Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);

2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SCANNED ON 9/25/2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This document relates to: HAROLD VOETSCH,	Index No.: 190045-11
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, successor in interest to Abex Corporation (hereinafter "Abex"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against Abex, with prejudice, there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Abex, be and are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without co[illegible]

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: July 30, 2013
New York, New York

Patti L. Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Sara Murphy, Esq.
Smith Abbot, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Pneumo Abex LLC, Successor in interest
to Abex Corporation
90 Broad Street, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10004
212 981-4501 ext. 15

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

EDWARD & LESLIE FEINSTEIN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190195/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

THIS IS AN ASBESTOS MATTER.

WHEREFORE, defendant CURTISS-WRIGHT CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CURTISS-WRIGHT CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant CURTISS-WRIGHT CORPORATION be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

5/23/13

Kardon A. Stolzman
NAPOLI, BERN, RIPKA, SHKOLNIK & ASSOCIATES, LLP
Empire State Building
350 Fifth Ave., Suite 7413
New York, NY 10118
Telephone: (212) 267-3700
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Edward Feinstein

Lauren Iluzzi Smith
MENDES & MOUNT, LLP
750 Seventh Ave.
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 261-8000
Facsimile: (212) 261-8750
Attorneys for Defendant
Curtiss-Wright Corporation

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In Re: New York City Asbestos Litigation

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No. 190162/2012

CHESTER W. ZYCH,

Plaintiff,
vs.

ALSTOM POWER, INC., etc., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, Defendant Elliott Company, incorrectly named in the complaint as Elliott Turbomachinery Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Elliott Turbomachinery Co., Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Elliott Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 20 ,2013

~~Brian Early, Esq.~~ Matthew Park, Esq.
EARLY, LUCARELLI, SWEENEY&STRAUSS
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
360 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
(212) 986-2233

Beth L. Hughes
Brady S. Edwards
Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP
101 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10178-0060
Phone: (212) 309-6000
Fax: (212) 309-6001

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sheila Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

JANNIE SWINTON and KELTON LAWRENCE,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190167-13

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BLACKMER by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant BLACKMER with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BLACKMER be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/25/13
New York, New York

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

David H. Kochman, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
BLACKMER
100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
(212) 687-0100

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

RAYMOND DONOVAN and
MARGARET DONOVAN,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

ACF INDUSTRIES, LLC, f/k/a American Car & Foundry Co., et al..

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190110/2013

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant SAINT-GOBAIN ABRASIVES, INC. f/k/a NORTON COMPANY, by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant SAINT-GOBAIN ABRASIVES, INC. f/k/a NORTON COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant SAINT-GOBAIN ABRASIVES, INC. f/k/a NORTON COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/13/13
New York, New York

Lawrence V. Nassif, Esq.
SEEGER WEISS LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
77 Water Street, 26th
New York, NY 10005
(212) 584-0700

Robert A. Schaefer, Jr., Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
SAINT-GOBAIN ABRASIVES, INC.
f/k/a NORTON COMPANY
100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
(212) 687-0100

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

JOEL SILVERMAN and MICHELLE SILVERMAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190070-13

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant BLACKMER, by its attorneys Harris Beach PLLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant BLACKMER with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BLACKMER be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/16/13
New York, New York

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

David H. Kochman, Esq.
HARRIS BEACH PLLC
Attorneys For Defendant
BLACKMER
100 Wall Street, 23rd Floor
New York, NY 10005
(212) 687-0100

RECEIVED
AUG 28 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

DORIS FERRARO and FRANK FERRARO,

Plaintiffs,

-vs-

ALLEN-BRADLEY COMPANY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

----------X

INDEX NO. 190500/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant, McCORD CORPORATION (hereinafter "McCord"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint, and all cross-claims, against McCord, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against McCord be hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: White Plains, New York
February 13, 2013

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONISBERG LLP

Audrey Raphael, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue, #11
New York, New York 10022
Phone (212) 605-6200

GOLDBERG SEGALLA LLP

Andrew J. Scholz, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant McCord Corporation
11 Martine Avenue, Suite 750
White Plains, New York 10606
Phone: (914) 798-5400
Fax: (914) 798-5401

SO ORDERED:

344416

RECEIVED
AUG 05 2013
FAC 39

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X **NYCAL**

WILLIAM BROGLIO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., et al,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No.: 190376-12

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Order

WHEREFORE, Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

July 11, 2013

Dated: New York, New York

Joseph P. Williams
Joseph P. Williams & Associates, P.C.
245 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10167
(212) 668-1122
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Norman J. Golub
Marshall Conway & Bradley, P.C.
45 Broadway
New York, New York 10006
(212) 619-4444
Attorneys for Defendant Slant/Fin Corp.

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

JOHN W. ADLER and ELAINE ADLER, Plaintiffs, v. 3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co.; et al Defendants.	Index No. 190392/2012 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Robert Bosch LLC hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Robert Bosch LLC, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Robert Bosch LLC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 6/26, 2013

New York, New York

Carmen St. George, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022
212-605-6200

Robert M. Cook, Esq.
Goldberg Segalla LLP
Attorneys for Defendant, Robert Bosch LLC
902 Carnegie Center, Suite 100
Princeton, New Jersey 08540
609-986-1300

SO ORDERED: _____
Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

173558.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

ARMANDO GIACHIN,

Plaintiff,

- against -

ADSCO MANUFACTURING LLC (Individually and as Successor to AMERICAN STEAM DISTRICT COMPANY); ALFA LAVAL, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190506/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant ALFA LAVAL INC. i/s/h/a ALFA LAVAL, INC., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant ALFA LAVAL INC. i/s/h/a ALFA LAVAL, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant ALFA LAVAL INC. i/s/h/a ALFA LAVAL, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
June 27, 2013

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Mark Strauss, Esq.
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Tel No.: (212) 986-2233

LONDON FISCHER LLP
Attorneys for Defendant ALFA LAVAL INC., i/s/h/a ALFA LAVAL, INC.

Richard L. Mendelsohn, Esq.
59 Maiden Lane, 39th Floor
New York, New York 10038
Tel No.: (212) 972-1000

So Ordered: _____
J.S.C.

{N0517729.2}

LONDON FISCHER
RECEIVED
2013 JUL 26 A 11: 08

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

SALVATOR MURABITO and GIOVANNVA MURABITO,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, et al,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 190081/2013

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

No Opposition Summary
Judgment Motion and Order

WHEREFORE, Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing the Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant SLANT/FIN CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
AUG. 6, 2013

Joseph P. Williams
Joseph P. Williams & Associates, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
245 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10167
(212) 668-1122

Norman J. Golub
Marshall Conway & Bradley, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendant Slant/Fin Corporation
45 Broadway
New York, New York 10006
(212) 619-4444

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

MAARGARET C. LARSEN, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of STANLEY CORNELIUS LARSEN, Deceased.

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON, et. al.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190087/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
08/06/2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Jordan Fox, Esq.
Belluck & Fox, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
(212) 651-1575

Kevin W. Turbert, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

MARIE SCHWENKER, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT SCHWENKER,

Index No.: Index No.: 190431/12

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

AIR AND LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et. al.,

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Defendants.

----------x

WHEREFORE, Defendant GARDNER DENVER, INC. (hereinafter "GARDNER DENVER"), hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant GARDNER DENVER, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, GARDNER DENVER, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
July 2, 2013

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Scott B. Group, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER
& MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PAID

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190055/13

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM WHELAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: July 25, 2013
New York, New York

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Benjamin L. Careathers, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 0 9 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190055/13

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

WILLIAM WHELAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: July 22, 2013
New York, New York

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

FRANK L. KRAMARITSCH

Index No.
190128/09

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
7/29, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
ARMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WALTER KNUDSEN

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.
119701/01
111220/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
MAY 2013 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
7/29, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

GEORGE J. CORNISH JR.

Index No.
190268/09

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
7/29, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JOHN E. BURNS

Index No.
190014/10

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
7/29, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
TRIAL 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190318/09

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

RAYMOND J. EVANS,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 08/06, 2013
New York, New York

Mark Strauss, Esq.
EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10017
(212) 986-2233

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 122186/99

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

GERALD L. MIESOWICZ,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: July 29, 2013
New York, New York

~~Joe Vazquez, Esq.~~ Adam Raffo, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 119850/98

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

RICHARD A. HIDDIE,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,

Defendants.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Weil-McLain be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: July 31, 2013
New York, New York

David J. Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for the Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Weil-McLain
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 39

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190117/09

This Document Relates To:
CARMINE MONGONI,

I.A.S. Part 30
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

CUMMINS INC., et al.,

Defendants

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant CUMMINS INC., (incorrectly named as CUMMINS ENGINE COMPANY, INC.) (hereinafter "CUMMINS"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant CUMMINS, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant CUMMINS be and the same are hereby dismissed, with prejudice, and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

August 8, 2013

Peter Tambini ~~Matthew Park~~, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Talene N. Megerian, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for CUMMINS INC.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190117/09

----------x

CARMINE MONGONI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

PACCAR INC., et al.,

Defendants.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

----------x

WHEREFORE, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

August 8, 2013

Peter Tambini ~~Matthew Park~~, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR INC.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED,

~~Hon. Sherry Klein~~ Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

CARL VULTAGGIO

Index No.
117231/07

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
7/29, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

RICHARD VARI SR.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.
104552/97
111250/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
7/29, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MARSHALL TYSON

Index No.
190028/10

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
2/27, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM S. TYNAN

Index No.
102033/01

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
7/29, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPH J. SZCZUR

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.
114412/00
116745/07

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
7/29, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
~~New York~~, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

FRANK ANGELO SGRO

Index No.
108386/00

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
7/29, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 28 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ANDREW KUTKO JR.,

Index No.
190020/10

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York 7/29/13
2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
ARMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS J. KOWALSKI (Deceased)

Index No.
190337/09

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
8/7, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

GERARD M. CLARKE

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.
107334/08

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
8/7, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

SAMUEL MORREALE III

Index No.
117229/07

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
8/7, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

CARMINE MONGONI

Index No.
190117/09

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
8/7, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MELVYN MECKEL (Deceased)

Index No.
190411/09

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
8/7, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

ANTHONY R. MAZZELLA

Index No.
190040/08

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
8/7, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

EDWARD J. LOWRY

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.
119491/01
111220/01

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
8/7, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SAMUEL MORREALE III

Index No. 117229-07

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/13, 2013

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Samuel Morreale III, et al.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

KATHLEEN JANITS and FRANK JANITS,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

ALLIED BUILDING PRODUCTS CORP., et al., including SEARS, ROEBUCK & CO.,

Defendants.

Index No. 190450/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Wherefore, Defendant Sears, Roebuck and Co., improperly sued herein as "Sears-Roebuck Company," hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Sears, Roebuck and Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Sears, Roebuck and Co. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 17, 2013

Ambre Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Kathleen Janits, et al.
(212) 558-5500

Grace DeMario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Sears, Roebuck and Co.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 0 6 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	:	Index No.: 12/190500
DORIS FERRARO and FRANK FERRARO	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREAS, Defendant FISCHBACH & MOORE, INC. requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against FISCHBACH & MOORE, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against FISCHBACH & MOORE, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

August 2, 2013

Audrey Raphael, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

Frank Cecere, Esq
AHMUTY DEMERS & McMANUS, LLP
200 I.U. Willets Road
Albertson, NY 11507
Counsel for Fischbach & Moore, Inc.

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

00312814.WPD

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

DONALD SKELTON (Deceased)

Index No.
103009/08

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER
MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
8/7, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 28 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

MICHAEL N. RABIDEAU (Deceased)

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.
102948/08

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
8/27, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

GENE F. PLANK (Deceased)

Index No.
116167/07

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
8/7, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

----------X

This Document Relates to:

WAYNE PAUL (Deceased)

Index No.
114934/07

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
8/7, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 0 9 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

LESTER MORRIS

Index No.
190154/10

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER MAY 2013 FIFO

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC., as Successor in Interest to TISHMAN REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Albertson, New York
8/7, 2013

FRANK ORTIZ
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

JAMES EDWARDS
AHMUTY, DEMERS & McMANUS
Attorneys for Defendant
TTV REALTY HOLDINGS, INC.,
as Successor in Interest to
TISHMAN REALTY &
CONSTRUCTION CO., INC.
199 Water Street
New York, New York 10038
(212) 513-7788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)

---x

This Document Relates to:

FILED

Index No. 116629/1997

EDWARD W. DOLAN and GERALDINE DOLAN, his wife

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant LEEDS & NORTHRUP COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint against defendant LEEDS & NORTHRUP COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant LEEDS & NORTHRUP COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/1/2013

Ruth D Marcus

~~Kevin M. Berry, Esq.~~ Ruth D Marcus, Esq
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Edward W. Dolan, et al.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038-3901
(212) 267-3091

David P. Schaffer, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Leeds & Northrup Company
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Shirley Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 121894/98

ROBERT BURNS KOBLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Aug. 6, 2013

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Robert Burns Kobler
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated:

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 107933/99

SAMUEL J. HOPPER and MARLENE HOPPER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7-12, 2013

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Samuel J. Hopper and Marlene Hopper
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 100057/99

BART M. MICELI and JOAN MICELI

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7-10, 2013

~~Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.~~ Dana M Nurhan
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
Bart M. Miceli and Joan Miceli
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

FILED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRED Q. PULVER

Index No. 120915-02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 8, 2013

David J. Barry, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Fred Q. Pulver, et al.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013

CLB55822/lcgal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

VIRGIL WILLIAM LAFFEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190324/13

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Erik P. Karst, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Karst & Von Oiste
19500 State Highway 249, Ste. 420
Houston, TX 77070

8/12/13

Michael S. Cromer, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
New York, New York 10036
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LUIGI CERRETA AND KAREN CERRETA, HIS WIFE
Plaintiff(s),

-vs-

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al
Defendant(s)

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 107024/08

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER FOR WASHINGTON GROUP INTERNATIONAL, INC., FORMERLY KNOWN AS RAYTHEON ENGINEERS & CONSTRUCTORS, INC. ONLY

WHEREFORE, defendant, Washington Group International, Inc., formerly known as Raytheon Engineers & Constructors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Washington Group International, Inc., formerly known as Raytheon Engineers & Constructors, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against, Washington Group International, Inc., formerly known as Raytheon Engineers & Constructors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8-9, 2013

Stephen S. Davie, Esq.
MACKENZIE HUGHES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
101 South Salina Street
Syracuse, NY 13202

Ruth D. Marcus, Esq.
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
110 Williams St.26th Floor
New York, NY 10038

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

AUG - 7 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

JANNIE SWINTON

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190167/2013
NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO BUFFALO PUMPS, INC. ("BUFFALO PUMPS") by its attorneys, WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BUFFALO PUMPS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/15/13
New York, New York

Erik Jacobs, Esquire
WEITZ AND LUXENBERG
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Edward J. Wilbraham, Esquire
John S. Howarth, Esquire
WILBRAHAM, LAWLER & BUBA
140 Broadway, 46th Floor
New York, NY 10005
Attorneys for Defendant,
Air & Liquid Systems Corp., as successor by merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 105438-08
LOUIS F. PERRI AND VIRGINIA PERRI against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 29, 2013
New York, New York

/s/ Carl Figueroa

CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
LOUIS F. PERRI and VIRGINIA PERRI,
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 0 9 2013
PART 30

Hoagland, Longo,
Moran, Dunst
& Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

X:MWP/58147.Legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MARK COATS and ELAINE COATS, his spouse

Plaintiffs,

- against -

MUELLER STEAM SPECIALTY, et al.;

Defendants.

----------X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190402/12

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants MUELLER STEAM SPECIALTY, incorrectly sued as MUELLER STEAM SPECIALTY, INC. and WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (as successor-in-interest to MUELLER STEAM SPECIALTY hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants MUELLER STEAM SPECIALTY, incorrectly sued as MUELLER STEAM SPECIALTY, INC. and WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (as successor-in-interest to MUELLER STEAM SPECIALTY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants MUELLER STEAM SPECIALTY, incorrectly sued as MUELLER STEAM SPECIALTY, INC. and WATTS WATER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (as successor-in-interest to MUELLER STEAM SPECIALTY , be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Darron Berquist, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Lanier Law Firm
126 East 56th Street, 6th floor
New York, New York 10022

Suzanne Halbardier, Esq.
Attorneys for Mueller Steam Specialty
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006

8/2/13

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

CLB57795/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

EDWARD JOHN MORGAN and MARGERITE MORGAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 123392/97,
105233/98 & 111036/98

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 8/2/2013

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Michael Cromer, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PAID

X:/FBW30602/legal

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

EDWARD JOHN MORGAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.: 123392/97, 105233/98 & 111036/98

I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

8/2/2013

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

Michael Cromer, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

X:/CLB56510/legal/NOSJM/OCTOBER2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MARGARET C. LARSEN, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of STANLEY C. LARSEN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.;

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190087/12

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants ***CLEAVER BROOKS, INC.*** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ***CLEAVER BROOKS, INC.*** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ***CLEAVER BROOKS, INC.***, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Belluck & Fox
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036
8/12/13

Shawnette Pluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for ***CLEAVER BROOKS, INC.***
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 26 2013
PART 30

X:/AA-54848./legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MARIA COSTA and MICHELE COSTA,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190120/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Benjamin Darche,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

8/12/13

Joseph Digregorio, Esq.
Attorneys for ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILER
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2013
PART 30

X:/DOM56511./legal/NOSJM/OCTOBER2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MARGARET C. LARSEN, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of STANLEY C. LARSEN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.W. CHESTERTON, et al.;

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190087/12

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants ***DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, INC.*** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ***DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, INC.*** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ***DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, INC.*** , be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Bonnie Steinwolf, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Belluck & Fox
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036

8/12/13

Thomas Marafioti, Esq.
Attorneys for ***DOMCO PRODUCTS TEXAS, INC.***
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

DUN58467/legal/nosjm/MAY 2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOHN S. BOWER and KATHLEEN BOWER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 106484/93

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL F/K/A DUNKIRK BOILERS hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL F/K/A DUNKIRK BOILERS without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ECR INTERNATIONAL F/K/A DUNKIRK BOILERS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Adam Dreksler, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

8/12/13

Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
ECR INTERNATIONAL F/K/A
DUNKIRK BOILERS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

X:CLB57432/legal/APRIL 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

JOHN A CERATO,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190391/12

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant CLEAVER BROOKS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CLEAVER BROOKS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CLEAVER BROOKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 7/16/13

John Richmond, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Shawnette Pruitt, Esq.
Attorneys for CLEAVER BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

CLB57431/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

LEWIS WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. ET AL.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190375/2012

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Joseph P. William & Associates
245 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10167

8/2/13

Shawnette Flutt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

CLB57569/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ARTHUR FISCHER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AERCO INTERNATIONAL INC., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190462/12

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER BROOKS, INC., hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER BROOKS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER BROOKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

David Chandler,Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10036

8/2/13

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 0 9 2013
PART 30

CLB55419

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

CARYL R. GAGLIANO and MICHAEL GAGLIANO,

Index No.: 190304/2011

Plaintiffs,

-*against*-

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, by its Successor-in-interest, BORG – WARNER MORSE TEC, INC.,

Defendants.

----------x

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant CLEAVER BROOKS COMPANY, INC. requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CLEAVER BROOKS COMPANY, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CLEAVER BROOKS COMPANY, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

By: _____
DANNY R. KRAFT JR., ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P. C.
Attorneys for the Plaintiffs
CARYL R. GAGLIANO and
MICHAEL GAGLIANO
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Tel.: (212) 558 - 5500

By: _____
MICHAEL S. CROMER, ESQ.
BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE, LLC
Attorneys for the Defendant
CLEAVER BROOKS COMPANY, INC.
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
Tel.: (212) 313 – 3600
Our File No.: CLB55419

8/2/13

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

X:CLB55637/legal/APRIL 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

SALVATORE LIPARI and CELESTINE LIPARI

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

----------X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190369/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant CLEAVER BROOKS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CLEAVER BROOKS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CLEAVER BROOKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dan Kraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for CLEAVER BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

8/2/13

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 6 2 2013
PART 30

X:FBW55638/legal/APRIL 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

SALVATORE LIPARI and CELESTINE LIPARI,

Plaintiff(s)

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190369/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dan Kraft, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

8/2/13

Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

X:/FBW52252/legal/MAY 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

HAROLD E. MELDRUM,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 115479/07

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

July 29, 2013

Adam Raffo

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ ADAM RAFFO, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

Charles Neal, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. ~~Sherry Klein-~~Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 0 9 2013
PART 30

CLB57795/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

EDWARD JOHN MORGAN and MARGERITE MORGAN,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. & S. INC., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 123392/97, 105233/98 & 111036/98

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 8/2/2013

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Michael Cromer, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

X:/FBW30602/legal

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

EDWARD JOHN MORGAN,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S, Inc., et al.

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 123392/97, 105233/98 & 111036/98

NYCAL

I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

8/2/2013

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-550

Michael Cromer, Esq.
Attorneys for FULTON BOILER WORKS
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

CLB 57506

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

ROBERT E. SMITH and MARY SMITH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190438/2012

NYCAL
C.A.S. Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Joseph P. Williams, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Joseph P. Williams
245 Park Avenue, 39th Floor
New York, New York 10167
(212)668-1122

7/18/13

Matthew Charles, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
COUNTY CLERK

X:AA55833./legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

FRANCIS GOES and SENTA GOES,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190143/11

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants ***ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILERS*** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ***ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILERS*** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ***ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILERS*** , be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

9/18/13

Daniel Blouin, Esq. Michael Rowell.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Joseph Digregorio, Esq.
Attorneys for ***ECR INTERNATIONAL f/k/a UTICA BOILERS***
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 0 9 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

X:/CT-56191/legal/NOSJM

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MICHAEL LIGHTSY and BARBARA LIGHTSY,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C.&S. INC., et al.;

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190518/11

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants ***CURTIS TOLEDO, INC.*** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants ***CURTIS TOLEDO, INC.*** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants ***CURTIS TOLEDO, INC.*** , be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

New York, New York

Adam Raphael, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Joseph DiGregorio, Esq.
Attorneys for ***CURTIS TOLEDO, INC.***
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

7/18/13

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

X:CLB57643/legal/APRIL 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

DENIS GALLAGHER and ANN GALLAGHER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 190480/12

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant CLEAVER BROOKS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CLEAVER BROOKS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CLEAVER BROOKS, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 7/16/13

John Richmond, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Shawnette Pruitt, Esq.
Attorneys for CLEAVER BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

ARMANDO GIACHIN

Plaintiff(s),

AUG 28 2013

INDEX NO. 190505/2012

-against-

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

CRANE COMPANY, et al,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION (WITH PREJUDICE)

Defendants.

x

WHEREFORE, defendant, Clark-Reliance Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Clark-Reliance Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Clark-Reliance Corporation be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

EARLY & STRAUSS, LLC

Mark Strauss, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Early & Strauss LLC
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017

DATED: 8/7/13

O'TOOLE FERNANDEZ WEINER VAN LIEU LLC

Steven A. Weiner, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Clark-Reliance Corporation
60 Pompton Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044

SO ORDERED

HONORABLE SHERRY K. HEITLER, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

RECEIVED
JUL 26 2013
By

CLB55585/legal/nosjm

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

GERALD L. MIESOWICZ,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 122186/99 &
107451/00

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

July 29, 2013, New York, NY

Adam Raffo, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

William Cooney, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013

CLB56764/egal/nosjm/May 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

HAROLD E. MELDRUM,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendant(s),

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 115479/07

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

July 29, 2013

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant
CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor
New York, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

HARRIET NORMA CARLEY, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of GEORGE JOSEPH CARLEY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION., et al,

Defendants.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

INDEX NO. 190048/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION (WITH PREJUDICE)

WHEREFORE, defendant, National Combustion Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Gould Electronics Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant National Combustion Co., Inc. be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

WILENTZ GOLDMAN & SPITZER

Ruth D Marcus

~~Kevin M. Berry~~, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Wilentz Goldman & Spitzer
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

DATED: 8/7/13

O'TOOLE FERNANDEZ WEINER VAN LIEU LLC

~~Steven~~ A. Weiner, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
National Combustion Co., Inc.
60 Pompton Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044

SO ORDERED

HONORABLE SHERRY K. HEITLER, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

RECEIVED
AUG 01 2013
By

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

JANNIE SWINTON and KELTON LAWRENCE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 190167-13

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/22/13
New York, New York

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.: 117231/07

This Document Relates to:

CARL VULTAGGIO
AND
CATHERINE VULTAGGIO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Aug. 6, 2013

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
VULTAGGIO, CARL
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

1122-22992

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: WILLIAM S. TYNAN AND GERLINDE TYNAN	Index No.: 102033/01, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
Aug. 6, 2013

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
TYNAN, WILLIAM S.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-17614

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: THOMAS M. GREENE AND MARGARET GREENE	Index No.: 190033/13, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
August 12, 2013

Ambre J. Brandis, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Thomas M. Greene and Margaret Greene
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

504-1094

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: JEAN M. DONNAY	Index No.: 190118/13, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/13, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
JEAN M. DONNAY
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Croll-Reynolds Engineering Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

1273-55

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: GEORGE CARLEY AND HARRIET CARLEY	Index No.: 190048/12, 126258/94 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7th of August, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Ruth Marcus, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
CARLEY, GEORGE
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901
(212) 267-3091

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 28 2013
PART 30

2383-807B

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

TRACI SCOFIELD, as Executrix for the Estate of THOMAS J. BRUGAR,
Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATIONR, et al,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 190118/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION (WITH PREJUDICE)

WHEREFORE, defendant, Gould Electronics Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Gould Electronics Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Gould Electronics Inc.** be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: July 23, 2013

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

DATED:

O'TOOLE FERNANDEZ WEINER SAN LIEU LLC

Steven A. Weiner, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Gould Electronics Inc.
60 Pompton Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044

SO ORDERED

HONORABLE SHERRY K. HEITLER, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30


RECEIVED
JUL 29 2013
By

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

ANTHONY ALOE , JR. as Executor for the Estate of ANTHONY ALOE,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al,

Defendants.

INDEX NO. 190288/12

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION (WITH PREJUDICE)

WHEREFORE, defendant, Gould Electronics Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-titled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Gould Electronics Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **Gould Electronics Inc.** be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 22, 2013

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

DATED:

O'TOOLE FERNANDEZ WEINER VAN LIEU LLC

Steven A. Weiner, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant,
Gould Electronics Inc.
60 Pompton Avenue
Verona, New Jersey 07044

SO ORDERED

HONORABLE SHERRY K. HEITLER, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

RECEIVED
JUL 29 2019
By

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

DOREEN WALLACK, as Personal Representative for the Estate of PETER D. WALLACK, and DOREEN WALLACK, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

CATERPILLAR, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 190064-09

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/5/13
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz Esq. Michael Farrell,
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

MICHAEL T. WALSH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 39
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No.: 190483-12

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC., improperly sued as "CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC., individually, as successor to and doing business as Burks Pumps, Inc., Burks Pumps, Crown Pumps, Decatur Pump Company, Prosser Pump, Prosser/Enpo Industries, Inc., Weinman Pumps, Pacific Valves and Jenkins Valves," (hereinafter "CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/29/13
New York, New York

Kush Shukla, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038
(212) 267-3091

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendants
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
(212) 536-3990

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 114934/07
JANET D. PAUL, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF WAYNE PAUL, AND JANET D. PAUL, INDIVIDUALLY against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 29, 2013 New York, New York

CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
JANET D. PAUL, as Executrix for the Estate of WAYNE PAUL, and JANET D. PAUL, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
	:	(J. Sherry Klein Heitler)
This Document Relates to:	:	Index No.: 03-1093321
NICHOLAS GIAMMONA	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that Nicholas Giammona was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Aug 5, 2013

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: _____
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173
Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc. f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation

Early & Strauss, L.L.C.

By: _____
Brian Early, Esq.
360 Lexington Avenue – 20th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Attorneys for Plaintiff

SO ORDERED

_____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

RECEIVED
AUG 14 2013
PAGE 30

ORIGINAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
	:	(J. Sherry Klein Heitler)
This Document Relates to:	:	Index No.: 190038/13
THOMAS P. CALLANAN	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

No evidence has been adduced in the course of product identification discovery that Thomas P. Callanan,was exposed to an asbestos-containing product manufactured by Honeywell International Inc., formerly known as AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation ("Honeywell").

WHEREFORE, Defendant Honeywell hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant Honeywell with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Defendant Honeywell, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/5, 2013

McDermott Will & Emery LLP

By: _____
Donald R. Pugliese, Esq.
340 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10173
Attorneys for Honeywell International Inc. f/k/a AlliedSignal, Inc., successor-in-interest to The Bendix Corporation

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

By: _____
Chris Romanelli, Esq.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10007
Attorneys for Plaintiff

SO ORDERED

_____
Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

FRANK PRIZZI and ROBERTA PRIZZI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 100004-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8\7\13
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

FRANK PRIZZI and ROBERTA PRIZZI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 120389-01

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8\7\13
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	:	Index No.: 12/190500
DORIS FERRARO and FRANK FERRARO	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREAS, Defendant SQUARE D COMPANY requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against SQUARE D COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against SQUARE D COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

July 31, 2013

Audrey Raphael, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Square D Company

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
This Document Relates To:	
GERALD L. MIESOWICZ,	NYCAL
Plaintiff(s),	I.A.S. Part 30
	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No(s).: 107451-00
	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY
A.C. AND S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	**JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 29, 2013
New York, New York

Adam Raffo, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

HARRY CHARLES RIKER,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AMERICAN STANDARD, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

: NYCAL
: I.A.S. Part 30
: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
:
: Index No.: 113533-06
:
: **NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: JULY 25 2013
New York, New York

Nick Novack, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

Nicole M. Kozin, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
~~(212)~~ 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 2 8 2013
PAID

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: CARL VULTAGGIO against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,	INDEX NO.: 117231/07

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8-7-13 New York, New York

CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant, Kohler Co.
1221 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 4200
New York, New York 10036

MATTHEW T. MACINTYRE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
CARL VULTAGGIO
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1221 Avenue of the Americas
Suite 4200
New York, NY

CT-[redacted]

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 01-102033
WILLIAM S. TYNAN AND GERLINDE TYNAN	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
against	
A.C. & S., INC., ET AL	

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8-7-13 NEW YORK

CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant, Kohler Co.
1221 Avenue of the Americas, Suite 4200
New York, New York 10036

MATTHEW T. MACINTYRE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, ESQS.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
WILLIAM S. TYNAN and GERLINDE TYNAN
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

Hoagland, Longo,
Moran, Dunst
& Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1221 Avenue
of the Americas
Suite 4200
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 102508/08
JOHN FRANK BARBERA	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
against	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,	

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8-7-13 New York, New York

CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant, Kohler Co.
1221 Avenue of the Americas
Suite 4200
New York, New York 10036

MATTHEW T. MACINTYRE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
JOHN FRANK BARBERA
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1221 Avenue of the Americas
Suite 4200
New York, NY

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PAPERS

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 115479/07
SHARON L. MELDRUM, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF HAROLD E. MELDRUM, AND SHARON L. MELDRUM, INDIVIDUALLY against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 29, 2013
New York, New York

CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
SHARON L. MELDRUM, as Executrix for the Estate of HAROLD E. MELDRUM, and SHARON L. MELDRUM, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 2 8 2013
PART 30

Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: SHARON LONG AND JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, AS CO-ADMINISTRATORS FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, AND SHARON LONG AND JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, AS CO-EXECUTORS FOR THE ESTATE OF ROSEMARIE SZCZUR, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,	INDEX NO.: 114412-00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 29, 2013
New York, New York

CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
SHARON LONG and JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, as Co-Administrators for the Estate of JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, and SHARON LONG and JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, as Co-Executors for the Estate of ROSEMARIE SZCZUR,
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 116745-07
SHARON LONG AND JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, AS CO-ADMINISTRATORS FOR THE ESTATE OF JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, AND SHARON LONG AND JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, AS CO-EXECUTORS FOR THE ESTATE OF ROSEMARIE SZCZUR, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 29, 2013
New York, New York

s/ Carl Figueroa

CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
SHARON LONG and JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, as Co-Administrators for the Estate of JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, and SHARON LONG and JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, as Co-Executors for the Estate of ROSEMARIE SZCZUR,
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

RECEIVED
AUG 0 9 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 190070/08
FRANK FERLITO, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF FRANK FERLITO, AN CONNIE FERLITO, INDIVIDUALLY, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 29, 2013
New York, New York

/s/ Carl Figueroa
CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
FRANK FERLITO, as Administrator for the
Estate of FRANK FERLITO, and CONNIE
FERLITO, Individually,
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

Hoagland, Longo,
Moran, Dunst
& Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

CE-DA

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190404/12

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

ROBERT DAVID SCHRADER,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: 07/30, 2013
New York, New York

Matthew MacIntyre
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry ~~Klein~~ Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 0 0 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL

----------x

This Document Relates To:

KATHLEEN OWENS, as Executrix for the Estate of JOHN OWENS, and KATHLEEN OWENS, Individually,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

A.C. & S. INC., ET AL.,

Defendant(s).

----------x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AS TO FORD MOTOR COMPANY

INDEX NO.: 121099/01

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant FORD MOTOR COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant, FORD MOTOR COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/1/13

By: Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Counsel for: PLAINTIFFS

By: Evan L. Browne, Esq.
AARONSON RAPPAPORT FEINSTEIN & DEUTSCH, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Ford Motor Company
600 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10016
T: 212-593-6700
F: 212-593-6970

SO ORDERED:

HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

CHARLES R. NILSSON

Index No.: 190566/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Exxon Mobil Corporation (improperly identified as Exxon Mobil Oil Corporation) ("Exxon Mobil"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Exxon Mobil with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Exxon Mobil be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/25, 2013

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Exxon Mobil Corporation
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Shirley Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MICHAEL V. MORENO,

Plaintiff,

- against -

ABEX CORPORATION, et al.

Defendants.

Index No.: 190262/10

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC ("Allen-Bradley"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Allen-Bradley with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Allen-Bradley be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 25, 2013

Carmen Victoria St. George, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL — I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 120615/02; 127574/02

WILLIAM J. MCRAE, Individually and as Administratix for the Estate of WILLIAM J. MCRAE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JULY 23, 2013

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ PHAN ALVARADO, ESQ. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

2097949

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 116634/99; 118315/96

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WILLIAM K. BRADLEY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 23, 2013

~~Frank Ortiz~~, Esq. Phan Alvarado, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	~~Helen~~ Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein ~~Heitler~~, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

2078881

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190282/2012

RUSSELL GONZALES and PATRICIA GONZALES

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 22, 2013

Phan Alvardo, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Brian Sorenssen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2093217

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MICHAEL T. WALSH

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. O. SMITH CORP. et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
INDEX No.: 190483/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, Defendant Red-White Valve Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above captioned matter, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3213, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint against Defendant, Red-White Valve Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Red-White Valve Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 19, 2013

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, LLP

By: _____
Kush Shukla, Esq.
110 Williams Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038
212-267-3091
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

BONNER, KIERNAN, TREBACH, & CROCIATA, LLP.

By: _____
Rachel A. Ramsay-Lowe, Esq.
Empire State Building
350 5th Avenue, 59th Floor
New York, New York 10118
Attorneys for Defendant
Red-White Valve Corporation

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190500/2012

DORIS FERRARO and FRANK FERRARO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor in interest to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC (misnamed in the complaint as "Allen-Bradley Company" and "Rockwell Automation Inc., Individually and as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC ("Allen-Bradley"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Allen-Bradley with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Allen-Bradley be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/2, 2013

Lori A. Benavides, Esq.
Levy, Phillips, & Konigsberg, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, New York 10022

Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor in interest to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

1904132

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

ELIZABETH HERMAN and FRED HERMAN

INDEX NO.: 190218/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Rockwell Automation, Inc., formally known as Rockwell International Corporation ("Rockwell Automation "), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Rockwell Automation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Rockwell Automation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/2, 2013

Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Floor
New York, New York 10022

Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Rockwell Automation, Inc., formally known as
Rockwell International Corporation
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

RECEIVED
JUL 19 2013
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG

RECEIVED
AUG 14 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	:	Index No.: 12/190500
DORIS FERRARO and FRANK FERRARO	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREAS, Defendant ROBERTSHAW CONTROLS CO. requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against ROBERTSHAW CONTROLS CO., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against ROBERTSHAW CONTROLS CO., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/2, 2013

Audrey Raphael, Esq.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
Counsel for Plaintiff

Joseph LaSala, Esq.
McElroy Deutsch Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
Wall Street Plaza
New York, NY 10005
Counsel for Robertshaw Controls Co.

SO ORDERED,
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

00312815.WPD

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190483/2012

MICHAEL T. WALSH

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly sued as Flowserve US, Inc., individually, as successor to and doing business as Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Republic Flow Meters Co., Rockwell International and Vogt Valve Company) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 8, 2013

Kush Shukla, Esq.
Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038-3901

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

YCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 119850/1998

RICHARD A. HIDDIE and SHIRLEY HIDDIE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor in interest to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC (misnamed in the complaint as "Rockwell Automation Inc., as successor by merger to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC) ("Allen-Bradley"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against Allen-Bradley with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Allen-Bradley be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 31, 2013

David Barry, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Joseph P. La Sala, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Rockwell Automation, Inc., as successor in interest to Allen-Bradley Company, LLC
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

MARK COATS and ELAINE COATS, his spouse,

Index No.: 190402/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Robertshaw Controls Company, incorrectly sued as Invensys Systems, Inc. (as successor in interest to Fulton Sylphon Valves) and as Robertshaw Controls Co. (dba Fulton Sylphon Valves), (hereinafter "Robertshaw"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Robertshaw with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Robertshaw be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Darron E. Berquist, Esq. The Lanier Law Firm, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs 126 E 56th Street, 6th Floor New York, New York 10022	Joseph P. LaSala, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Robertshaw Controls Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 125795/99; 104028/00

RICHARD BABRAVICH

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 26, 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

2067628

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

MARIE SCHWENKER, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT SCHWENKER,

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, INC., et al.

Defendants.

----------x

Index No.: 190431/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
July 22, 2013

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190315/12

----------x

ARTHUR H. JUNI, JR. and MARY JUNI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

PACCAR INC., et al.,

Defendants.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------x

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT

MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant, PACCAR INC., and its unincorporated division PETERBILT MOTORS COMPANY, (hereinafter "PACCAR") hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Defendant PACCAR with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant PACCAR be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York
8/5, 2013

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Jennifer L. Budner, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant PACCAR Inc.
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
This Document Relates to: **STANLEY FRIEDMAN and PHYLISS FRIEDMAN**	Index No.: 190187/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8, 3, 2013

~~Bridget B. Truxillo, Esq.~~ Jason Hrazinski Es The Lanier Law Firm, PLLC 126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor New York, NY 10022	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190518/11

MICHAEL LIGHTSY and BARBARA LIGHTSY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/2, 2013

Brendan J. Tully ~~Robert I. Komitor, Esq.~~ BRENDAN J. TULLY Levy Phillips & Konisberg, LLP 800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor New York, New York 10022	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

~~Hon. Sherry Klein~~ Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

1890545

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

VALENTE TENORE

Index No.: 105465/99

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/12, 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Helen Antoniou McGowan, Esq. McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant A. O. Smith Water Products Company 88 Pine Street, 24th Floor New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. ~~Sherry Klein~~ Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

2103994

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.: 190212/12

MORTON FRIEDER and ROSALIND FRIEDER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc. (improperly pled as Cutler Hammer, n/k/a Eaton Electrical, Inc.) ("Eaton"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Eaton with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Eaton be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/2, 2013

Lori A. Benavides, Esq.
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg, LLP
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Aileen E. McTiernan, Esq.
Attorneys for Eaton Corporation, as successor-in-interest to Eaton Electrical, Inc. and Cutler-Hammer, Inc.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

JEAN M. DONNAY

Index No.: 190118/2013

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company (improperly named as Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., Edward Vogt Valve Company, and Vogt Valve Company) ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/2, 2013

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Brian Sorensen, Esq.
McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company
88 Pine Street, 24th Floor
New York, New York 10005

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

Index No.: 190148/13

In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITIGATION

REX D. JEFFRIES,

Plaintiff(s),

- against -

AURORA PUMP COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: July 23, 2013
New York, New York

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Lindsey T. Foster, Esq.
SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
Attorneys for Defendant
Aurora Pump Company
850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
New York, NY 10022
(212) 651-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
CHERYL SMITH, as Executrix of the Estate of KENNETH ALTON SMITH JR., and CHERYL SMITH, Individually, Plaintiff(s), -against-	:	Index No.: 109295/08 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
BELL & GOSSETT., et al., Defendants.	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/7/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL

----------X

EILEEN C. SKELTON, Individually and as the Executrix of the Estate of DONALD T. SKELTON, : Index No.: 103009/09

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/7/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
ADAM J. SGRO, as Administrator for the Estate of FRANK A. SGRO,	:	Index Nos.: 115239/00 108386/00
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A.C. and S., INC. (Armstrong Contracting & Supply), et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/7/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL

----------X

LISA M. PIESIK, as Personal Representative for the Estate of MICHAEL RABIDEAU, : Index No.: 102948/08

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., :

Defendants. :

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/7/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
RANDY PLANK, as Personal Representative for the Estate of GENE FRANCIS PLANK SR., and RANDY PLANK, as Personal Representative for the Estate of LORRAINE A. PLANK,	:	Index No.: 116167/07
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/7/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION : NYCAL

----------X

MARILYN J. BIGELOW, as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD J. VARI, SR., : Index Nos.: 113281/97, 111028/98, 104522/97 & 111250/04

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/7/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York

_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
RENITA JONES, as Administratrix for the Estate of MARSHALL TYSON,	:	Index No.: 190028/10
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/7/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 29 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
SHARON LONG and JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, as Co-Administrators for the Estate of JOSEPH J. SZCZUR, and SHARON LONG and JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, as Co-Executors for the Estate of ROSEMARIE SZCZUR, Plaintiff(s), -against- A.C. and S. INC., et al., Defendants.	Index Nos.: 114417/00 122197/99 116745/07 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/7/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
GARY J. NANNERVIS (Deceased),	:	Index No.: 190169/09
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.	:	

FILED AUG 28 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
FILED AUG 28 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/7/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Jennifer Childs, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

RECEIVED AUG 09 2013 PART 30

Dated: New York, New York

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
JANET D. PAUL, as Executrix for the Estate of WAYNE PAUL, and JANET D. PAUL, Individually,	:	Index No.: 114934/07
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	:	
Defendants.	:	

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/7/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: _____
Frank Ortiz, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AETNA

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document relates to:	Index No. 190305/12
SAMUEL MCKAY, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., et al., Defendants.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/7/13

Anthony Ametrano, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 29510.786

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Samuel McKay
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 08 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates To:

CARMINE MONGONI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, Including, MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 190117/09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August __, 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

RECEIVED
AUG 08 2013

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates To:

DELPHINE M. STACHOWIAK and CHARLES STACHOWIAK,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Including, MAREMONT CORPORATION,

Defendants.

----------X

Index No. 190155/09

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Maremont Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 1, 2013

Frank M. O'Hare, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.
KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
1633 Broadway
New York, New York 10019
(212) 506-1700

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
This Document relates to:	
DANIEL E. VALENSI, Individually, and as Executor of the Estate of FRANCES S. VALENSI, deceased.	Index No.: 190340/12
Plaintiff,	
-against-	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPOATION, As Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et al.	
Defendants.	

AETNA

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/22/13

Bhavna Changrani/sg

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190358/12

----------X

JOHN GARBUTT AND JOANN GARBUTT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------X

WHEREFORE, Defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/6/13

Eileen T. Budd, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Patty Burstyn, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
John Garbutt and Joann Garbutt
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 28 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

AETNA

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No.: 190358/12

----------X

JOHN GARBUTT AND JOANN GARBUTT,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------X

WHEREFORE, Defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all Co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
6/6/13

Eileen T. Budd, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Patty Burstyn, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
John Garbutt and Joann Garbutt
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

4848-7846-1202.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document relates to:

SAMUEL MCKAY,

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No. 190305/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/7/13

Anthony Ametrano, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10005
212.232.1300
File No. 29510.786

Daniel Wasserberg, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Samuel McKay
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
212.558.5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 06 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
This Document relates to:	
DANIEL E. VALENSI, Individually, and as Executor of the Estate of FRANCES S. VALENSI, deceased. Plaintiff, -against- AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPOATION, As Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc., et al. Defendants.	Index No. 190340/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/22/13

Bhavna Changrani, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
77 Water Street, Suite 2100
New York, New York 10005
(212) 232-1300

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 06 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 03-118094
JENNIE SCOTTO, AS PROPOSED EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF DOMINIC SCOTTO AND JENNIE SCOTTO, INDIVIDUALLY against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York July 1, 2013

/s/ Carl Figueroa

CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

PHAN ALVARADO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
JENNIE SCOTTO, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of DOMINIC SCOTTO and JENNIE SCOTTO, Individually
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 190020/10
EDITH KUTKO, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ANDREW KUTKO JR. AND EDITH KUTKO, INDIVIDUALLY against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO. ET AL.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York July 10, 2013

CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

PHAN ALVARADO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
EDITH KUTKO, as Executrix for the Estate of
ANDREW KUTKO JR. and EDITH KUTKO,
Individually,
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Hoagland, Longo,
Moran, Dunst
& Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: MICHAEL D. TESORIERO against A.C.&S., INC., ET AL.	INDEX NO.: 02-107006

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, New Jersey 7/1/13

Kristi Jahnke

KRISTI JAHNKE, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

JOHN E. RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
MICHAEL D. TESORIERO
700 Broadway, New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

Hoagland, Longo,
Moran, Dunst
& Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 08-100919
MILDRED FUTIA, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES FUTIA, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 8, 2013
New York, New York

CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Mildred Futia, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of James Futia
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 99-112962
MILDRED FUTIA, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES FUTIA, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York 7/22/13

MELISSA BARRELLA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

FRANK ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Mildred Futia, Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of James Futia
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1221 Avenue of the Americas
Suite 4200
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 190079/13
GAIL M. LEWIS AND MIRIAN LEWIS against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York

CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

DAVID CHANDLER, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Gail M. Lewis and Miriam Lewis,
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Hoagland, Longo,
Moran, Dunst
& Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MARK A. COATS,

Plaintiff(s)

vs.

THE WILLIAM POWELL CO., ET AL.

Defendant(s)

INDEX NO. 190402/2012

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant THE WILLIAM POWELL CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant The William Powell Co., Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant The William Powell Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/31, 2013

BY: _____
Darron E. Berquist, Esq.
The Lanier Law Firm, PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Tower 56
126 East 56th St., 6th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 421-2800

BY: _____
Jessie Christine Basner, Esq.
Clemente Mueller, P.A.
Attorneys for Defendant
The William Powell Co.
5 Penn Plaza, 19th Floor
New York, New York 10001
212-425-5005

SO ORDERED, _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 117709/99, 111065/98 110130/98

JOHN SULLIVAN

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 22, 2013

Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
John Sullivan
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 112939-07

KENNETH F. CARPENTER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 26, 2013

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Kenneth F. Carpenter, et al.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 29 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN CLIFFORD

Index Nos. 121913-97
105235-98
111061-98

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 29, 2013

~~Joe Vazquez, Esq.~~ ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
John Clifford, et al.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. ~~Sherry Klein~~ Heitler

FILED
AUG 05 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE **NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION** **NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)**

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: **Index No. 103009-08**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DONALD SKELTON **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 29, 2013

PETER TAMBINI ~~Matthew Park~~, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Donald Skelton
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Rosario Chetta, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 29 2013
FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
PATRICIA A. LOWDEN, as Executrix for the Estate of PATRICK W. LOWDEN JR., and PATRICIA LOWDEN, Individually Plaintiff(s), -against- A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	:	Index No. 101357/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER** Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/23/13

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: _____
~~Joe Vazquez~~, Esq.
Frank Ortiz
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: _____
Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.
264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

FILED
AUG 08 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heftier, J.)

-against-

Index No. 117594/99

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Relates To:

John Ancona

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 7, 2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ENTERED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John Ancona, et al.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Plaintiffs,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

This Document Relates To:

Donald Angrisani

---------- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York. New York

August 7 ,2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Masan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ACCEPTED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John. Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-against-

Index No. 117724/99

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Relates To:

James Beebe

---------- X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 7 ,2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: RALPH SALZANO and MARGARET SALZANO, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	INDEX NO.: 120391-01

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ 7/1/13

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

JOHN E. RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Ralph Salzano and Margaret Salzano
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

Hoagland, Longo,
Moran, Dunst
& Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 104275-02
RALPH SALZANO AND MARGARET SALZANO,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
against	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ 7/1/13

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

JOHN E. RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Ralph Salzano and Margaret Salzano
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

Hoagland, Longo,
Moran, Dunst
& Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 190173-09
RALPH SALZANO and MARGARET SALZANO, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ 7/1/13

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

JOHN E. RICHMOND, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Ralph Salzano and Margaret Salzano
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

Hoagland, Longo,
Moran, Dunst
& Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 97-123392
EDWARD JOHN MORGAN AND MARGERITE MORGAN against A.C.&S., INC., ET AL.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **KOHLER CO.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **KOHLER CO.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **KOHLER CO.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/9/13 NEW YORK, NEW YORK

MELISSA R. BARRELLA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
KOHLER CO.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
EDWARD JOHN MORGAN and MARGERITE MORGAN
700 Broadway, New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 26 2013

Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor
New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 108069/08
DOROTHY PETRIZZI, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ERCOLE PETRIZZI, AND DOROTHY PETRIZZI, INDIVIDUALLY, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.,** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York July 10, 2013

CARL FIGUEROA, ESQ
HOAGLAND, LONGS, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
1501 Broadway, 21st Floor
New York, New York 10036

PHAN ALVARADO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
DOROTHY PETRIZZI, as Administratrix for the Estate of ERCOLE PETRIZZI, and DOROTHY PETRIZZI,
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP
Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway
21st Floor New York, NY

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

---x

EDWARD W. DOLAN and GERALDINE DOLAN, his wife, — Index No. 190555/2012

Plaintiffs,

-v.-

CBS Corporation, incl. ABB, INC., *et al.*

Defendants.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

---x

WHEREFORE, defendant ABB, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant ABB, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant ABB, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/1, 2013

RatL
D
Marcus

~~Kevin M. Berry~~, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER
110 William Street, 26th Fl
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Edward W. Dolan, et al.
(212) 558-5500

Rula Alzadon Moor, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Basic, Inc.
~~(212)~~ 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

DORIS HARAGSIM, As Administratix for the Estate of EDWARD J. HARAGSIM, and DORIS HARAGSIM, Individually

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, including ROPER PUMP COMPANY,

Defendants.

Index No. 190356/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Roper Pump Company. (hereinafter "Roper") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Roper with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 7, 2013

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Edward J. Haragsim, et al.
(212) 558-5500

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Grace De Mario, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Roper Pump Company
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

DORIS HARAGSIM, As Administratix for the Estate of EDWARD J. HARAGSIM, and DORIS HARAGSIM, Individually

Index No. 190356/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*, including VIKING PUMP, INC.,

Defendants.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc. (hereinafter "Viking") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Viking with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
June 7, 2013

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Edward J. Haragsim, et al.
(212) 558-5500

MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC

Grace De Mario, Esq.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Viking Pump, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Dated: _____

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION — NYCAL

JOHN S. SMITH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., including VIKING PUMP, INC.,

Defendants.

Index No. 190164/13

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc., (hereafter "Viking") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Viking with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 24, 2013

Benjamin Darche, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John S. Smith
(212) 558-5500

Grace DeMario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Viking Pump, Inc.
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
BARBARA SMITH, as Personal Representative for the Estate of FRANCIS SMTIH,		Index No. 118280/03
Plaintiff,		**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-		
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.		Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
Defendants.		

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 26, 2013

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer Childs
Jennifer Childs

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL
MARIE SCHWENKER, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of ROBERT SCHWENKER,	:	Index No. 190431/12
Plaintiff(s),	:	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
-against-	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORP., et al.,	:	
Defendants.	:	

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Goodyear Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 26, 2013

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.

700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant Goodyear Canada Inc.

By: Jennifer T. Childs
Jennifer T. Childs, Esq.

264 West 40th Street
New York, New York 10018
(212) 302-2400

Dated: New York, New York
_____

SO ORDERED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-against-

Index No. 117594/99

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

---------- X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Relates To:

James R. Clark

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

August 7, 2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ENTERED
AUG 05 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John. Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

George J. Conboy

---------- X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heider, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

August 7 2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Dennis Connell

---------- X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, j.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

August 7, 2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 06 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Thomas Dooley

---------- X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 7, 2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ. GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---x

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO

Index No. 190080/13

HAROLD HOHNE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

---x

WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 19, 2013

John Richmond, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Harold Hohne, et al.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Erika L. Fraenkel, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
Attorneys for Defendant
Morse Diesel, Inc.
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
(212) 791-0285

SO ORDERED, _____ Dated: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 06 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION NYCAL

---x

EDMUND LEHANKA and NELLIE LEHANKA, Index No. 190531/2012

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, *et al.* including VIKING PUMP, INC.,

Defendants.

---x

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Viking Pump, Inc., (hereafter "Viking") hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Viking with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Viking be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/8 2013

7/30/13

Samuel Meirowitz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Edmund Lehanka, Esq.
(212) 558-5500

Grace De Mario, Esq.
MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York, New York 10038
Attorneys for Defendant
Viking Pump, Inc.
(212) 791-028

SO ORDERED, _____ DATED: _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs.

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

This Document Relates To:

Stephen B. Carpenter

----------X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heider, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

August 7 2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: FRANCIS ANTICOLI AND ROSE ANTICOLI	Index No.: 114998/98, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
ANTICOLI, FRANCIS
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-4982

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: FRANCIS ANTICOLI AND ROSE ANTICOLI	Index No.: 114998/98, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiffs
ANTICOLI, FRANCIS
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

722-1391

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

This Document Relates To:

Norberto Bernacet

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
August 7, 2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al..

Defendants.

This Document Relates To:

James W. Brown

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
August 7 2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

This Document Relates To:

Vernon J. Burgess

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heider, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, New York

August 7 2013

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 08 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs.

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

This Document Relates To:

Paul G. Caiano

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

August 7 ,2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heftier

FILED
AUG 30 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: HOWARD G. SMITH AND WILMA SMITH	Index No.: 112962/99, 118316/96 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiffs
SMITH, HOWARD G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2013
PART 30

324-3311P

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: CHARLES T. SULLIVAN	Index No.: 190065/10, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
SULLIVAN, CHARLES T.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
~~(212)~~ 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 08 2013
9:00

324-9411

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: JOHN J. TRICARICO AND ELIZABETH TRICARICO	Index No.: 110248/04, 111940/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
TRICARICO, JOHN J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-8050

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: DONALD D. WILSON	Index No.: 107168/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
WILSON, DONALD D.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6716AV

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: NELSON SHEEHAN AND BETTY ELIZABETH SHEEHAN	Index No.: 122113/97, 123724/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
SHEEHAN, NELSON
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

722-616

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: ROBERT JAMES MELDER AND ELAINE MELDER	Index No.: 123467/01, 111227/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
MELDER, ROBERT J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 28 2013
PART 30

324-6204AC

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: VINCENT PIETROCARLO AND MARY PIETROCARLO	Index No.: 190434/10, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
PIETROCARLO, VINCENT
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew O. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 08 2013
PART 30

324-9501

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: DAVID W. PREMO AND JACQUELINE PREMO	Index No.: 106580/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiffs
PREMO, DAVID W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 30 2013

324-6853AF

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: VINCENT A. RATHGEB, JR. AND CORINNE RATHGEB	Index No.: 100489/06, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7-30, 2013

Frank Ortiz
Attorney for Plaintiffs
RATHGEB, VINCENT A. JR.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-8646

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: BILLIE J. ROWLING AND RAYMOND R. ROWLING	Index No.: 102118/07, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
ROWLING, RAYMOND R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-8914

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: WILLIAM FREDERICK SCHOONBECK	Index No.: 123987/94, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

2007

frank o-hi

Attorney for Plaintiffs
SCHOONBECK, WILLIAM F. SR.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6330

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: PATRICIA LOUISE SCULLY AND PAUL M. SCULLY	Index No.: 190146/10, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7-13-2013

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
SCULLY, PAUL M.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-9441

RECEIVED
AUG 00 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: NELSON SHEEHAN AND BETTY ELIZABETH SHEEHAN	Index No.: 122113/97, 123724/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7-30, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiffs
SHEEHAN, NELSON
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-3517

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: LUCILLE E. GROME AND FRED GROME	Index No.: 122152/98, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiffs
GROME, FRED E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

722-2017

FILED
AUG 06 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: MARY E. HABERSHAM AND OSCAR HABERSHAM	Index No.: 190173/10, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
2/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
HABERSHAM, OSCAR
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-9311

FILED
AUG 30 2013
PAID

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: ROGER J. HAMELIN	Index No.: 105713/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Frank Weitz

Attorney for Plaintiffs
HAMELIN, ROGER J.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6731L

ENTERED
AUG 30 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: AUGUST HASBROUCK	Index No.: 106999/02, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7-30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
HASBROUCK, AUGUST
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6711P

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: RICHARD D. HILTON AND SALLY E. HILTON	Index No.: 119848/98, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7-13-, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
HILTON, RICHARD D.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-3181

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: RICHARD D. HILTON AND SALLY E. HILTON	Index No.: 119848/98, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
HILTON, RICHARD D.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

722-1941

EME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
NTY OF NEW YORK

E: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: RICHARD H. JONES	Index No.: 125769/99, 105353/00 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
JONES, RICHARD H.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5111AG

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs.

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

This Document Relates To:

Earl E. Morton

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 7 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

This Document Relates To:

Vincent P. Napodano, Jr.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against de:fendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 7 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 0 6 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

This Document Relates To:

Lawrence Nicastro

---------- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heider, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

August 7, 2013

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RETURNED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs.

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO.. et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

This Document Relates To:

Leonard Nitti

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 7, 21013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Charles V. Overton

---------- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company. b[illegible] sa[illegible] hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
August 7 2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

----------X

IDA FLYNN, as Executrix of the Estate for the Estate of MARTIN FLYNN, Deceased, and IDA FLYNN, individually

Plaintiffs,

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.: 190391/2010

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A.C. AND S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant **Metropolitan Life Insurance Company** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **Metropolitan Life Insurance Company** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **Metropolitan Life Insurance Company**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

7/30, 2013

Frank Ortiz
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS
700 Broadway
7th Floor
New York, NY 10003
Phone: (212) 558-5500

Shehzad Hasan
Justin B. Perri
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
Phone: (212) 506-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-against-

Index No. 117594/99

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Relates To:

Richard P. Guez

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

August 7 2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. John Heitler

ENTERED
AUG 03 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Kenneth W. Hartley

---------- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being, no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 7 2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Masan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 30 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heisler, J.)

-against-

Index No. 117594/99

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

---------- X

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

This Document Relates To:

Victor R. Hernanadez

---------- X

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

August 7, 2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heider

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

-against-

FILED

Index No. 117594/99

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

AUG 28 2013

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

This Document Relates To:

Joseph J. Laviola

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 7 ,2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Masan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 06 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

This Document Relates To:

Erskine Longshaw

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heider, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York. New York

August 7 21013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
---------- X
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION
---------- X
John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.
----------X
This Document Relates To:

Joseph E. McGuinness
----------X

FILED

AUG 28 2013

NYCAL
U.S. Part 10
(Heitler, J.)

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 7, :2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heider

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

This Document Relates To:

Anthony Mezzatesta

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judament in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
August 7 2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: CAROLYN BARLOW AND EDWARD BARLOW	Index No.: 114984/98, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
BARLOW, EDWARD
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 08 2013
PART 30

324-3639

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

CAROLYN BARLOW
AND
EDWARD BARLOW

Index No.: 114984/98,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
BARLOW, EDWARD
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

722-1412

FILED
AUG 00 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

EDGAR W. BOWSER
AND
GLADYS BOWSER

Index No.: 121733/99, 103124/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
BOWSER, EDGAR W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5063AJ

FILED
AUG 08 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM CAMPBELL
AND
CATHERINE CAMPBELL

Index No.: 103574/00, 121854/99

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
CAMPBELL, WILLIAM
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5055AE

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

---------- X

John Ancona, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE CO., et al.,

Defendants.

---------- X

This Document Relates To:

Francis W. Driscoll

---------- X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No. 117594/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Metropolitan Life Insurance Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

August 7 ,2013

Kevin M. Berry, Esq.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S)
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10038

Shehzad Hasan, Esq.
Justin B. Perri, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT
METROPOLITAN LIFE
INSURANCE COMPANY
1114 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 08 2013
COUNTY CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 116007/02, 111056/98

MARTIN E. FARRELL

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Frank Tic
Attorney for Plaintiffs
FARRELL, MARTIN E.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 26 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

324-6818

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

This Document Relates to:

ROGER FARTHING
AND
DEBRA FARTHING

Index No.: 116746/07, 113705/95

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
FARTHING, ROGER
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 30 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.: 190140/10,

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM H. FIELDS
AND
HENRIETTA FIELDS

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/20, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
FIELDS, WILLIAM H.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED

324-9439

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: EMERSON C. FISHER AND LEE FISHER	Index No.: 106693/02, 114998/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/3-, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
FISHER, EMERSON C.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6879P

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: ROBERT P. FLYNN AND DOMINGA MURIEL-FLYNN	Index No.: 190279/10, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
FLYNN, ROBERT P.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-9497

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: STEVEN ALBERT GERHARDT AND JOYCE GERHARDT	Index No.: 117214/06, 118879/98, 108708/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Avocet Enterprises, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
July 29, 2013

ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Steven Albert Gerhardt and Joyce Gerhardt
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Avocet Enterprises, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

722-1829

RECEIVED
AUG 08 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: CYRIL ARNOLD	Index No.: 100920/08, 101310/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
ARNOLD, CYRIL
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-9056

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: ADAM R. BARANIK AND KATHLEEN BARANIK	Index No.: 104042/00, 125795/99 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/20, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiffs
BARANIK, ADAM R.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Matthew O. Sampar, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-5118AR

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

GEORGE E. CAREY and THELMA CAREY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 127887-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/31/13
New York, New York

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ROBERT J. ULRICH and VIRGINIA ULRICH,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No: 107006-02
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 7/31/13
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:	
ROBERT R. WILLIAMS and LORA WILLIAMS,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	Index No: 107405-02
A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,	**NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
Defendants.	

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/31/13
New York, New York

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

EDWARD H. WHITE and VIRGINIA,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 111893-99

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/31/13
New York, New York

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

PAUL V. UNETICH and DOROTHY H. UNETICH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 107222-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/31/13
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ENTERED
AUG 30 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

FRANK SUWALSKI and JAQUELINE SUWALSKI,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 111225-01

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/11/13
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 06 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

MARCOS SANTIAGO,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 120389-01

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/31/13
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 06 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

GEORGE EDWARD PALOVICH,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

----------x

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No(s).: 107001-02

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/21/13
New York, New York

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 28 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: CECIL W. ABSHER	Index No.: 190252/10, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/26, 2013

Matthew T. MacIntyre
Matthew T. MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
ABSHER, CECIL W.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura Beth Hollman
Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 08 2013
PART 30

963-782

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: STEVEN ALBERT GERHARDT AND IRENE JOYCE GERHARDT	Index No.: 117214/06, 108708/01, 118879/98 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 25, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Adam Raffo, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
GERHARDT, STEVEN ALBERT
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 00 2013

1122-22747

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: STEVEN ALBERT GERHARDT AND IRENE JOYCE GERHARDT	Index No.: 117214/06, 108708/01, 118879/98 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 25, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
GERHARDT, STEVEN ALBERT
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Alysa Koloms, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-22267

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: STEVEN ALBERT GERHARDT AND IRENE JOYCE GERHARDT	Index No.: 117214/06, 108708/01, 118879/98 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 25, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
GERHARDT, STEVEN ALBERT
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Evan Foxx, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-23298Z

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: GARY J. NANKERVIS	Index No.: 190169/09 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/26/13

Matthew MacIntyre

Matthew MacIntyre
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Gary J. Nankervis
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kerryann Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 30 2013

324-9201

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: HARRY J. TYNAN AND FRANCES TYNAN	Index No.: 120388/01, 106275/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 18, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Michael Fanelli
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Harry J. Tynan and Frances Tynan
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Courter & Company, Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1122-17855

AUG 30 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: HARRY J. TYNAN AND FRANCES TYNAN	Index No.: 120388/01, 106275/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
July 18, 2013

Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Harry J. Tynan and Frances Tynan
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Treadwell Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-17301AT

{N0225098-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: HARRY J. TYNAN AND FRANCES TYNAN	Index No.: 120388/01, 106275/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
July 18, 2013

Michael Farrell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Harry J. Tynan and Frances Tynan
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Tishman Liquidating Corporation
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-24604AT

{N0225101-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

This Document Relates To:

GEORGE E. CAREY and THELMA CAREY,

Plaintiff(s),

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)

Index No: 102151-03

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------x

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CRANE CO. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE CO. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/31/13
New York, New York

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
CRANE CO.
599 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022-6030
(212) 536-3900

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 28 2013
33

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Mildred Futia, as Executrix for the Estate of James V. Futia,

Index No.: 100919/08

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co, et al.,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 29, 2013

Adam Raffo, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Mildred Futia, as Executrix for the Estate of James V. Futia
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
44 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 732-2000
Our File No.: 10924-5678

ENTERED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Bridget G. McGinnity, as Executrix for the Estate of James E. McGinnity Sr.

Index No.: 104643/07

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 29, 2013

Adam Raffo, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Bridget G. McGinnity, as Executrix for the Estate of James E. McGinnity Sr.
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
44 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 732-2000
~~Our File No.: 10924-5531~~

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Susan Anderson, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Leonard Anderson,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 114935/07

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/5, 2013

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Susan Anderson, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Leonard Anderson
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
44 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 732-2000
Our File No.: 10924-5618

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
June R. Clark, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Thomas H. Clark,

Index No.: 112677/07

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/5, 2013

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
June R. Clark, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Thomas H. Clark
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
44 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 732-2000
Our File No.: 10924-5599

AUG 08 2013

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-108537

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HARRY SILVERBERG

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Daimler Trucks North America, LLC, sued herein as "Freightliner Corp." ("DTNA"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant DTNA with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant DTNA, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 19, 2013

By: Suzanne Ratcliffe
Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

By:
Joseph J. Ortego, Esq.
Santo Borruso, Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Daimler Trucks North America, LLC
s/h/a "Freightliner Corp."
50 Jericho Quadrangle, Suite 300
Jericho, NY 11735-2728
(516) 832-7500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 08 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: REX D. JEFFRIES AND JOANNE JEFFRIES	Index No.: 190148/13, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Fairbanks Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Fairbanks Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
July 23, 2013

Michael Farrell
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Rex D. Jeffries and Joanne Jeffries
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura Beth Hollman
Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Fairbanks Company
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

504-1146

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PATRICK MCCLOSKEY AND MARY ANNE MCCLOSKEY	Index No.: 190441/12 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
7/30, 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs
McCloskey, Patrick
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-24092

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: ROBERT SCHWENKER AND MARIE SCHWENKER	Index No.: 190431/12, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, The Nash Engineering Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
July 23, 2013

Suzanne Ratcliffe
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Schwenker and Marie Schwenker
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura Beth Hollman
Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
The Nash Engineering Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

505-3084

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: ROBERT SCHWENKER AND MARIE SCHWENKER	Index No.: 190431/12, **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
July 23, 2013

Suzanne Rackliffe
Attorney for Plaintiffs
Robert Schwenker and Marie Schwenker
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura Beth Hollman
Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

963-1319

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: PHILIP THIBODEAU	Index No.: 190436/12 **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** **MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve & Controls USA, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve & Controls USA, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve & Controls USA, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 23, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Brendan J. Tully, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiffs
THIBODEAU, PHILIP
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
800 Third Avenue, 13th Fl
New York, New York 10022
(212) 605-6200

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Atwood & Morrill Company, improperly plead as Weir Valve & Controls USA, Inc.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

AUG 28 2013
PART 30

963-1311

{N0189104-2}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: ROBERT G. FOLEY	Index No.: 190475/12 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc. with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
July 30, 2013

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Robert G. Foley
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

Laura B. Hollman, Esq.
MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc.
80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

963-1337

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190494/12

Carolyn Faurote, as Administratrix for the Estate of Robert Faurote, and Carolyn Faurote, Individually

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, incorrectly s/h/a "AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC" (hereinafter "AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 23, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Ambre Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
American Biltrite Inc.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 13139.00245

RECEIVED
AUG 05 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Margareth Smid, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Jan Smid,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 107567/03

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/16, 2013

John E. Richmond, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Margareth Smid, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Jan Smid
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
44 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 732-2000
Our File No.: 10924-181

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
William E. Gay,

Index No.: 127678/02

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co. et al.,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/24, 2013

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
William E. Gay
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
44 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 732-2000
Our File No.: 10924-1824

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

AUG 08 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Mary R. Crow, as Executrix of the Estate of Milton F. Crow,

Index No.: 111883/07

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al

Defendants.

----------X

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 27, 2013

David J. Barry, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Mary R. Crow, as Executrix of the Estate of Milton F. Crow
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
44 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 732-2000
Our File No.: 10924-5594

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Linda Lumsden-Roberts, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Kenneth F. Carpenter,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 112939/07

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 26, 2013

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Linda Lumsden-Roberts, as
Personal Representative for the
Estate of Kenneth F. Carpenter
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
44 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 732-2000
Our File No.: 10924-5603

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
Caroline Radetich, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of Peter Radetich,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108668/07

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 26, 2013

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Caroline Radetich, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of Peter Radetich
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
44 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 732-2000
Our File No.: 10924-5582

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Judge Heitler)

----------X

This Document Relates to:
John J.M. Obremski, as Executor for the Estate of Denise M. Obremski,

Plaintiff,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 108412/07

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 24, 2013

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
John J.M. Obremski, as Executor for the Estate of Denise M. Obremski
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc.
44 Wall Street
New York, New York 10005
(212) 732-2000
Our File No.: 10924-5573

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
CHERYL WOODS, as executrix of the estate of JAMES J. WOODS, Plaintiff(s) against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al., Defendants.	Index No: 07/107446 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Lincoln Electric Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Lincoln Electric Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lincoln Electric Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 31, 2013

~~Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.~~ LORI A. BENAVIDES, ESQ.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Lincoln Electric Company
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 30 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
CHERYL WOODS, as executrix of the estate of JAMES J. WOODS, Plaintiff(s) against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al., Defendants.	Index No: 07/107446 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Linde LLC, formerly known as The BOC Group, Inc. and/or Airco, Inc. ("Airco"), incorrectly sued as Airco Welders Supply, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Airco with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Airco be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 31, 2013

~~Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.~~ LORI A. BEVACQUA, ESQ.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Linde LLC, formerly known as The BOC Group, Inc. and/or Airco, Inc.
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 06 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190389/12

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Robert H. Bodenstein and Asunta Bodenstein

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., incorrectly s/h/a "AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC" (herein after "AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.").** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/26/13

Peter Tambini, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 13139.00229

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2013

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Carmen Garcia-Sanchez

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No: 190421/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/8/13

Erik Jacobs, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 14501.00062

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 09 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190568/12

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Claudius Eastman

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/22/13

Michael Fanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 14501.00002

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 0 8 2013

5633118v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190392/12

John W. Adler and Elaine Adler

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC**, incorrectly s/h/a "Rockbestos-Suprenant Cable Corp. s/h/a Rockbestos Inc." (hereinafter "RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 23, 2013

Carmen V. St. George, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 07696.04992

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 06 2013
RECEIVED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALAN ZELENKA

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 09-190428

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
July 29, 2013

By: ADAM RAFFO
, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By:
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHILIP TAMBASCO

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

Index No. 02-114014

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY
July 29, 2013

By: ADAM RAFFO
, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
180 Maiden Lane
New York, NY 10038
(212) 558-5500

By:
Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.
Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.
NIXON PEABODY LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Patterson-Kelley Company
Key Towers at Fountain Plaza
40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500
Buffalo, NY 14202
(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

14511296.1

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	Index No: 190187/2012
STANLEY FRIEDMAN & PHYLLIS FRIEDMAN, PLAINTIFF, VS. A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, ET AL., Defendants.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Aug 7, 2013

Jason M. Hodrinsky, Esq.
THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
126 East 56th, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10022
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Stanley Friedman	Index No: 190187/2012
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
Aug 7, 2013

Jason M. Hodrinsky, Esq.
THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PLLC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
126 East 56th, 6th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 08 2013
PAGE 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
CHERYL WOODS, as executrix of the estate of JAMES J. WOODS, Plaintiff(s) against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS COMPANY, et al., Defendants.	Index No: 07/107446 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Hobart Brothers Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Hobart Brothers Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Hobart Brothers Company be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 31, 2013

~~Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.~~ LORI A. BENAVIDES, ESQ.
LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor
New York, NY 10022
(212) 605-6200

Jennifer W. Darger, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU LLP
Attorneys for Hobart Brothers Company
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 08 2013

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates To:

Josephine M. Tempio, as Executrix for the Estate of James V. Tempio, and Josephine M. Tempio, Individually

---

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 111679/08

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to ANACONDA WIRE & CABLE CO.** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to ANACONDA WIRE & CABLE CO.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to ANACONDA WIRE & CABLE CO.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 22, 2013

Lisa Busch, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
ERICSSON INC., as successor in interest to ANACONDA WIRE & CABLE CO.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 07536.00001

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 0 6 2013
PART 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 111679/08

Josephine M. Tempio, as Executrix for the Estate of James V. Tempio, and Josephine M. Tempio, Individually

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 22, 2013

Lisa Busch, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 0 6 2013
PART 30

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190268/09

George J. Cornish Jr.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 29, 2013

Julie Evans

Frank Ortiz, Esq. ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 05335.34464

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114412/00
116745/07

Joseph J. Szczur

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 29, 2013

Adam Raffo

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 05335.32689, 05335.09051

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 28 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190110/13

Raymond T. Donovan and Margaret T. Donovan

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.**, incorrectly s/h/a "UNION PUMP COMPANY" hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CLYDE UNION, INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 30, 2013

Laurence Nassif, Esq.
Seeger Weiss LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
77 Water Street, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10005

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CLYDE UNION, INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 07723.00100

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

5592883v.1

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190392/12

John W. Adler and Elaine Adler

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 23, 2013

Carmen V. St. George, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 10557.01289

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

ENTERED
AUG 29 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190218/12

Elizabeth Herman and Fred Herman

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant, **ARCHER DANIELS MIDLAND COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **ARCHER DANIELS MIDLAND COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **ARCHER DANIELS MIDLAND COMPANY** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 29, 2013

Erica V. Cesaro, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONISBERG, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
§Attorney for Defendant
ARCHER DANIELS MIDLAND COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, NY 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 14377.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 06 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190214/13

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Linton Dorfman

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., incorrectly s/h/a "AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC" (herein after "AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.").** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/16/13

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
AMERICAN BILTRITE INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 13139.00347

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 06 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 115239/00

Frank Angelo Sgro

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/14/13

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Michael Smell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 14501.00219

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 06 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190382/12

Jerry M. Ebanks and Bonnie Ebanks

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/06/13

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 14501.00072

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 06 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Robert Germain Sr.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No: 190281/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **SPENCER HEATER, a division of AVCO CORPORATION**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **SPENCER HEATER, a division of AVCO CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **SPENCER HEATER, a division of AVCO CORPORATION** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/05/13

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
SPENCER HEATER, a division of AVCO CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 06980.00019

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 06 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Robert Germain Sr.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No: 190281/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **NEW YORKER BOILER COMPANY, INC.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **NEW YORKER BOILER COMPANY, INC.** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **NEW YORKER BOILER COMPANY, INC.** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
8/05/13

Jordan Fox, Esq.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
NEW YORKER BOILER COMPANY, INC.
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
Our File Number: 12545.00051

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190215/13

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

George Purville

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **CONWED CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 8/1/13

~~Jordan Fox, Esq.~~ Bryan Belasky, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

~~Julie Evans, Esq.~~ Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CONWED CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 07415.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 30 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190215/13

George Purville

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/30/13

~~Jordan Fox, Esq.~~ Bryan Bellusky, Esq.
Belluck & Fox LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 10557.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 28 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 109070/00

Norman L. Newkirk

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/29/13

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 14501.00367

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 03 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 120337/02

Monique Pelletier, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Girard Pelletier

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **CARRIER CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/30/13

Nicholas Novack, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CARRIER CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 10557.00335

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

5560907v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates To:

(Heitler, J.)

Carolyn Faurote, as Administratrix for the Estate of Robert Faurote, and Carolyn Faurote, Individually

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No: 190494/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **CONWED CORPORATION** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CONWED CORPORATION,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 23, 2013

Ambre Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
CONWED CORPORATION
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No.: 07415.00145

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 06 2013
COUNTY CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 190392/12

John W. Adler and Elaine Adler

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 23, 2013

Carmen V. St. George, Esq.
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg LLP
Attorney for Plaintiff
800 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
File No. 14501.00037

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
AUG 0 5 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates To:

(Heitler, J.)

Index No: 190494/12

Carolyn Faurote, as Administratrix for the Estate of Robert Faurote, and Carolyn Faurote, Individually

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC,** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC,** be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 23, 2013

Ambre Brandis, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG PC
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No.: 14501.00071

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 06 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

John J. Holmes and Charlene Holmes

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No: 190273/12

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 7/25/13

Chris Romanelli, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
FEDERAL-MOGUL ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, as successor in interest to the Vellumoid Division of Federal-Mogul Corporation
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 11120.00022

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

NOT FILED
AUG 08 2013
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------x

In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------x

BERNARD TARPEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------x

Index No.: 190149-13

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendants, RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereo, this shall not apply or be construed to apply to any product line associated with Hoffman-New Yorker, Inc.,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. However, this order only applies to products and equipment manufactured by Rheem, not by Hoffman-New Yorker Inc.

Dated: July 13, 2013
New York, New York

Daniel J. Wasserberg, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for plaintiff(s)
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10006

Lisa M. Pascarella, Esq.
FORMAN PERRY WATKINS KRUTZ & TARDY, LLP
Attorney for Defendant
RHEEM MANUFACTURING COMPANY
328 Newman Springs Road
Red Bank, New Jersey 07701

RECEIVED
AUG 06 2013
PART 30

SO ORDERED, _____

Judge Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION :

----------X

This Document Relates to: : Index No. 113056/2006
PHYLLIS N. SEGAL, Individually and as Executrix of :
the Estate of ELI J. SEGAL, :

Plaintiff, : **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

-against- :

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, *et al.*, :

Defendants.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------X

WHEREFORE, defendant YORK-SHIPLEY GLOBAL, A Division of AESYS Technologies, L.L.C., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant YORK-SHIPLEY GLOBAL, A Division of AESYS Technologies, L.L.C., and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant YORK-SHIPLEY GLOBAL, A Division of AESYS Technologies, L.L.C., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
April 17, 2013

BELLUCK & FOX LLP

Joseph W. Belluck
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
(212) 681-1575

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

O'HARE PARNAGIAN LLP

Andrew C. Levitt
82 Wall Street, Suite 300
New York, New York 10005
(212) 425-1401

Attorneys for Defendant York-Shipley Global, A Division of AESYS Technologies, L.L.C.

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

RECEIVED
AUG 0 2 2013
PART 33

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

THEODORE LEVY and MERLE LEVY, Plaintiffs, vs. A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al, Defendants.	**Index No.: 190326/2012** **LAARS HEATING SYSTEMS COMPANY'S NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Laars Heating Systems Company hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Laars Heating Systems Company with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Laars Heating Systems Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
~~April~~ June 26, 2013

Joseph W. Belluck, Esquire
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
546 Fifth Ave, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
Ph: (212) 681-1575
Toll Free: (888) 803-6233
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Theodore Levy and Merle Levy

Kathy A. O'Neill, Esquire
WHITE AND WILLIAMS LLP
One Penn Plaza, Suite 1801
New York, New York 10119
(212) 244-9500
Attorneys for Defendant,
Laars Heating Systems Company

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

11259611v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 107451/00
122186/99

Gerald Miesowicz

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 29, 2013

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Adam Raffo, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
~~File No:~~ 14501.00365

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. ~~Sherry~~ K. Heitler

ENTERED
AUG 0 8 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

5607460v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 116745/07

Joseph J. Szczur

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **BURNHAM LLC** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: July 29, 2013

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 14501.00216

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. ~~Sherry~~ K. Heitler

RECEIVED
AUG 0 C 2013

5528612v.1

SCANNED ON 9/25/2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 114934/07

Wayne Paul

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: July 29, 2013

Adam Raff

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ ADAM RAFF, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

Julie Evans

Julie Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
File No. 05335.32668

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103310/03
126685/02

Thomas Mergenhagen

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7-10-13

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Julie Evans

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 05335.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

---------- x

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

---------- x

This Document Relates To:

Index No: 103310/03
126685/02

Thomas Mergenhagen

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

---------- x

WHEREFORE, defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **BURNHAM LLC**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7-10-13

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

Julie R. Evans, Esq.
WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP
Attorney for Defendant
BURNHAM LLC
150 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10017
212-490-3000
Our File No. 14501.00001

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KEVIN BARRETT

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 109675/99
103674/97
113279/97
111053/98

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 22 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff PHAN ALVARADO
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANN SHARI BEENICK, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Thomas Michael Beenick, Deceased

Index No. 109912/07

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/5 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES M. BURGESS

Index No. 107401/02
113508/02

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SHARON A. BURNS, Individually and as Personal Representative for the Estate of JOSEPH P. BURNS

Index No. 105624/03
126937/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 2 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190038/13

MARGARET CALLANAN, as Administratrix for the Estate of THOMAS P. CALLANAN

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/16 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Christopher B. Block
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ENZA CALMI, as Executrix of the Estate of MARINO CALMI deceased and TINA CALMI, individually

Index No. 190308/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kamco Supply Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/8 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Kamco Supply Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190043/13

RICHARD B. CARLSEN and SUSAN CARLSEN,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/11 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Robert A. Faller
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

536.25467/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
IAS PART 30
(Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)

This document relates to:

JEAN M. DONNAY,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

Index No.: 190118/2013

(October 2013 *In Extremis* Trial Group)

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/26/13
East Hanover, New Jersey

PATTI BURSHTYN, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUISE CHATTERTON, as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT CHATTERTON, and LOUISE CHATTERTON, Individually

Index No. 190076/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/23 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUISE CHATTERTON, as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT CHATTERTON, and LOUISE CHATTERTON, Individually,

Index No. 190076/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/31 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff David Chandler
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUISE CHATTERTON, as Administratrix for the Estate of ROBERT CHATTERTON, and LOUISE CHATTERTON, Individually,

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190076/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kamco Supply Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/23/13 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Kamco Supply Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROSLYN CITRON

Index No. 190069/13

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation named as Borg Warner, by its successor- in-interest Borg Warner Morse Tec, Inc. (Borg-Warner), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 13th ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg LLP
800 Third Ave., 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CLAUDIA CLARK, as Personal Representative for the Estate of JOHN R. CLARK JR., and CLAUDIA CLARK, Individually,

Index No. 190017/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Borg-Warner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190077/08

ARTHUR R. COFFEY and PATRICIA C. COFFEY

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation named as Borg-Warner Corporation, by its Successor-In-Interest, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. ("Borg-Warner"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/8 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MIKHAIL ABKIN

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190105/08

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation named as Borg-Warner Corporation, by its Successor-In-Interest, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. ("Borg-Warner"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/8 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEAN M. DONNAY

Index No. 190118/13

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/11 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN B. EFFINGER and CAROLINE EFFINGER

Index No. 105715/02
110212/02

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-21 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN B. EFFINGER and CAROLINE EFFINGER

Index No. 105715/02
110212/02

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6 21 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROLLAND M. ENGLE

Index No. 190284/12

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation named as Borg-Warner Corporation by its Successor in Interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/8 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO

RICHARD B. CARLSEN and SUSAN CARLSEN,

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190043/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/11 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Robert A. Faller
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGEINE C. CARTER as Executrix for the Estate of MICHAEL I. CARTER and GEORGINE C. CARTER Individually,

Index No. 112430/02
107168/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, Co-defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEVEN ALBERT GERHARDT and IRENE JOYCE GERHARDT

Index No. 108708/01
118879/98
117214/06

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 117214/06

STEVEN ALBERT GERHARDT and IRENE JOYCE GERHARDT

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 8 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff ADAM RAFFO, ESQ.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190509/12

THOMAS R. HELLWIG and CAROL A. HELLWIG,

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/8 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SUZANNE DALBY, as Administratrix for the Estate of RONALD DALBY, and SUZANNE DALBY, Individually

Index No. 111231/01
120461/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SAMUEL DEMARCO and JOSEPHINE DEMARCO

Index No. 190331/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. by its successor-by-merger Borg-Warner Corporation named as Borg-Warner Corporation by is successor-in-interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. (hereinafter named as Borg-Warner), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/8 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SAMUEL DEMARCO and JOSEPHINE DEMARCO

Index No. 190331/12

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. by its successor-by-merger Borg-Warner Corporation named as Borg-Warner Corporation by is successor-in-interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. (hereinafter named as Borg-Warner), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/25 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEAN M. DONNAY

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190118/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/11 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor[!]New York,
NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEAN M. DONNAY

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190118/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/11 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BRUNA MAZZELLA Individually and NANCY A. MAZZELLA as Administratrix for the Estate of ANTHONY R. MAZZELLA

Index No. 190040/08

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARGARET J. MC RAE, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of WILLIAM J. MC RAE

Index No. 120615/02
127574/02

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BART M. MICELI and JOAN MICELI

Index No. 100057/99

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-21 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VIRGINIA S.FLOOD, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of Richard C. Flood, Deceased

Index No. 106562/07

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/8 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN FREEMAN

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 103891-03
126682-02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 2 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH P. and MARGARET GARVIN

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 119494/02
113278-02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANGELO IPPOLITO and ANNA IPPOLITO

Index No. 190287/12

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. by its successor-by-merger Borg-Warner Corporation named as Borg-Warner Corporation by is successor-in-interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. (hereinafter named as Borg-Warner), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/25 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RALPH KELLY and MARY KELLY

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190130/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/25 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003
David A. Chandler

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RALPH KELLY and MARY KELLY

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190130/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kamco Supply Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/25 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff David Chandler
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Kamco Supply Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WALTER KNUDSEN and ADELINE KNUDSEN

Index No. 119701/01
108528/02
111220/02

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARLO KRIVICIC and MARIA KRIVICIC

Index No. 190095/08

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation named as Borg-Warner Corporation, by its Successor-In-Interest, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. ("Borg-Warner"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/8 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GAIL M. LEWIS and MIRIAM LEWIS

Index No. 190079/13

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/12 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff David A. Chandler
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD S. LOWY

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 111220/01
119491/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANGELO IPPOLITO and ANNA IPPOLITO

Index No. 190287/12

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. by its successor-by-merger Borg-Warner Corporation named as Borg-Warner Corporation by is successor-in-interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. (hereinafter named as Borg-Warner), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/8 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GLORIA MILLER and JOSEPH MILLER

Index No. 190366/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kamco Supply Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kamco Supply Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/8 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Kamco Supply Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARMINE MONGONI

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190117/09

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALLEN NADBORNEY

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 113258/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/12 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NORMAN L. NEWKIRK AND KATHLEEN NEWKIRK

Index No. 109070/00
122188/99

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALBINO A. PRINCIPE, as Administrator for the Estate of ALBINO FRANK PRINCIPE

Index No. 113764/00
108388/00

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK PRIZZI

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 120389/01
100004/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff Frank o-h 2
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE PURVILLE,

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Plaintiff,

-against-

A.W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,

Defendant.

Index No. 190215/13

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGEMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, **BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 18, 2013

By: _____
Attorney for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036

By: _____
Attorney for Defendant
BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc.
as successor-by-merger to
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey Warner
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, New York 11788

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARJORIE RUMSEY Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of GERALD H. RUMSEY

Index No. 104202/99
120647/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

ENTERED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RALPH SALZANO and MARGARET SALZANO

Index No. 120391/01
104275/02
190173/09

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/28 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES L. SCOTT and DORIS SCOTT

Index No. 113277/02
119792/02

FILED

AUG 28 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES L. SCOTT and DORIS SCOTT

Index No. 119792/02

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-21 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL SHAUGHNESSY and JOAN SHAUGHNESSY

Index No. 116605/01

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL SHAUGHNESSY and JOAN SHAUGHNESSY

Index No. 116605/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation named as Borg-Warner Corporation ("Borg-Warner"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GLORIA MILLER and JOSEPH MILLER,

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190366/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/5 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOLORES RAMOS CRANE and ISADORE WAYNE THOMASON, as Co-Executors for the Estate of ERNEST C. THOMASON

Index No. 111219/01
116441/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOROTHY THOMPSON, as Administratrix for the Estate of CHARLES A. THOMPSON, and DOROTHY THOMPSON, Individually

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 119463/03
108925/00
122198/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GERLINDE TYNAN, as Personal Representative for the Estate of WILLIAM S. TYNAN, and GERLINDE TYNAN, Individually

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 102033/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARTHA ZACHMAN, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM ZACHMAN

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 13282/89

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation ("Borg-Warner"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/16 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

NANCY COOPER, as Executrix of the Estate of HERMAN ZEH, deceased, and FRANCES ZEH, Individually

Index No. 190025/2008

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, (hereinafter referred to as Borg-Warner), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/8 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANK RISO and ROSE RISO

Index No. 118966/06

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation named as Borg-Warner Corporation, by its Successor-In-Interest, BorgWarner Morse TEC, Inc. ("Borg-Warner"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/8 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO

VALENTE TENORE

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 105465/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 12th ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Phan Alvarado

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

SHARON LONG and JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, as Co-Executors for the Estate of JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, and SHARON LONG and JOSEPH H. SZCZUR, as Co-Executors for the Estate of ROSEMARIE SZCZUR

Index No. 122197/99
114412/00
116745/07

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GAETANO TARDUGNO and MARIA MULE TARDUGNO

Index No. 111227/01
123455/01
190461/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BERNARD TARPEY and JOAN L. TARPEY,

Index No. 190149/13

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 14 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff DANIEL WASSERBOU
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DOMINIC SICILIANO and CAROL SICILIANO

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 105365/98
105235/98
121940/97
101423/07
111064/98
101092/00

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/13 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KATHLEEN STERNER, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT STERNER and KATHLEEN STERNER Individually

Index No. 125059/02
122196/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
AUG 28 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/10 ,2013

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler