. Stufano

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/24/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.26255

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4913883v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113280/02
120076/02

Andrew J. Susalka

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/24/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.28083

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 14 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4913885v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 113837/07

William Markie and Caroline Markie a/k/a Caroline McCarthy

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053[illegible]32146

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

4059436.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190006/08

Darlene Larson

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.3[illegible]78

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

Entered & Dtd:

4059396.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126776/02

George Lawrence

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.28973

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 1 A 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4059417.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 104802/08

Richard Henn and Virginia Henn

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/27/12

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32786

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4059068.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106152/08

Peter M. Homa and Helen Homa

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/27/12

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32835

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4059083.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190281/09

Robert Horn

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.34461

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dtd:

4060798.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115227/07

Sally Gross, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of Seymour Gross

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/26/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32681

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH

4059023.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190081/08

Sarah Kelleher, as Executrix of the Estate of Bernard Donnely Hauserman, Deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/26/12

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32996

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4059045.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103614/05

Alfred L. Haygood and Tamika L. Sanford

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/27/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.[illegible]

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4059053.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115916/06

Lucien Igrassia and Constance Igrassia

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32467

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Revd & Dtd: _____

4059094.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 106546/04

Pamela Kahn, as Administrator of the Estate of Walter A. Kahn

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31155

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4059119.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 110879/08

Barry Kasven, Executor of the Estate of Irene Kasven

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.32873

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dld:

4059128.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115505/07

Marilyn Levey, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of William B. Ker, Deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31677

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4059142.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119468/02
113278/02

Harry Tarnowski

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/25/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.27984

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shelly K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4913891v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107004/02
115179/02

Lowell H. Thompson

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/25/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.25408

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4913898v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 126818/02
110269/02

Michele Tudisco

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/25/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.27642

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4913903v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107102/02
119118/02

James A. Williams

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/26/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.26105

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111909/02
107001/02

Walter W. Wilsnack III

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/26/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05331.25265

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 1 4 2012

SRH - Revd & Dtd: _____

4913917v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119673/00

Alexander Zablozki

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/26/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.14[illegible]22

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 1 R 2012

SKH - Nova & Did.

4913921v.1

CLB55462/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

WILLIAM L. MORITZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190294/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

David Chandler,Esq. 5/3/12
Attroney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Sania Malikzay, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

Rcvd & Dld: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190162/09

Thomas Leahy and Evelyn Leahy

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053[illegible]34[illegible]68

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

Rcvd & Dtd:

4061031.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 116300/03

Ella Ruth Montoney and Donna Jean Weese as Executrix of the Estate of Oscar Foane Montoney

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/7/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05365.30494

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Revised

4059459.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 123305/02

Ronald E. Morrone, as Administrator of the Estate of Thomas D. Oliva

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30336

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

4059466.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190036/10

Nathaniel Murray

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.34515

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190204/09

Penryn A. Naumoff, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Harold Naumoff, deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05339.34445

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4059478.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 124444/02
127679/02

Joseph Syracuse

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

4/25/12

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 01835.28548

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

ENTERED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

4913890v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190212/09

Phyllis "Sue" Melancon, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Neal Louis Melancon, Deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/7/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.34442

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

4060774.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 100405/08

George Mastroianni, as Executor of the Estate of Frank Charles Mastroianni

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05315.32733

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

MAY 1 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4059441.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 105403/08

Anastasia Mazur, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Joseph F. Mazur, deceased

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/7/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.[illegible]

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 1 [illegible] 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4059450.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190329/09

Rodger Dale McClellan and Shirley Lee McClellan

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/7/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.[illegible]

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 1 0 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4060935.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 102033/05

Gordon A. McCormick and Monique McCormick

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/7/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.31609

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 1 0 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4059453.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 119462/03

Samuel Martino

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans
Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.30634

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4059438.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107927/04

Larry Lee Lohr and Ruth Ann Lohr

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 0533[illegible]119

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 1 0 2012

M - Rcvd & Dtd:

4059423.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190054/09

Theodore Majka

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 053[illegible]4124

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

4061029.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 108009/01

Diana A. Johnson, as Administrator of the Estate of Simon B. Manning, and Diana A. Johnson, Individually

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY,** be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/4/12

Joseph Belluck, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Julie Evans

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File No. 05335.[illegible]

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

4059432.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

VINCENT DRAGONE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, et al.,

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 190192/2010

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES

WHEREFORE, defendant ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 05.08.12

Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Diane H. Miller, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
ZY-TECH GLOBAL INDUSTRIES
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dist

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190081/11

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM J. GORHAM,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
546 FIFTH AVENUE, 4th FLOOR
New York, NY 10036
(888) 808-0428

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 110478/02

----------x

RICHARD DAY and LINDA LEE DAY,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PACCAR, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

5/8, 2012

4/30/12

~~Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.~~ Samuel Meirowitz
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
~~SEGAL~~ McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: **114483/02**

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

VITO CONTI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/7, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 10/190182

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

RICHARD DUQUETTE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/7, 2012
New York, New York

Jason Hodrinsky, Esq.
Early Ludwig Sweeney & Strauss
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th floor
New York, NY 10017

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 116927/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM J. DONNELLY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/7, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: **100354/01**

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JAMES ECKERT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/7, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq. DANIEL ELOVIN
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 100558/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ESTATE OF LAWRENCE ELIE, et al
Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/7, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
830 Third Avenue, Suite 400
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 5/1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 107457/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

JOSEPH A. MANCUSO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/7, 2012
New York, New York

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 14875/03

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

LOUIS CAMPISI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/7, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil McLain
830 Third Avenue, Suite 400
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Entered & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 118687-01

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

PETER CONNOLLY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/7 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 113277/02
119810/02

----------x

WILLIAM J. BERTON,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

PACCAR, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

5/8, 2012

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Jennifer Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - MOTION & ORD.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: **110574/00**

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ANTHONY BAGNETT,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/7, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

Index No.: 190114/12

ROBERT J. DOMBROWSKI and MAJORIE DOMBROWSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

5/8/2012

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Ted Eder, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190408/10

CLAYTON SHANK and ARLENE SHANK,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant TAMKO BUILDING PRODUCTS, INC. hereinafter ("TAMKO") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant TAMKO with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant TAMKO be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

5/8/2012

Erik P. Karst, Esq.
KARST & VON OISTE LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
19500 State Highway 249
Suite 420
Houston, Texas 77070

Katrina H. Murphy, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
TAMKO Building Products, Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 127888/02

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

RAYMOND HODGE, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 4\27, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 119390/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ERNEST BELLITTI,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSGTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/7, 2012
New York, New York

Lisa Busch, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
830 Third Avenue, Suite 400
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SRH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 119390/00

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ROBERT BISHOP, SR.,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/17, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
~~(212) 651-7500~~

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 106508/02;
110848/02

JOHN BUCKLEY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AC and S, INC., (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY) et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN COMPANY, INC., hereinafter ("WEIL-MCLAIN") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 5/7, 2012
New York, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: NELLIE M. RAGONE, as Executrix for the Estate of SALVATORE RAGAON and NELLIE RAGONE, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, 116190/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ragone, Salvatore
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: NELLIE M. RAGONE, as Executrix for the Estate of SALVATORE RAGAON and NELLIE RAGONE, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, 116190/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ragone, Salvatore
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1426

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DONALD M. MUELLER AND MARY MUELLER, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 116057/05 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Donald M. Mueller and Mary Mueller
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012

MAY 10 2012 C 1122-0955 CLERK'S O CE
NEW YORK

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

{N0130817-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN L. SHAW AND KAREN ANN SHAW, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108205/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Shaw, John L.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
& Dtd:

324-7414

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN L. SHAW AND KAREN ANN SHAW, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108205/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Shaw, John L.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Read & Did:

1235-18486

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK SNYDER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, 104543/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/14, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Snyder, Frank
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

2571-1428

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DOMINICK SODANO AND JUDITH SODANO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100731/03, 105144/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sodano, Dominick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

2571-1344

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DONALD D. SZOBODI, as Administrator for the Estate of RONALD STEPHEN SZOBODI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 103500/06, 100855/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Szobodi, Ronald Stephen
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

MAY 10 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-8699

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CARL T. TRUBIO AND FRANCES TRUBIO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100741/03, 102871/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Trubio, Carl T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ MAY 10 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-7232AD

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALBINO PIZZOLORUSSO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, 105027/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pizzolorusso, Albino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

324-7353N

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALBINO PIZZOLORUSSO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, 105027/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pizzolorusso, Albino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 10 2012
SRH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1235-688

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALBINO PIZZOLORUSSO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, 105027/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Pizzolorusso, Albino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Entered on Docket:

2571-1425

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH QUINN and NORMA QUINN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 122205/99, 108603/00 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4 26, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Horkovich Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Quinn, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Rcvd & Dtd: 1235-18769

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DONALD M. MUELLER AND MARY MUELLER, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 116057/05 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Donald M. Mueller and Mary Mueller
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 2385-27338

{N0130700-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EDWARD J. O'ROURKE AND MARY CLARE O'ROURKE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100908/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
O'Rourke, Edward J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-7277

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EDWARD J. O'ROURKE AND MARY CLARE O'ROURKE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100908/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
O'Rourke, Edward J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 10 2012
SHF - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LEO PALMER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100904/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Palmer, Leo
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOAN M. RUSSO, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH RUSSO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 102105/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Russo, Joseph
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

324-7366

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW SCHAEFER AND PEGGY SCHAEFER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 105146/03, 100731/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Schaefer, Andrew
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW SCHAEFER AND PEGGY SCHAEFER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 105146/03, 100731/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Schaefer, Andrew
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1235-23631

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ARNOLD D. SKOLER AND ELLA SKOLER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108111/03, 100904/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Skoler, Arnold Daniel
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

324-7511

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ARNOLD D. SKOLER AND ELLA SKOLER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108111/03, 100904/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Skoler, Arnold Daniel
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SM1 - Revd & Dtd: _____

1235-1093

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ARNOLD D. SKOLER AND ELLA SKOLER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108111/03, 100904/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Skoler, Arnold Daniel
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-762AD

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILBUR W. SMITH AND BETTY SMITH, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108586/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Smith, Wilbur W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

324-7512

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WILBUR W. SMITH AND BETTY SMITH, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108586/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
May 11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Smith, Wilbur W.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

2571-1448

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK SNYDER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, 104543/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Snyder, Frank
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

& Dtd:

324-7353R

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK SNYDER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, 104543/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Snyder, Frank
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Sent & Dtd: 1235-2841

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARY WARSZNITER, Individually and as Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH WARSZNITER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108209/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/1, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Warszniter, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd. _____

2571-1447

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LORRAINE WEBSTER, as Executrix for the Estate of BEVERLY M. WEBSTER, and LORRAINE WEBSTER, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100923/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/1, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Webster, Beverly M.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

324-7238

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

STEVE D. WHITE AND DEANNE WHITE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 110509/03, 100864/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/1, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
White, Steve D.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

324-7538

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: STEVE D. WHITE AND DEANNE WHITE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110509/03, 100864/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/14, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
White, Steve D.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
Signed & Dtd:

1235-21369

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN L. SHAW AND KAREN ANN SHAW, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108205/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Shaw, John L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____.

2571-1446

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DIANE SINRAM, as Executrix for the Estate of GEORGE SINRAM, and DIANE SINRAM, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 104852/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sinram, George
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARGARET WARDELL, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of ELMONT WARDELL and MARGARET WARDELL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wardell, Elmont
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

2571-1430

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROCCO MORANO, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of SABINO MORANO and CAROLINA MORANO Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100855/03, 109264/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Morano, Sabino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

324-7353J

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROCCO MORANO, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of SABINO MORANO and CAROLINA MORANO Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, 109264/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Morano, Sabino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1235-20925

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LEO PALMER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100904/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Palmer, Leo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SMT - Rcvd & Dtd:

2571-762AA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RICHARD VOSSELER AND LORETTA VOSSELER, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 128024/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2012

Nicole Price Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Richard Vosseler and Loretta Vosseler
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-23873

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Did:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ADA IRIS OVALLE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 112085/05 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/18, 2012

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ada Iris Ovalle
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-8918

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL WALSH, AS THE EXECUTOR OF THE ESTATE OF THOMAS WALSH, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 107544/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8/, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Thomas Walsh
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-20275

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

{N0128083-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL WALSH, AS THE EXECUTOR OF THE ESTATE OF THOMAS WALSH, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 107544/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8/, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Roy H. Schwartz, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Thomas Walsh
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-19755

{N0128083-1}

MAY 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN VAN BUREN, INDIVIDUALLY AS EXECUTOR OF THE ESTATE OF MAE MAUREEN VAN BUREN AND JOHN VAN BUREN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 116445/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Mae Maureen Van Buren
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2082-8912

{N0044016-1}

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RICHARD J. WALL, ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF JOHN EWIN WALL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 103960/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of John Ewin Wall
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

535-0519

{N0133008-1}

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Hcvd & Dkd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RICHARD J. WALL, AS ADMINISTRATRIVE OF THE ESTATE OF JOHN E. WALL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.,* Defendants.	Index No.: 103960/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of John E. Wall
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-20532

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dld:

{N0133008-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES G. KUTKUHN AND BARBARA L. KUTKUHN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 111957/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
James G. Kutkuhn and Barbara L. Kutkuhn
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

535-0562

{N0133008-1}

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES G. KUTKUHN AND BARBARA L. KUTKUHN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 111957/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
James G. Kutkuhn and Barbara L. Kutkuhn
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1003-0073

MAY 10 2012

Rcvd & Dtd:

{N0133008-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: THOMAS A. TRANFAGLIA AND MARIE TRANFAGLIA, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190270/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, improperly plead as Columbia Boiler, Co., herein after referred to as Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
2/28/, 2012

FILED
MAR 15 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Columbia Boiler Company of Pottstown
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tranfaglia, Thomas A.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2572-60

MAR 02 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DUANE ERICKSON AND LORETTA ERICKSON, Plaintiffs, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190333/11, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 10 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
4-13, 2012

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
ERICKSON, DUANE
Karst & von Oiste, LLP
19500 State Highway 249, Suite 420
Houston, TX 77070
(281) 970-9988

APR 27 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Ltd: ____

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1003-3669

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW SCHAEFER AND PEGGY SCHAEFER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 105146/03, 100731/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Schaefer, Andrew
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES G. KUTKUHN AND BARBARA L. KUTKUHN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 111957/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Gasket Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, United Gasket Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, United Gasket Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/18, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
United Gasket Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
James G. Kutkuhn and Barbara L. Kutkuhn
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1156-0002

{N0133008-1}

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH A. MANCUSO AND PATRICIA MANCUSO, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 107457/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8/, 2012

Nicole Price Wesselmann, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

~~Samuel M. Meirowitz, Esq.~~ DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph A. Mancuso and Patricia Mancuso
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
1235-18562

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

{N0137968-1}

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH A. MANCUSO AND PATRICIA MANCUSO, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 107457/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Jason S. Riemer, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Oakfabco, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

~~Samuel M. Mellowitz, Esq.~~ DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Joseph A. Mancuso and Patricia Mancuso
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1783

MAY 10 2012

{N0137968-1}

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KERMAN KORNWEISER AND BEATRICE KORNWEISER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 114368/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Michelle D. Grady

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kerman Kornweiser and Beatrice Kornweiser
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1003-0036

MAY 10 2012

{N0133008-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KENNETH EGGENBERGER AND LILA EGGENBERGER, Plaintiffs, -against- AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190408/11, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Taco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Taco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Taco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Taco, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Eggenberger, Kenneth
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

542-1477

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KENNETH EGGENBERGER AND LILA EGGENBERGER, Plaintiffs, -against- AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190408/11, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
EGGENBERGER, KENNETH
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 963 854

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GERALD BEERS AND JANE BEERS, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190221/11, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
BEERS, GERALD
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DIANE DEFRANCISI, INDIVIDUALLY AND EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF LEONARD DEFRANCISI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 110682/06 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/18, 2012

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Leonard DeFrancisi
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

535-0690

MAY 1 0 2012

KH - Rcvd & Dtd:

{N0128083-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT J. DOMBROWSKI AND MARJORIE DOMBROWSKI, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190114/12 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Atwood & Morrill Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Fl.
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Paula Burchtyn
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Dombrowski and Marjorie Dombrowski
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____ MAY 1 0 2012
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

963-1044
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT J. DOMBROWSKI AND MARJORIE DOMBROWSKI, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190114/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Aurora Pump Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Fl.
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Pam Bursky
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert Dombrowski and Marjorie Dombrowski
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 1 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 1003-4158

{N0137991-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FREDERICK R. YOUNG AND SHIRLEY YOUNG, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 118095/03, 100861/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Young, Frederick R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

324-7778

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ADELE ANZALONE, INDIVIDUALLY AND MICHAEL PHILIP ANZALONE, AS EXECUTOR FOR THE ESTATE OF PHILIP R. ANZALONE, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 127106/02, 107100/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/30, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

John Richmond
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Estate of Philip R. Anzalone
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

MAY 1 0 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-6884C

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GERALD BEERS AND JANE BEERS, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190221/11, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Taco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Taco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Taco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8/, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Taco, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
BEERS, GERALD
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012
542-1446
SKH Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GERALD BEERS AND JANE BEERS, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190221/11, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Twin City Clarage, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Twin City Clarage, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Twin City Clarage, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Twin City Clarage, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Beers, Gerald
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
Entered & Dtd.

1110-15

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JO ANNE HEALY, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE OF THE ESTATE OF THOMAS HEALY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 103505/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc. with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Thomas Healy
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

535-0532

{N0133008-1}

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES E. KINGSLEY, Plaintiff(s) -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190081/12 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, FAIRBANKS COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, FAIRBANKS COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, FAIRBANKS COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-19, 2012

Laura Hollman, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
FAIRBANKS COMPANY
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Benjamin Darché, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James E. Kingsley
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

{N0133069-1}

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: STEVE D. WHITE AND DEANNE WHITE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110509/03, 100864/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
White, Steve D.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH

2571-1431

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EMILEE WINGEN, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of JOHN H. WINGEN, SR. and EMILEE WINGEN, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wingen, John H. Sr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

324-7353W

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KENNETH EGGENBERGER AND LILA EGGENBERGER, Plaintiffs, -against- AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190408/11, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
EGGENBERGER, KENNETH
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

2571-1625

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PETER FRANCESCHI, JOHN FRANCESCHI, ELSA SANTIAGO, MAGALI FRANCESCHI, LUZ MELENDEZ, AS HEIRS AND ADMINISTRATORS OF THE ESTATE OF PEDRO FRANCESCHI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 103959/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Pedro Franceschi
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

{N0133008-1}

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

535-0526

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PETER FRANCESCHI, JOHN FRANCESCHI, ELSA SANTIOGO, MAGALI FRANCESCHI, LUZ MELENDEZ, AS HEIRS AND ADMINISTRATORS OF THE ESTATE OF PEDRO FRANCESCHI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 103959/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Pecora Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Pecora Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Pecora Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Carol Tempesta

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Pecora Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Pedro Franceschi
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

{N0133008-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALFRED L. HAYGOOD AND TAMIKA L. SANFORD, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 103614/05 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Alfred L. Haygood and Tamika L. Sanford
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

535-0605

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Hova & Dtd: ____

{N0133008-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALFRED L. HAYGOOD AND TAMIKA L. SANFORD, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 103614/05 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/18, 2012

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Alfred L. Haygood and Tamika L. Sanford
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-8914

MAY 10 2012

{N0044016-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES E. KINGSLEY, Plaintiff(s) -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190081/12 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, AURORA PUMP COMPANY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, AURORA PUMP COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, AURORA PUMP COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-30, 2012

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
AURORA PUMP COMPANY
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James E. Kingsley
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1003-4086

{N0133069-1}

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KERMAN KORNWEISER AND BEATRICE KORNWEISER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 114368/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8/, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kerman Kornweiser and Beatrice Kornweiser
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

454-10300

{N0133008-1}

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KERMAN KORNWEISER AND BEATRICE KORNWEISER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 114368/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc. with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/8, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kerman Kornweiser and Beatrice Kornweiser
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

535-0575

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

{N0133008-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CLAYTON SHANK and ARLENE SHANK

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190408-10

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC. by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MANNINGTON MILLS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 27, 2012
New York, New York

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Douglas von Oiste, Esquire
KARST & VON OISTE, LLP
19500 State Highway 249
Suite 420
Houston, TX 77070
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire
John S. Howarth, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys for Defendant, Mannington Mills, Inc.

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Shirley Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH Read & Did

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DOMENICK CALLO AND BONITA CALLO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100087/03 105546/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Domenick Callo and Bonita Callo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2383-26109AG

MAY 10 2012

{N0042173-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DEANA CERRONI, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN J. CERRONI and DEANA CERRONI, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100854/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cerroni, John J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DEANA CERRONI, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN J. CERRONI and DEANA CERRONI, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100854/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cerroni, John J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1122-2375

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 114518/05, 100855/03

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LUELLA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH CIAMBRONE, and LUELLA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of CARMELA CIAMBRONE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ciambrone, Joseph
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1122-19141

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARY WARSZNITER, Individually and as Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH WARSZNITER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108209/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Warszniter, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

324-7415

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARY WARSZNITER, Individually and as Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH WARSZNITER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108209/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Warszniter, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 10 2012
SRH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-18831

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARGARET WARDELL, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of ELMONT WARDELL and MARGARET WARDELL, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100855/03,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wardell, Elmont
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 1 A 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1235-2453

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARGARET WARDELL, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of ELMONT WARDELL and MARGARET WARDELL, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100855/03,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wardell, Elmont
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 1 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

324-7353U

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

NYCAL IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Klein Heitler, J.)

----------x

JEANNE H. HALSTEAD, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of GILBERT E. HALSTEAD, JR,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

ALFA LAVAL, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

INDEX NO: 190374/2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant **HATZEL & BUEHLER** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **HATZEL & BUEHLER** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **HATZEL & BUEHLER** , be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 10, 2012

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
Attorney for Plaintiff
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038-3091
(212) 267-3828

Rory G. Greebel, Esq
COZEN O'CONNOR
Attorney for Defendant
Hatzel & Buehler
45 Broadway, 16th Floor
New York, NY 10006
(212) 509-9400

FILED
MAY 30 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NEWYORK_DOWNTOWN\2450133\1

MAY 17 2012
Entered & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: HENRY J. HAYWARD Jr. and ANDREA HAYWARD, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 126037-02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 15, 2012

Anthony Ametrano, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 1863.10630

Matt Park, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Henry J. Hayward, Jr. and Andrea Hayward
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 30 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 18 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: EUGENE RISO, JR., Plaintiffs, -against- PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 105151/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 30, 2012

Philip J. O'Rourke, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 1863.17598

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Eugene Riso, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

FILED
MAY 30 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2012
& Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: GERALD F. KELTY, JR. and TERESA DAVIS KELTY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, CO., ET AL, Defendants.	Index No. 126934/02, 104397/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-25, 2012

Eileen Budd, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 1863-8214

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Joseph Peter McCort
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 30 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 1 8 2012
Dtd: _____

S.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: LAWRENCE G. MANNING, Plaintiff, -against- PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 107103/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-25-2012

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 1863.11422

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Lawrence G. Manning
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 30 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 18 2012

Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to: CYNTHIA ANN FLEMING, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of PETER E. FLEMING, Plaintiffs, -against- PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 107222/02, 113637/02 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Peerless Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Peerless Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-24-2012

Steven T. Corbin, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Peerless Industries, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300
File No. 1863.23522

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Peter E. Fleming
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 30 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 19 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KERMAN KORNWEISER AND BEATRICE KORNWEISER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 114368/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Falk Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Falk Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Falk Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/18, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Falk Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kerman Kornweiser and Beatrice Kornweiser
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

875-0004

MAY 10 2012

{N0133008-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JO ANNE HEALY, INDIVIDUALLY AND PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF THOMAS HEALY, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 103505/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Patterson Pump Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Patterson Pump Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Patterson Pump Co.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Thomas Healy
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

454-9935

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

{N0133008-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ADA IRIS OVALLE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 112085/05 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Grobet USA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Grobet USA, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Grobet USA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Michelle D. Grady

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Grobet USA
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ada Iris Ovalle
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1010-0004

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

{N0133008-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KENNETH EGGENBERGER AND LILA EGGENBERGER, Plaintiffs, -against- AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190408/11, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Sundyne Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Sundyne Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Sundyne Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Sundyne Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Eggenberger, Kenneth
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

992-16

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KENNETH EGGENBERGER AND LILA EGGENBERGER, Plaintiffs, -against- AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190408/11, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
EGGENBERGER, KENNETH
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1273-43

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KENNETH EGGENBERGER AND LILA EGGENBERGER, Plaintiffs, -against- AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190408/11, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
EGGENBERGER, KENNETH
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Rec'd & Dtd: 2082-12416

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: KERMAN KORNWEISER AND BEATRICE KORNWEISER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 114368/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kerman Kornweiser and Beatrice Kornweiser
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-8909

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

{N0044016-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

KENNETH EGGENBERGER AND LILA EGGENBERGER,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 190408/11,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8/, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, LLC, f/k/a Zurn Industries, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
EGGENBERGER, KENNETH
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

535-1093

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RICHARD J. WALL, AS ADMINISTRATRIVE OF THE ESTATE OF JOHN E. WALL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 103960/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of John E. Wall
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-21035

{N0133008-1}

MAY 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN VAN BUREN, INDIVIDUALLY AS EXECUTOR OF THE ESTATE OF MAE MAUREEN VAN BUREN AND JOHN VAN BUREN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 116445/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Zurn Industries, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Jennifer A. Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Zurn Industries, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Mae Maureen Van Buren
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

535-0583

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

{N0133008-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOHN VAN BUREN, INDIVIDUALLY AS EXECUTOR OF THE ESTATE OF MAE MAUREEN VAN BUREN AND JOHN VAN BUREN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 116445/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aurora Pump Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Aurora Pump Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/8, 2012

Michelle D. Grady

Michelle D. Grady, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Mae Maureen Van Buren
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1003-0077

{N0133008-1}

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RONALD J. G. BOCHNIASZ AND GLADYS BOCHNIASZ, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100918/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Bochniasz, Ronald J. G.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARGARET P. CZACHOR, as Administratrix for the Estate of LOUIS CZACHOR, and MARGARET P. CZACHOR, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100855/03,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Czachor, Louis
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

324-7353E

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARGARET P. CZACHOR, as Administratrix for the Estate of LOUIS CZACHOR, and MARGARET P. CZACHOR, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100855/03,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Czachor, Louis
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1235-19260

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EARL DALKAS, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of JENNIE DALKAS and EARL DALKAS, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dalkas, Jennie
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT CIAIO, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of ANGELO CIAIO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ciaio, Angelo
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1122-1347

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT CIAIO, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of ANGELO CIAIO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ciaio, Angelo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-861

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT CIAIO, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of ANGELO CIAIO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ciaio, Angelo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

2571-1350

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 114518/05, 100855/03

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LUELLA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH CIAMBRONE, and LUELLA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of CARMELA CIAMBRONE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ciambrone, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

324-8698

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PATRICIA A. CREGAN, as Proposed Adminstratrix for the Estate of JOHN J. CREGAN, SR. and PATRICIA A. CREGAN, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 101635/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cregan, John J. Sr.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 1 0 2012

Dtd:

324-7282

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of HAROLD C. CRAWFORD, and MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 111779/04,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Crawford, Harold C.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-8260

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of HAROLD C. CRAWFORD, and MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111779/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Crawford, Harold C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1235-19468

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of HAROLD C. CRAWFORD, and MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111779/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Crawford, Harold C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Entd: _____

2571-1353

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111780/04,

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

PAUL J. COSTANZO, as Executor for the Estate of ANGELO E. COSTANZO and MARIE L. COSTANZO, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Costanzo, Angelo E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 10 2012
Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sharon Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

LUELLA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH CIAMBRONE, and LUELLA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of CARMELA CIAMBRONE,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 114518/05, 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ciambrone, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

2571-1351

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANDREW KING AND MARJORIE KING, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 126937/02, 105608/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 25, 2012

Jason Rienzer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
King, Andrew
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1327

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL S. HELLER, as Executor for the Estate of CHERYL L. HELLER, and MICHAEL S. HELLER, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100904/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Heller, Cheryl
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1235-1612

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL S. HELLER, as Executor for the Estate of CHERYL L. HELLER, and MICHAEL S. HELLER, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100904/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Heller, Cheryl
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 1 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

2571-762M

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHAEL S. HELLER, as Executor for the Estate of CHERYL L. HELLER, and MICHAEL S. HELLER, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100904/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Heller, Cheryl
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

GKT - Rcvd & Dld: _____

324-7351M

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JEANNE ENGLE, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM ENGLE, and JEANNE ENGLE, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 121248/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

MAY 25 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Engle, William
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-7980

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT G. JEWELL and FRANCES A. JEWELL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115562/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jewell, Robert G.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1235-23637

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK JACKSON AND EDNA JACKSON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 109354/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jackson, Frank
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 1235-23686

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DOROTHY M. KILLIAN, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT H. KILLIAN and DOROTHY M. KILLIAN, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 105606/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Killian, Robert H.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

324-7925

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RHODA KLINGSBERG, as Personal Representative for the Estate of IRVING KLINGSBERG and RHODA KLINGSBERG, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 116829/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Klingsberg, Irving
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

324-7625

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FLAVIO KNESICH, as Administrator for the Estates of JOHN KNESICH and MILENA KNESICH, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100920/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Knesich, John
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FLAVIO KNESICH, as Administrator for the Estates of JOHN KNESICH and MILENA KNESICH, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100920/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Knesich, John
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: ____

1235-19769

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FLAVIO KNESICH, as Administrator for the Estates of JOHN KNESICH AND MILENA KNESICH, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100920/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Knesich, John
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

ORM - Rcvd & Dtd:

2571-1437

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ISABEL KOGEL, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of DAVID KOGEL and ISABEL KOGEL, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110255/04, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kogel, David
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Hovd & Dtd: _____

324-8053

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK JACKSON AND EDNA JACKSON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 109354/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/17, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jackson, Frank
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Signature Rcvd & Dtd:

324-8552

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALBERT J. MIRRA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108780/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mirra, Albert J.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

- Rcvd & Dtd:

2571-1365

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALBERT J. MIRRA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108780/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mirra, Albert J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-7488

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALBERT J. MIRRA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108780/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mirra, Albert J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Dtd:

1235-669

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FLORENCE S. KANE, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of WALTER T. KANE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 102379/04, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Kane, Walter T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SAM - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-7927

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JEANNE LOWE, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT A. LOWE and JEANNE LOWE, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 110194/04, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Lowe, Robert A.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 17 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-8057

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALFRED L. MARIN and EVELYN MARIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 104855/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Marin, Alfred L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 1 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 324-7347

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALFRED L. MARIN AND EVELYN MARIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 104855/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Marin, Alfred L.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____ 1235-742

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALFRED L. MARIN AND EVELYN MARIN, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 104855/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Marin, Alfred L.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

ENT - Rcvd & Dtd:

2571-1444

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES W. MCDONALD, JR., Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 127887/02 102171/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jaime B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Farrell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
James W. McDonald, Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2082-11321

MAY 10 2012

Old:

{N0129031-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROCCO MORANO, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of SABINO MORANO and CAROLINA MORANO Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, 109264/04 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Morano, Sabino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

MAY 10 2012

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

2571-1366

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT G. MORI as Administrator for the Estate of TYRRELL T. MORI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111795/04, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mori, Tyrrell T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Ck'd & Dtd:

324-8307C

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: TONI D. MORTON, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF BRITT L. MORTON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100083/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
May 11, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Michael Farrell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Estate of Britt L. Morton
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2082-11338

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Did:

{N0129031-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ALAM MOSTOW, as Personal Representative for the Estate of SAM MOSTOW, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 103643/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Mostow, Sam
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-8383

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DONALD M. MUELLER AND MARY MUELLER, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. & S., INC., et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 116057/05 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Donald M. Mueller and Mary Mueller
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

324-8681 Bld:

{N0130818-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ERNESTO RIVERA, JR. AND BLANCA RIVERA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100731/03, 105150/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rivera, Ernesto Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ERNESTO RIVERA, JR. AND BLANCA RIVERA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100731/03, 105150/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rivera, Ernesto Jr.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1235-23629

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ERNESTO RIVERA, JR. AND BLANCA RIVERA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100731/03, 105150/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
3/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rivera, Ernesto Jr.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1341

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ANGELINA FUSCO, as Executrix for the Estate of GERARDO RUBINO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. W. CHESTERTON COMPANY., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 109704/08, 101017/94 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/17

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiff
Gerardo Rubino
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Dtd: 324-9133

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH TUCCI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100909/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tucci, Joseph
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-7397

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH TUCCI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100909/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tucci, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 23 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dld: _____

1235-18818

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH TUCCI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100909/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Tucci, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Entered & Dtd:

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2571-1439

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROGER URBANIK, as Administrator for the Estate of WALTER URBANIK and THERESA URBANIK, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100915/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Urbanik, Walter
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Sent Rcvd & Dtd:

324-7287

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROGER URBANIK, as Administrator for the Estate of WALTER URBANIK and THERESA URBANIK, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100915/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1/, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Urbanik, Walter
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Sh... & Dtd: _____

1235-20955

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROGER URBANIK, as Administrator for the Estate of WALTER URBANIK and THERESA URBANIK, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100915/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Urbanik, Walter
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____.

2571-1440

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PATRICIA O'BRIEN, as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD VAN DERVEER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100717/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Van Derveer, Richard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Signed & Dtd: _____

324-7319

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: NELLIE M. RAGONE, as Executrix for the Estate of SALVATORE RAGAON and NELLIE RAGONE, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, 116190/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ragone, Salvatore
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-7353O

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CARL T. TRUBIO AND FRANCES TRUBIO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100741/03, 102871/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Trubio, Carl T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1235-23633

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CARL T. TRUBIO AND FRANCES TRUBIO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100741/03, 102871/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Trubio, Carl T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 2571-1345

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

HOWARD O'DELL, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANDREW DOYCHAK, and OLLIE DOYCHAK, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100904/03, 105654/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Doychak, Andrew
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

324-7351G

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DOMINIC J. ELARIO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 111237/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Elario, Dominic J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
MAY 1 N 2012
Dtd:

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: FRANK JACKSON AND EDNA JACKSON, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 109354/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jackson, Frank
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 1 A 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

2571-1460

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

SHIRLEY JACOBS, as Executrix for the Estate of KENNETH H. JACOBS, and SHIRLEY JACOBS, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 100922/03,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/1, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jacobs, Kenneth H.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-7283

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

ROBERT G. JEWELL AND FRANCES A. JEWELL,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

Index No.: 115562/03,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jewell, Robert G.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-7684

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH LATTANZIO AND EVELINA LATTANZIO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 108781/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Lattanzio, Joseph
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: 324-4453

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: LUELLA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH CIAMBRONE, and LUELLA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of CARMELA CIAMBRONE, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 114518/05, 100855/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ciambrone, Joseph
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 1 0 2012

SKH Rcvd & Otd: 1235-18606

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DEANA CERRONI, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN J. CERRONI and DEANA CERRONI, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100854/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cerroni, John J.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: DEANA CERRONI, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN J. CERRONI and DEANA CERRONI, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100854/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Cerroni, John J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012
SKM - Hcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1349

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT CIAIO, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of ANGELO CIAIO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Ciaio, Angelo
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 11 2012
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WLADIMIR KISELOVSKI and SUSAN J. KISELOVSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115520/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wladimir Kiselovski and Susan J. Kiselovski
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

324-8693

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WLADIMIR KISELOVSKI and SUSAN J. KISELOVSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115520/05 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wladimir Kiselovski and Susan J. Kiselovski
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012
SMH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1122-0928

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JOSEPH MILAZZO, JR. and ROSALIE M. MIILAZZO, Plaintiffs, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190311/11, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
_____, 2012

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve and Controls USA, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
MILAZZO, JOSEPH JR.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd.

963-844

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RAYMOND SABO, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 190318/11, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Karnak Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Karnak Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Karnak Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
18, 2012

Carol Tempesta, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Karnak Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Sabo, Raymond
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

781-67

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: CARLOS GARCIA, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100904/03, 114007/03 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Steven Balson-Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Co.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Garcia, Carlos
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EDWARD GRANT AND LORRAINE GRANT, Plaintiffs, -against- A. C. & S., INC., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 100396/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-24, 2012

Christine Kennedy Flores, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Dana M. Northcott, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Edward Grant and Lorraine Grant
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-260[illegible]

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

{N0129031-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: ROBERT G. JEWELL AND FRANCES A. JEWELL, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115562/03, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Jewell, Robert G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 14 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2571-1358

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: EARL DALKAS, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of JENNIE DALKAS and EARL DALKAS, Individually, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100855/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/17, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dalkas, Jennie
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

CMH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

1235-23636

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WLADIMIR KISELOVSKI and SUSAN J. KISELOVSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115520/05 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3/, 2012

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wladimir Kiselovski and Susan J. Kiselovski
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

Entered & Dtd:

1235-0430

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WLADIMIR KISELOVSKI and SUSAN J. KISELOVSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115520/05 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/31, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wladimir Kiselovski and Susan J. Kiselovski
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012
Rcvd & Dtd:

2082-12157

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WLADIMIR KISELOVSKI and SUSAN J. KISELOVSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115520/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/3, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wladimir Kiselovski and Susan J. Kiselovski
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

2383-27336

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: WLADIMIR KISELOVSKI and SUSAN J. KISELOVSKI, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO, *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 115520/05 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/31, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Wladimir Kiselovski and Susan J. Kiselovski
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 1 ...
SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

2571-1524

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: JAMES E. KINGSLEY, Plaintiff(s) -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al., Defendant(s).	Index No.: 190081/12 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, KARNAK CORPORATION, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, KARNAK CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, KARNAK CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4-30, 2012

Carol M. Tempesta, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant
KARNAK CORPORATION
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
James E. Kingsley
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
0781-76

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

{N0133069-1}

MAY 1 0 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PATRICIA O'BRIEN, as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD VAN DERVEER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100717/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jennifer Fuschetto, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Van Derveer, Richard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

1235-21391

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PATRICIA O'BRIEN, as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD VAN DERVEER, Plaintiffs, -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 100717/03, **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
5/11, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Van Derveer, Richard
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Revd & Dtd:

2571-1346

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: RICHARD VOSSELER AND LORETTA VOSSELER, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Defendants.	Index No.: 128024/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
4/24, 2012

Nicole Price Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Richard Vosseler and Loretta Vosseler
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

1122-24172

MAY 10 2012

{N0139769-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EUGENE BROWN and EDNA BROWN

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 190458/10

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North America, LLC, sued herein as "Freightliner Corp." ("DTNA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: April 30, 2012

By: _____
Charles Ferguson, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Joseph J. Ortego, Esq.
Santo Borruso, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Daimler Trucks North America, LLC
s/h/a "Freightliner Corp."
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, NY 11735-2728
(516) 832-7500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROLAND E. La DIEU, SR.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-110790
02-106058

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North America, LLC, sued herein as "Freightliner Corporation," ("DTNA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Jericho, NY
May 1, 2012

By: Matthew MacIntyre
Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By:
Joseph J. Ostego, Esq.
Santo Borruso, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Daimler Trucks North America, s/h/a Freightliner Corporation
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, NY 11735-2728
(516) 832-7500

FILED
MAY 25 2012
CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd:

13777788.2

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT McDONALD

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 04-111778

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
4/30, 2012

By: _____
John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By: _____
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

FILED
MAY 25 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

MAY 10 2012

SKH - Hcvd & Did: _____

13877861.1