SCANNED ON 1/11/2013

Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

1) Select **Edit > Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);

2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

RECEIVED
APR 02 2012
PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

MAXIMILIAN SPRENG and ANALIESE, SPRENG,

Index No. 11/190297

Plaintiffs,

- against -

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company, et al.,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

See Attached Rider – FULL CAPTION

WHEREFORE, defendant North American Hoganas, Inc. (sued individually and as successor to SCM Metal Products, Inc. and National Radiator) hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to N.Y. Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant North American Hoganas, Inc., with prejudice and without costs, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant North American Hoganas, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
March 2, 2012

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

Yonaton Aronoff, Esq.
90 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10016
(212) 682-7474
Attorneys for Defendant North American Hoganas, Inc.

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq.
William Papain, Esq.
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, New York 10036
(212) 681-1575
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler, J.S.C.

4843-2650-4206.1

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Duplicate Original
7.23.12

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

MAXIMILIAN SPRENG and ANNELIESE SPRENG,

Index No. 190297/2011

FULL CAPTION RIDER

Plaintiffs,

-against-

3M COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company;
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS;
AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as Successor by Merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc.;
AMERICAN STANDARD, INC.;
ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC.;
AURORA PUMP;
BECKETT CORPORATION;
BLACKMAN PLUMBING SUPPLY COMPANY, INC.;
BOCK WATER HEATERS, INC.;
BORG WARNER CORPORATION, by its Successor In Interest, Borg Warner Morse TEC Inc.;
BOYERTOWN FOUNDRY CO.;
BRADFORD-WHITE CORPORATION;
BRASSCRAFT MANUFACTURING COMPANY;
BURNHAM CORPORATION;
BW/IP INTERNATIONAL CO., formerly known as Borg Warner Industrial Products Inc., a former subsidiary of and successor to Borg Warner Corp. and Byron Jackson Pumps;
CARLIN COMBUSTION TECHNOLOGY;
CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to Bryant;
CBS CORPORATION, f/k/a Viacom Inc as Successor in Interest to Westinghouse Electric Corp.;
CERTAIN-TEED CORPORATION;
CHEVRON U.S.A., INC., Individually and as Successor to Gulf Oil Corporation s/h/a Chevron U.S.A., Gulf Oil and Gulf Oil Limited Partnership and Texaco;
CLEAVER BROOKS COMPANY f/k/a Aqua Chem, Inc.;
COEN COMPANY, Individually and as Successor to Coen Manufacturing Corp.;
COLUMBIA BOILER COMPANY OF

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

POTTSTOWN;
COMPUDYNE CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to York-Shipley;
CONSOLIDATED PLUMBING SUPPLY;
CRANE CO., Individually and as Successor to Cochrane Jenkins and Heil Heating and Cooling;
CROSBY VALVE AND GAGE COMPANY;
CROSBY VALVE, INC.;
CSR, LIMITED, Individually and as Successor-inInterest and as alter ego to Australian Blue Asbestos Proprietary, Limited;
DUNHAM-BUSH, INC. n/k/a Fort Kent Holdings;
ECR INTERNATIONAL, INC., Individually and as Successor to Dunkirk, Dunkirk Boilers and Utica Boilers;
ELECTROLUX HOME PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as Successor to Tappan and Copes Vulcan;
ELLIOTT TURBOMACHINERY CO., INC.;
FAIRBANKS COMPANY (THE), Individually and as Successor to Fairbanks Valves;
FEDERAL PUMP CORPORATION;
FLEXIBLE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. f/k/a Automation Industries, Inc.;
FLOWSERVE US, INC., solely as Successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc. and Edward Vogt Valve Company;
FORT KENT HOLDINGS, INC., Individually and as Successor to Dunham-Bush and Iron Fireman;
FOSTER WHEELER, LLC;
GARDNER DENVER, INC.;
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY;
GEORGIA-PACIFIC CORPORATION, Individually and as successor to Bestwall Gypsum Company;
GK TECHNOLOGIES, INC. f/k/a General Cable Corp.;
GOODYEAR CANADA, INC.;
GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY (THE);
GOULDS PUMPS INC.;
GREENE, TWEED & CO., LLP, Individually and as Successor to Palmetto Packings;
GRINNELL CORPORATION;
GRUNDFOS PUMPS CORPORATION;
H.B. SMITH CO., INC.;
HAMILTON SUNDSTRAND CORPORATION;
HEDGES-ATKINS SUPPLY COMPANY;

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

HEIL HEATING AND COOLING;
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. f/k/a
AlliedSignal, Inc., and as Successor-in-Interest
to The Bendix Corp.;
HOWDEN BUFFALO, INC., Individually and as
Successor In Interest to FB Sturtevant, The
Howden Buffalo Group and Buffalo Fan;
HYDROTHERM;
HYDROTHERM, INC.;
I.T.T. INDUSTRIES, INC., Individually and as
successor to Bell & Gossett;
IMO INDUSTRIES, INC. f/k/a Delaval, Inc.,
Individually and as Successor to Turbine
Equipment Company;
INTERNATIONAL COMFORT PRODUCTS LLC;
J.A. SEXAUER, INC.;
JENKINS VALVES;
JENKINS BROS.;
KENTILE FLOORS, INC. a/k/a Kentile Operating
Company;
KOHLER CO.;
LOCHINVAR CORPORATION;
MARLEY COMPANY (THE), Individually and a
Division of Weil McLain Company, Inc. and
Successor in Interest to the Wylain Co.;
MESTEK, INC., Individually and as Successor to H.B.
Smith Co., Smith Cast Iron Boilers and Mills
Boilers;
METROPOLITAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY;
MILWAUKEE VALVE COMPANY, INC.;
MODINE MANUFACTURING COMPANY;
NORTH AMERICAN HOGANAS, INC.,
Individually and as Successor to SCM Metal
Products, Inc. and National Radiator;
NEW YORKER BOILER COMPANY, INC.;
NIBCO INC.;
OAKFABCO, INC., Individually and as Successor-in Interest
to Kewanee Boiler Corporation;
OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.;
PALMETTO, INC., A wholly-owned Subsidiary of
Greene, Tweed & Co.;
PCC TECHNICAL INDUSTRIES, INC. f/k/a
Automation Industries, Inc.;
PECORA CORPORATION, Individually and as
Successor to Pecora Corporation, New
Pecora Corporation, and New Pecora
Corporation, Inc.;
PEERLESS INDUSTRIES, INC.;

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

POWER FLAME, INC.;
RAPID-AMERICAN CORPORATION, as successorby-merger to Glen Alden Corporation, Briggs Manufacturing Co., Philip Carey Corporation and Philip Carey Manufacturing Company;
REZNOR;
RHEEM MANUFACTURING CORP.;
RIELLO BURNERS NORTH AMERICA;
RILEY POWER, INC. f/k/a Babcock Borsig Power, Inc. and flk/a Riley Stoker Corporation d/b/a DB Riley, Inc.;
ROCKLAND PLUMBING AND HEATING SUPPLY;
SAUER-DANFOSS;
SCHNEIDER ELECTRIC USA, INC. formerly known as Square D Company;
SCM METAL PRODUCTS, INC., Individually and as Successor to National Radiator;
SEARS, ROEBUCK AND COMPANY;
SLANT/FIN CORP.;
SPENCE ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.;
SPIRAX SARCO, INC.;
SQUARE DEAL PLUMBING SALES, INC.;
STERLING PLUMBING SUPPLY;
TACO,INC.;
TEXACO, INC.;
TRANE U.S. INC. f/kIa American Standard, Inc.;
TYCO FLOW CONTROL, INC., Individually and as Successor to Keystone, Yarway Corporation and Grinnell Corporation;
TYCO INTERNATIONAL (US) INC., Individually and as Successor to Hancock Valves, Keystone, Lonergan Valves and Yarway Corporation and Grinnell Corporation;
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION;
UTILITY WONDER PRODUCTS;
VELAN VALVE CORP.;
WARREN PUMPS, INC., Individually and as Successor to The Quimby Pump Company;
WAYNE COMBUSTION SYSTEMS;
WEIL McLAIN, a division of Marley Wylain Company;
WILLIAM POWELL COMPANY (THE);
WOLVERINE BRASS, INC.;
YARWAY CORPORATION;
YORK INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, Individually and as Successor to Frick Company;
YUBA HEAT TRANSFER, a division of Connell

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Limited Partnership;
ZURN INDUSTRIES, INC. a/k/a and successor-in interest
to Erie City Iron Works.

Defendants.
----------X

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FOLEY
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
90 PARK AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10016-1314
TELEPHONE: 212.682.7474
FACSIMILE: 212.687.2329
WWW.FOLEY.COM

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Total # of Pages 9 **(including this page)**

TO:	FAX #:
Attn: Steven Helfont, Esq. Law Clerk to Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler 60 Centre Street, Room 620 New York, New York 10007 Phone: (646) 386-3211	212-227-2919

From : Yonaton Aronoff
Email Address : yaronoff@foley.com
Tel : 212-682-~~7474~~
Date : 7-19-2012

MESSAGE: 212-338-3513

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

If there are any problems with this transmission or if you have not received all of the pages, please call 212-338-3513.

Operator:	Time Sent:	Return Original To:
J. Mogil		J. Mogil

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENTS NAMED ABOVE. THIS MESSAGE MAY BE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION, AND AS SUCH IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR ANY AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS DOCUMENT IN ERROR, AND THAT ANY REVIEW, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US BY MAIL. THANK YOU.

FOLEY
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
90 PARK AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10016-1314
212.682.7474 TEL
212.687.2329 FAX
foley.com

WRITER'S DIRECT LINE
(212) 338-3413
yaronoff@foley.com EMAIL

CLIENT/MATTER NUMBER
029155-0191

July 19, 2012

VIA FACSIMILE - (212-227-2919)

Attn: Steven Helfont, Esq.
Law Clerk to Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler
60 Centre Street, Room 620
New York, New York 10007

Re: *Maxamilian Spreng and Analiese Spreng v. 3M Company, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co., et al.* (Index No. 11/190297)

Dear Mr. Helfont:

We represent Defendant North American Hoganas, Inc. in the above-referenced action. On April 2, 2012, we filed and submitted to Part 30 a No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Proposed Order signed by both myself and Plaintiff's attorney. Subsequently, in late May, we contacted the Court to inquire about the status of the motion. Justice Klein-Heitler's Part Clerk recommended we write a letter to the Judge re-submitting the motion for consideration, which we did. (*See* correspondence of May 23, 2012, enclosed). My paralegal contacted the clerk's office today and was told that they do not have a copy of the signed order. He then contacted you directly, and as per your instructions we are re-submitting the motion to your attention. I understand that you will instruct the Part Clerk to hold onto the document after it is signed so that we may come to the court and make a copy for our own files. We greatly appreciate your assistance with this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Yonaton Aronoff

Enclosures

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

FOLEY

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
90 PARK AVENUE
NEW YORK, NY 10016-1314
212.682.7474 TEL
212.687.2329 FAX
foley.com

WRITER'S DIRECT LINE
(212) 338-3413
yaronoff@foley.com EMAIL

CLIENT/MATTER NUMBER
029155—0191

May 23, 2012

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler
Administrative Judge, 1st Judicial District
60 Centre Street, Room 620
New York, New York 10007
Phone: (646) 386-3211

Re: *Maxamilian Spreng and Analiese Spreng v. 3M Company, Individually and as Successor to Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Co., et al.* Index No. 11/190297

Dear Justice Klein-Heitler:

We represent Defendant North American Hoganas, Inc. in the above-referenced action. On April 2nd, 2012, we filed and submitted to Part 30 a No Opposition Summary Judgment Motion and Proposed Order signed by both myself and Plaintiff's attorney. It does not appear that the Proposed Order has been signed by Your Honor, and the New York County Clerk's office has not been able to locate it. Two additional copies of that submission are enclosed herewith. As the lawsuit is ongoing and we have a timely interest in having plaintiff's Complaint against defendant North American Hoganas, Inc. dismissed, we respectfully request that the Court consider the enclosed Proposed Order as promptly as possible. We have also enclosed herewith a self-addressed stamped envelope and respectfully request that a signed copy of the Proposed Order be returned to us at the Court's earliest convenience.

Respectfully submitted,

Yonaton Aronoff

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Enclosures

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Coburn Miller and Diomaris Miller,

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

Air & Liquid Systems Corporation, et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 12-190148

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Velan Valve Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Velan Valve Corp. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Velan Valve Corp. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7-16-, 2012

FILED
AUG 28 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Patti Burshtyn, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212.558-5500

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Velan Valve Corp.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LORETTA SCIALDONE, as Executrix for the Estate of PASQUALE SCIALDONE and LORETTA SCIALDONE, Individually,

Index No. 112197/03
111594/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/17 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

JUL [redacted] 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

F. WALTER WIEBE

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190407/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 6 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 2012

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANTHONY M. BOVA, and ANTHONY M. BOVA, as the Executor for the Estate of DOROTHY T. BOVA,

Index No. 127887/02
102148/03
116852/06

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 12 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LORETTA SCIALDONE, as Executrix for the Estate of PASQUALE SCIALDONE and LORETTA SCIALDONE, Individually,

Index No. 112197/03
111594/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/17 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANTHONY M. BOVA, and ANTHONY M. BOVA, as the Executor for the Estate of DOROTHY T. BOVA,

Index No. 127887/02
102148/03
116852/06

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: July 12 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HOWARD O'DELL as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANDREW DOYCHAK and OLLIE DOYCHAK, Individually,

Index No. 105654/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BORG WARNER CORPORATION n/k/a BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BORG WARNER CORPORATION n/k/a BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BORG WARNER CORPORATION n/k/a BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/17 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BORG WARNER CORPORATION n/k/a
BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICES
CORPORATION
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman &
Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DEBORAH MCGOWAN, as Executrix for the Estate of ALBERT J. MIRRA

Index No. 108780/03
100866/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190148/12

COBURN MILLER and DIOMARIS MILLER

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg Warner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg Warner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg Warner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/20 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg Warner Morse TEC Inc. as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 190286/11

JOSEPH P. MCCORT and MARTHA MCCORT,

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Borg-Warner Corporation"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Borg-Warner Corporation"), with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Borg-Warner Corporation"), be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/25, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor in interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Borg-Warner Corporation"),
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:** **JOSEPH MIRABILE and ANGELINA MIRABILE,** **Plaintiff(s),** **vs.** **A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.** **Defendants.**	**NYCAL** **I.A.S. Part 30** **(HON. SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER)** **INDEX NO.: 190176/09** **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, DB Riley, Inc., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, DB Riley, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice without costs.

ERIK JACOBS, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway, 6th Fl.
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500 6/27/12

Giovanni Regina, Esq.
WATERS, McPHERSON, McNEILL, P.C.
Attorneys for DB Riley, Inc.
233 Broadway
New York, New York 10279
(212) 227-7878

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN P. MUXIE

Index No. 111589/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: June 22 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHEN P. MUXIE

Index No. 111589/03

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 22 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 103643/03

ALAN MOSTOW as Personal Representative for the Estate of SAM MOSTOW

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALAN MOSTOW as Personal Representative for the Estate of SAM MOSTOW

Index No. 103643/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT G. MORI as Administrator fo rthe Estate of TYRRELL T. MORRI,

Index No. 111795/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT G. MORI as Administrator for the Estate of TYRRELL T. MORRI,

Index No. 111795/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROCCO MORANO, as Administrator for the Estate of SABINO MORANO, and CAROLINA MORANO, Individually

Index No. 109264/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROCCO MORANO, as Administrator for the Estate of SABINO MORANO, and CAROLINA MORANO, Individually

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 109264/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LEROY G. MITCHELL and FREDA MITCHELL,

Index No. 190117/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DEBORAH MCGOWAN, as Executrix for the Estate of ALBERT J. MIRRA

Index No. 108780/03
100866/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALFRED L. MARIN and EVELYN MARIN

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 104855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALAN PIZZOLORUSSO, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of ALBINO PIZZOLORUSSO

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALAN PIZZOLORUSSO, as Proposed Executor for the Estate of ALBINO PIZZOLORUSSO

Index No. 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LEO G. PALMER

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100904/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LEO G. PALMER

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100904/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD J. OROURKE and MARY CLARE OROURKE

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100908/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDWARD J. OROURKE and MARY CLARE OROURKE

Index No. 100908/03

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALFRED L. MARIN and EVELYN MARIN

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 104855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ERIC KOGEL as the Administrator for the Estate of DAVID KOGEL and ISABEL KOGEL, Individually

Index No. 110255/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ERIC KOGEL as the Administrator for the Estate of DAVID KOGEL and ISABEL KOGEL, Individually

Index No. 110255/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 107940/05

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT J. LORPER and GLORIA LORPER

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STANLEY KRAMEISEN

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190429/10

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/25, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Weiner Lesniak LLP
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THADDEUS M. KOZLOWSKI

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 120902/02

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/20 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ARLEN D. HERRICK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190145/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC. with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6-26- ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

JUL 03 2012

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHERYL HELLER

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100904/03
115946/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHERYL HELLER

Index No. 100904/03
115946/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26/12 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDNA JACKSON Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of FRANK JACKSON

Index No. 109354/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FLORENCE S. KANE, as Executrix for the Estate of WALTER T. KANE and DEBORAH ANN KANE, as Executrix for the Estate of FLORENCE S. KANE

Index No. 102379/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAMELA KAHN, as Administratrix of the Estate of WALTER A. KAHN

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 106546/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/2, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT R. JEWELL, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT G. JEWELL, and FRANCES A. JEWELL, Individually

Index No. 115562/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROBERT R. JEWELL, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT G. JEWELL, and FRANCES A. JEWELL, Individually

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 115562/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KENNETH H. JACOBS and SHIRLEY JACOBS

Index No. 100922/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

KENNETH H. JACOBS and SHIRLEY JACOBS

Index No. 100922/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 6/24 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EDNA JACKSON Individually and as Executrix for the Estate of FRANK JACKSON

Index No. 109354/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOYICE MARIE GROVES, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of LEIGHTON DENBY GROVES SR.,

Index No. 190431/10

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARILYN PAGAN, as Executrix for the Estate of CARLOS GARCIA,

Index No. 114007-03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARILYN PAGAN, as Executrix for the Estate of CARLOS GARCIA,

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 114007-03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190436/11

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MYRIAM GALEANO, Individually and as Proposed Executrix of the Estate of MANUEL GALEANO, deceased,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its Successor In Interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation by its Successor In Interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation by its Successor In Interest BorgWarner Morse TEC Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/14 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox, LLP
546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation by its
Successor In Interest BorgWarner
Morse TEC Inc.,
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALFRED L. HAYGOOD and TAMIKA L. SANFORD

Index No. 103614/05

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/2, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FLAVIO KNESICH, as Administrator for the Estates of JOHN KNESICH, and MILENA KNESICH,

Index No. 100920/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FLAVIO KNESICH, as Administrator for the Estates of JOHN KNESICH, and MILENA KNESICH,

Index No. 100920/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RHODA KLINGSBERG, as Personal Representative for the Estate of IRVING KLINGSBERG and RHODA KLINGSBERG, Individually

Index No. 116829/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 06 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RHODA KLINGSBERG, as Personal Representative for the Estate of IRVING KLINGSBERG and RHODA KLINGSBERG, Individually

Index No. 116829/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FLORENCE S. KANE, as Executrix for the Estate of WALTER T. KANE and DEBORAH ANN KANE, as Executrix for the Estate of FLORENCE S. KANE

Index No. 102379/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 100855/03

ANGELO CIAIO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 110259/04

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WANDA CHESKA, Individually and ROBERT CHESKA, as the Administator for the Estate of ANTHONY CHESKA,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, as Executrix for the Estate of HAROLD C. CRAWFORD, and MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, Individually

Index No. 111779-04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 111779-04

MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, as Executrix for the Estate of HAROLD C. CRAWFORD, and MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, Individually

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corp. as Successor-in-Interest to Borg-Warner Morse TEC herein sued as Burns International Service Corp. f/k/a Borg-Warner Corp., and Borg Warner Corp. n/k/a Burns International Service Corp. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Borg-Warner Corp. as Successor-in-Interest to Borg-Warner Morse TEC herein sued as Burns International Service Corp. f/k/a Borg-Warner Corp., and Borg Warner Corp. n/k/a Burns International Service Corp. with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corp. as Successor-in-Interest to Borg-Warner Morse TEC herein sued as Burns International Service Corp. f/k/a Borg-Warner Corp., and Borg Warner Corp. n/k/a Burns International Service Corp. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corp. as Successor-in-Interest to Borg-Warner Morse TEC herein sued as Burns International Service Corp. f/k/a Borg-Warner Corp., and Borg Warner Corp. n/k/a Burns International Service Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, as Executrix for the Estate of HAROLD C. CRAWFORD, and MARELENE K. CRAWFORD, Individually

Index No. 111779-04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAUL J. COSTANZO as Executor for the Estate of ANGELO E. COSTANZO, and MARIE L. COSTANZO, Individually,

Index No. 111780/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAUL J. COSTANZO as Executor for the Estate of ANGELO E. COSTANZO, and MARIE L. COSTANZO, Individually,

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 111780/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LUELLA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH CIAMBRONE, and LUELLA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of CARMELA CIAMBRONE,

Index No. 114518/05

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LUELLA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of JOSEPH CIAMBRONE, and LUELLA KENNY, as Executrix for the Estate of CARMELA CIAMBRONE,

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 114518/05

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANGELO CIAIO

Index No. 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JENNIE DALKAS

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUIS CZACHOR

Index No. 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LOUIS CZACHOR

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

OCT 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA A. CREGAN, as Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN J. CREGAN SR. and PATRICIA A. CREGAN, Individually

Index No. 101635/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 06 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA A. CREGAN, as Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN J. CREGAN SR. and PATRICIA A. CREGAN, Individually

Index No. 101635/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JENNIE DALKAS

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HOWARD O'DELL as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANDREW DOYCHAK and OLLIE DOYCHAK, Individually,

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 105654/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EMANUEL DE- BISCEGLIA and ANNA DE-BISCEGELIA,

Index No. 111593/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/18 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 111593/03

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EMANUEL DE- BISCEGLIA and ANNA DE-BISCEGELIA,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/18 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HOWARD O'DELL as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANDREW DOYCHAK and OLLIE DOYCHAK, Individually,

Index No. 105654/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES JOSEPH DUPLESSIS

Index No. 128019/02
15959/03

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES JOSEPH DUPLESSIS

Index No. 128019/02
105959/03

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES JOSEPH DUPLESSIS

Index No. 128019/02
105959/03

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HOWARD O'DELL as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANDREW DOYCHAK and OLLIE DOYCHAK, Individually,

Index No. 105654/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

HOWARD O'DELL as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANDREW DOYCHAK and OLLIE DOYCHAK, Individually,

Index No. 105654/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 105654/03

HOWARD O'DELL as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANDREW DOYCHAK and OLLIE DOYCHAK, Individually,

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BORG WARNER CORPORATION n/k/a BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BORG WARNER CORPORATION n/k/a BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BORG WARNER CORPORATION n/k/a BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BORG WARNER CORPORATION n/k/a BURNS INTERNATIONAL SERVICES CORPORATION
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES JOSEPH DUPLESSIS

Index No. 128019/02
15959/03

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

GEORGE ZACHMANN and ARLENE ZACHMANN

Index No. 190140/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/12, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAULA ROBINSON as Executrix for the Estate of FREDERICK R. YOUNG and PAULA ROBINSON as the Proposed Executrix for the Estate of SHIRLEY ANN YOUNG

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 118095/03
100861/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PAULA ROBINSON as Executrix for the Estate of FREDERICK R. YOUNG and PAULA ROBINSON as the Proposed Executrix for the Estate of SHIRLEY ANN YOUNG

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 118095/03
100861/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEANNE ENGLE, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM ENGLE, Individually

Index No. 121248/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JEANNE ENGLE, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM ENGLE, Individually

Index No. 121248/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Burns International Services Corporation, f/k/a Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Burns International Services Corporation, f/k/a Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burns International Services Corporation, f/k/a Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Burns International Services
Corporation, f/k/a Borg-Warner
Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 06 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Index No. 121248/03

JEANNE ENGLE, as Executrix for the Estate of WILLIAM ENGLE, Individually

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JAMES JOSEPH DUPLESSIS

Index No. 128019/02
105959/03

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

536.15427/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: JAMES E. REMINGTON, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 105932/03 128019/02 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

536.15512/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	
JOSEPH POSSTER, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100286/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

536.15771/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: ALBERT V. MONROE, II, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100727/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

536.15424/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	
JAMES W. McDONALD, JR., Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 102171/03 127887/02 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN T. BEREI

Index No. 190155/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/28 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN T. BEREI

Index No. 190155/12

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/28 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THERESA ALFONSO, as Administratrix for the Estate of JULIAN ALFONSO and THERESA ALFONSO, Individually,

Index No. 190046/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/25, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Weiner Lesniak LLP
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

536.15538/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	
RICHARD VOSSELER, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 128024/02 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

536.15427/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	
WILLIAM F. RYAN, JR., Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 105928/03 128019/02 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

536.15427/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: ROGER C. RUMSEY, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 128019/02 105929/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

536.15619/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: ROBERT ROCCABRUNA, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100610/03 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

536.15427/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	
WILLIAM HENRY RICH, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 105931/03 128019/02 (March 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FLOYD BIVENS and LAURA BIVENS

Index No. 102565/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/22 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FLOYD BIVENS and LAURA BIVENS

Index No. 102565/01

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/22 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DEBORAH MAGNIER, as Administratrix for the Estate of RUDOLPH F. CALABRESE, and DEBORAH MAGNIER, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICIA CALABRESE, Individually,

Index No. 111795/04
113232/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DEBORAH MAGNIER, as Administratrix for the Estate of RUDOLPH F. CALABRESE, and DEBORAH MAGNIER, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICIA CALABRESE, Individually,

Index No. 111795/04
113232/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RUSSELL L. ROOD, JR. as Personal Representative of the Estate of ROMEO HENRY POIRIER

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 104841/05

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/2, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MARILYN PLOECKELMANN, Individually and as Administratrix to the Estate of SIDNEY WILLIAM PLOECKELMANN

Index No. 114369/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/2, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DEANA CERRONI, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN J. CERRONI and DEANA CERRONI, Individually

Index No. 100854/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DEANA CERRONI, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOHN J. CERRONI and DEANA CERRONI, Individually

Index No. 100854/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THERESA RAFFERTY,

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190215/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 10/14 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

LINDA PRYSLOPSKI AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF ANDREW PRYSLOPSKI AND LINDA PRYSLOPSKI AS SPOUSE

Index No. 114027/05

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, by its Succesor in interest, BorgWarner MorseTEC Inc., incorrectly sued herein as Borg Warner Security Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, by its Succesor in interest, BorgWarner MorseTEC Inc., incorrectly sued herein as Borg Warner Security Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, by its Succesor in interest, BorgWarner MorseTEC Inc., incorrectly sued herein as Borg Warner Security Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/20, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff By: Brian Early
Early & Strauss
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
P.O. Box 3601
New York, NY 10017

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation, by its Succesor in interest, BorgWarner MorseTEC Inc., incorrectly sued herein as Borg Warner Security Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

THERESA RAFFERTY,

Index No. 190215/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/14 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DARLENE RAGONE, as Executrix for the Estate of SALVATORE RAGONE, and DARLENE RAGONA, as Executrix for the Estate of NELLIE M. RAGONE

Index No. 116190/03
100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANDREW SCHAEFER and PEGGY SCHAEFER

Index No. 100731/03
105146/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. ~~Sherry~~ Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ANDREW SCHAEFER and PEGGY SCHAEFER

Index No. 100731/03
105146/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 190435/11

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCESCO SCARFO

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Simons Hardware & Bath, Inc., Simons Hardware & Bath, LLC and Simons Hardware, Inc, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Simons Hardware & Bath, Inc., Simons Hardware & Bath, LLC and Simons Hardware, Inc, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Simons Hardware & Bath, Inc., Simons Hardware & Bath, LLC and Simons Hardware, Inc, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/13, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Early & Strauss
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
P.O. Box 3601
New York, NY 10017

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Simons Hardware & Bath, Inc.,
Simons Hardware & Bath, LLC and
Simons Hardware, Inc
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

FRANCESCO SCARFO

Index No. 190435/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Simons Hardware & Bath, LLC, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Simons Hardware & Bath, LLC, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Simons Hardware & Bath, LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/13, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Early & Strauss
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
P.O. Box 3601
New York, NY 10017

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Simons Hardware & Bath, LLC
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

RAYMOND SABO,

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 190318/11

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, Borg-Warner Morse Tec, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, Borg-Warner Morse Tec, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, Borg-Warner Morse Tec, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: JUNE 19, ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, Borg-Warner Morse Tec, Inc.,
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOAN M RUSSO, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH RUSSO

Index No. 102105/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/24 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOAN M RUSSO, as Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH RUSSO

Index No. 102105/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 06 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BLANCA RIVERA, Individually and KATHERINE ROMAN as Executrix for the Estate of ERNESTO RIVERA JR.

Index No. 105150/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BLANCA RIVERA, Individually and KATHERINE ROMAN as Executrix for the Estate of ERNESTO RIVERA JR.

Index No. 105150/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DARLENE RAGONE, as Executrix for the Estate of SALVATORE RAGONE, and DARLENE RAGONA, as Executrix for the Estate of NELLIE M. RAGONE

Index No. 116190/03
100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN L. SHAW and KAREN ANN SHAW

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 108205/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN L. SHAW and KAREN ANN SHAW

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 108205/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CHARLES W. SHANER and JEAN SHANER,

Index No. 190102/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Aerco International, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Aerco International, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Aerco International, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Aerco International, Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DIANE SINRAM, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of GEORGE SINRAM, and DIANE SINRAM, Individually

Index No. 104852/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELLA MAE SKOLER, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ARNOLD D. SKOLER, and ELLA MAE SKOLER, Individually

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 108111/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DIANE SINRAM, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of GEORGE SINRAM, and DIANE SINRAM, Individually

Index No. 104852/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DIANE SINRAM, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of GEORGE SINRAM, and DIANE SINRAM, Individually

Index No. 104852/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN DOE as Proposed Executor for the Estate of FRANK SNYDER

Index No. 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN DOE as Proposed Executor for the Estate of FRANK SNYDER

Index No. 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLohardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILBUR W. SMITH and BETTY SMITH

Index No. 108586/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This Document Relates to:

Anthony Vivona and Catherine Vivona,

Plaintiff(s),

-Against-

Aurora Pump Company, et al.,

Defendant(s).

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 11-190427

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Velan Valve Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant Velan Valve Corp. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Velan Valve Corp. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
July 17, 2012

FILED
AUG 28 2012
NEW YORK
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Phan Alvarado, Esq.
Weitz & Luxenberg, PC
Attorneys for Plaintiffs
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
212.558-5500

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.
McCarter & English, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant
Velan Valve Corp.
245 Park Avenue, 27th Floor
New York, New York 10167
212.609.6800

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

ME1 13675814v.1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

WILBUR W. SMITH and BETTY SMITH

Index No. 108586/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 100831/04

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

AS FOR THE ESTATE OF ARTHUR SKUROWITZ AND HELEN SKUROWITZ AS SPOUSE

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Burns International Services Corporation, formerly known as Borg-Warner Security Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Burns International Services Corporation, formerly known as Borg-Warner Security Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burns International Services Corporation, formerly known as Borg-Warner Security Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/20, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff By: Brian Early
Early & Strauss
360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor
P.O. Box 3601
New York, NY 10017

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Burns International Services Corporation, formerly known as Borg-Warner Security Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 6 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELLA MAE SKOLER, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ARNOLD D. SKOLER, and ELLA MAE SKOLER, Individually

Index No. 108111/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 6 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 110245/04

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BONNIE LEE THOMPSON, as Proposed Executrix for theEstate of JERRY O. THOMPSON, and BONNIE LEE THOMPSON, Individually

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD D. SZOBODY, as Administrator for the Estate of RONALD STEPHEN SZOBODY

Index No. 103500/06

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

DONALD D. SZOBODY, as Administrator for the Estate of RONALD STEPHEN SZOBODY

Index No. 103500/06

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROGER URBANIK, as Administrator for the Estate of WALTER URBANIK and ROGER URBANIK, as Administrator for the Estate of THERESA URBANIK

Index No. 100915/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH G. TUCCI

Index No. 100909/03

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOSEPH G. TUCCI

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100909/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARL T. TRUBIO and FRANCIS TRUBIO

Index No. 100741/03
102871/03

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CARL T. TRUBIO and FRANCIS TRUBIO

Index No. 100741/03
102871/03

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BONNIE LEE THOMPSON, as Proposed Executrix for theEstate of JERRY O. THOMPSON, and BONNIE LEE THOMPSON, Individually

Index No. 110245/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BONNIE LEE THOMPSON, as Proposed Executrix for theEstate of JERRY O. THOMPSON, and BONNIE LEE THOMPSON, Individually

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
Index No. 110245/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Burns International Services Corporation, formerly known as Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Burns International Services Corporation, formerly known as Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Burns International Services Corporation, formerly known as Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 21 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Colleen M. Cronin
Attorneys for Defendant
Burns International Services Corporation, formerly known as Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA O'BRIEN, as Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of RICHARD VANDERVEER

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100717/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PATRICIA O'BRIEN, as Proposed Administratrix for the Estate of RICHARD VANDERVEER

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100717/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN VAN BUREN, as Executor of the Estate of MAE MAUREEN VAN BUREN and JOHN VAN BUREN, Individually

Index No. 116445/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/2, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROGER URBANIK, as Administrator for the Estate of WALTER URBANIK and ROGER URBANIK, as Administrator for the Estate of THERESA URBANIK

Index No. 100915/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLohardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHANIE D. WHITE BALSECA, as Executrix for the Estate of STEVEN D, WHITE, and DEANNA WHITE, Individually

Index No. 110509/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BEVERLY M. WEBSTER and LORRAINE WEBSTER

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100923/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

BEVERLY M. WEBSTER and LORRAINE WEBSTER

Index No. 100923/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALLEN WARZSNITER, as Administrator for the Estate of JOSEPH WARZSNITER, and ALLEN WARZSNITER and ISAAC IKE KRAN, as Co-Administrators for the Estate of MARY WARSZNITER

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 108209/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALLEN WARZSNITER, as Administrator for the Estate of JOSEPH WARZSNITER, and ALLEN WARZSNITER and ISAAC IKE KRAN, as Co-Administrators for the Estate of MARY WARSZNITER

Index No. 108209/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELMONT WARDELL and MARGARET WARDELL

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No. 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ELMONT WARDELL and MARGARET WARDELL

Index No. 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

JOHN VENUTO and DANIEL VENUTO as Co-Executors for the Estate of CANDIDO VENUTO and ELVIRA VENUTO , Individually

Index No. 104842/99

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/14, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Levy, Phillips & Konigsberg LLP
800 Third Ave., 13th Floor
New York, NY 10022

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

STEPHANIE D. WHITE BALSECA, as Executrix for the Estate of STEVEN D, WHITE, and DEANNA WHITE, Individually

Index No. 110509/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EMILEE WINGEN, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of JOHN WINGEN, SR. and EMILEE WINGEN, Individually

Index No. 100855/03

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
Lockheed Martin Corp.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

Index No. 100855/03

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

EMILEE WINGEN, as Proposed Executrix for the Estate of JOHN WINGEN, SR. and EMILEE WINGEN, Individually

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/26 ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Anna M. DiLonardo
Attorneys for Defendant
BMCE Inc.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL G. YASSO,

Index No. 190088/12

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 19, ,2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway - 7th floor
New York, NY 10003

Noriel Sta. Maria
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation,
by its successor-in-interest, BORG-WARNER MORSE TEC, INC.
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

JUL 03 2012

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CONSTANCE WITTING, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of GLENN DOUGLAS WITTING, Deceased,

Index No. 115962/04

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Borg-Warner Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Borg-Warner Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/2, 2012

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Belluck & Fox LLP
546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036

Andrew M. Warshauer
Attorneys for Defendant
Borg-Warner Corporation
Marshall, Dennehey, Warner,
Coleman & Goggin
888 Veterans Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

536.18526/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	:	
RUDOLPH F. CALABRESE, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	:	Index No.: 100864/03 111795/04 113232/04 (May 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION** **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

536.17414/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	
PHILIP A. BENEDETTO, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100864/03 111911/03 (May 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler JUL 03 2012

536.17637/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	:	
IRVING KLINGSBERG, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	:	Index No.: 100861/03 116829/03 (May 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

536.17951/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	:	
WALTER T. KANE, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	:	Index No.: 100864/03 102379/04 (May 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

536.17536/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: THEODORE W. HEINTZ, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100861/03 115312/03 (May 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

536.16390/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to: ANDREW DOYCHAK, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 105654/03 100904/03 (May 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

536.18539/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	
ANGELO E. COSTANZO, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	Index No.: 100866/03 111780/04 (May 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 190149/11
GAIL HERLIHY, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of ARTHUR HERLIHY, against A.F. SUPPLY CORP., et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20, 2012
New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

DARRON BERQUIST, ESQ.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Gail Herlihy, Individually and as Executrix of
the Estate of Arthur Herlihy
110 William Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 03 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 04-113756
MARION MOULTRIE, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick NJ
July 10, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

SUZANNE RATCLIFFE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Marion Moultrie
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 20 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 02-110776
FARO P. VITALE AND JOANNE VITALE, against ACandS, INC., et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
July 10, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

DANIEL KRAFT, JR., ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Faro P. Vitale and Joanne Vitale
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

BZ-DRESS-25

JUL 20 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 03-108586
WILBUR W. SMITH and BETTY SMITH, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
July 9, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

MICHAEL FANELLI, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
Wilbur W. Smith and Betty Smith
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

BZ-SUN-9

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 190209/12
FRANCES MALEY	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**
against	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
July 9, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

ADAM DREKSLER, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
FRANCES MALEY
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JUL 20 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: JOSEPH P. MCCORT and MARTHA MCCORT, against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 190286/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New Brunswick, NJ
June 29, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

CHRIS ROMANELLI, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Joseph P. McCort and Martha McCort
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 20 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: PHYLLIS N. SEGAL, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS EXECUTRIX OF THE ESTATE OF ELI J. SEGAL against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	INDEX NO.: 113056-06 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **W.W. Grainger, Inc.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **W.W. Grainger, Inc.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **W.W. Grainger, Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York City NY
July 10, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
W.W. Grainger, Inc.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JORDAN FOX, ESQ., ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Phyllis N. Segal, Individually, and as Executrix
of the Estate of Eli J. Segal
546 Fifth Avenue, Fourth Floor
New York, NY 10036

SO ORDERED:

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JUL 20 2012

CA-VEX-5

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: LOUIS KINSELLA AND ETHEL KINSELLA against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	INDEX NO.: 03-108806 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
July 6, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

MATTHEW MACINTYRE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Louis Kinsella and Ethel Kinsella
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED

FILED
SEP – 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JUL 20 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: GAIL BUCKHEIT, AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF PETER PACIFICO against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.	INDEX NO.: 06-101665

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, **W.W. Grainger, Inc.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **W.W. Grainger, Inc.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **W.W. Grainger, Inc.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
7/6/12

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Kristi Jahnke

KRISTI JAHNKE, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
W.W. Grainger, Inc.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

JORDAN FOX, ESQ.
BELLUCK & FOX LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Gail Buckheit, as Executrix for the Estate of Peter Pacifico
546 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10036

SO ORDERED: JUL 20 2012

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

CA-TRADE-17

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: STEPHEN M. DUNPHY AND DIANE M. DUNPHY against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL	INDEX NO.: 108147/06 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
July 10, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

BENJAMIN DARCHE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Stephen M. Dunphy and Diane M. Dunphy
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 20 2012

BZ-MOLD-22

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: THOMAS BRUGAR against A.C.AND S., INC., ET AL	INDEX NO.: 00-116822

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
July 10, 2012

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

DANIEL WASSERBERG, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Thomas Brugar
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SHERRY KLEIN HEITLER

JUL 20 2012

CA-RICE-4

HOAGLAND, LONGO
MORAN, DUNST &
DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: GAIL HERLIHY, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of ARTHUR HERLIHY, against A.F. SUPPLY CORP., et al.,	INDEX NO.: 190149/11 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Johnston Boiler**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Johnston Boiler**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Johnston Boiler**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: June 20, 2012
New Brunswick, NJ

WENDY R. KAGAN, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Johnston Boiler
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

DARRON BERQUIST, ESQ.
WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER P.A.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Gail Herlihy, Individually and as Executrix of
the Estate of Arthur Herlihy
110 Willam Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

SKH - Rcvd & Dtd: _____

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	INDEX NO.: 04-110259
WANDA CHESKA, INDIVIDUALLY AND ROBERT CHESKA AS THE ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF ANTHONY CHESKA against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: New York, New York
July 5, 2012

STEPHANIE C. BAKER, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

MATTHEW T. MACINTYRE, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Wanda Cheska, Individually and Robert Cheska as the Administrator for the Estate of Anthony Cheska
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

CA-UNIX-6

JUL 20 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: FRED D'AMBROSIO AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF ANGELA D'AMATO D'AMBROSIO against A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., ET AL.	INDEX NO.: 03-118438 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, **Kohler Co.**, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, **Kohler Co.**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 7/5/12, New York, New York

MELISSA BARRELLA, ESQ.
HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN
DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant,
Kohler Co.
40 Paterson Street - PO Box 480
New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903

PHAN ALVARADO, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),
Fred D'Ambrosio as Personal Representative
for the Estate of Angela D'Amato D'Ambrosio
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY
40 PATERSON ST
PO BOX 480
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

SOUTH JERSEY
701 WILTSEY'S MILL RD
SUITE 202
HAMMONTON, NJ

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Michael Carlin	Index No: 100731/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John Carbone	Index No: 118294/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: John Carbone	Index No: 111235/01 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK
JUL 10 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Frank Canale	Index No: 100741/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06 ,2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100087/03
Dominick Callo	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100725/03
Salvatore F. Blando	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100234/03
Bartolomeo J. Barbuto	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Bartolomeo J. Barbuto	Index No: 115837/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 100738/03
This Document Relates to: Richard W. Amthor	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 127866/02
This Document Relates to: Vernon DePriest	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06 ,2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Dominic D'Angelo	Index No: 100402/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 127888/02
This Document Relates to: David Davidson	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100232/03
Thomas V. Carroll	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Robert M. Hill	Index No: 100229/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 1[illegible]7886/02
This Document Relates to: William F. Hassler	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 102892/03
This Document Relates to: Joseph L. Hammond	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100741/03
Joseph L. Hammond	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Fred M. Earl	Index No: 128019/02

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 128019/02
James J. Duplessis	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Edward DeVita	Index No: 118136/98

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 102479/03
Vernon DePriest	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06 ; 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: James E. Remington	Index No: 128019/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100286/03
Joseph Posster	

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 100727/09
This Document Relates to: Albert V. Monroe, II	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06 , 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP - 6 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 109496/03
Thomas G. Miskill	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Susan McSpedon	Index No: 116288/04

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 127887/02
James W. McDonald, Jr.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. ~~Sherry~~ K. Heitler

SEP - 6 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Ronald E. Hoeft	Index No: 100234/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Raymond Hodge, Sr.	Index No: 127888/02
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Robert Roccabruna	Index No: 100610/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 128019/02
William H. Rich	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 102379/04
Walter T. Kane	

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Andrew Doychak	Index No: 100904/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 105654/03
Andrew Doychak	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 111780/04
Angelo E. Costanzo	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 108898/03
This Document Relates to: James W. Callinan	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 111795/04
Rudolph F. Calabrese	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 113232/04
Rudolph F. Calabrese	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06 ; 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shirley K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 111911/03
Philip A. Benedetto	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Richard Vosseler	Index No: 128024/02

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 128019/02
This Document Relates to: Roger C. Rumsey	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Robert H. Killian	Index No: 105606/04 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100904/08
Leo G. Palmer	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100908/03
Edward J. O'Rourke, Sr.	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Sam Mostow	Index No: 103643/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Tyrrell T. Mori	Index No: 111795/04

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 108780/03
Albert J. Mirra	

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 110255/04
David C. Kogel	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 6 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 116829/03
Irving Klingsberg	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 108209/03
This Document Relates to: Joseph Warszniter	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100855/03
Elmont E. Wardell	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100915/03
Walter J. Urbanik	

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100731/03
Dominick Sodano	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 108586/03
This Document Relates to: Wilbur W. Smith	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 102105/03
Joseph Russo	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 100731/03
This Document Relates to: Champ Roberts	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100731/03
Eugene Riso, Jr.	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 109442/03
James W. Price	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 111795/04
Rudolph F. Clabrese	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 113232/04
Rudolph F. Clabrese	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 111911/03
Philip A. Benedetto	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Beverly M. Webster	Index No: 100923/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Dana Companies, LLC, formerly known as Dana Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for Dana Companies, LLC,
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 102379/04
Walter T. Kane	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100904/05
Andrew Doychak	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 105654/03
This Document Relates to: Andrew Doychak	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 111780/04
Angelo E. Costanzo	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 108898/03
James W. Callinan	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06 ; 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 103643/03
Sam Mostow	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 111795/04
Tyrrell, T. Mori	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 108780/03
Albert J. Mirra	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 110255/04
David C. Kogel	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 116829/03
Irving Klingsberg	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 105606/04
Robert H. Killian	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 107146/03
Carl W. Schneider	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100731/03
Joseph Russo	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100731/03
Champ Roberts	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100731/03
Eugene Riso, Jr.	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 109442/03
James W. Price	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 100904/03
This Document Relates to: Leo G. Palmer	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100908/03
Edward J. O'Rourke, Sr.	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100923/03
Beverly M. Webster	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL : (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Joseph Warszniter	Index No: 108209/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100855/03
Elmont E. Wardell	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100915/03
Walter J. Urbanik	

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100731/03
Dominick Sodano	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 108586/03
Wilbur W. Smith	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 100741/03
This Document Relates to: John Carbone	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 102899/03
This Document Relates to: John Carbone	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 100725/03
This Document Relates to: Salvatore F. Blando	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100234/03
Bartolomeo J. Barbuto	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06 2012, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 115837/03
This Document Relates to: Bartolomeo J. Barbuto	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06 2012, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 100738/03
This Document Relates to: Richard W. Amthor	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06 2012, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 110509/03
Steve D. White	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 128019/02
This Document Relates to: Fred M. Earl	

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 128019/02
This Document Relates to: James J. Duplessis	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 118136/98
This Document Relates to: Edward DeVita	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 102479/03
This Document Relates to: Vernon DePriest	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Vernon DePriest	Index No: 127886/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Dominic D'Angelo	Index No: 100402/03
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 127888/02
This Document Relates to: David Davidson	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Thomas V. Carroll	Index No: 100232/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Michael Carlin	Index No: 100731/03 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06 ; 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Joseph L. Hammond	Index No: 190703/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 100396/03
This Document Relates to: Edward J. Grant	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Thomas G. Miskill	Index No: 109496/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Susan McSpedon	Index No: 116288/04

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: James W. McDonald, Jr.	Index No: 127887/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Ronald E. Hoeft	Index No: 100234/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Shelry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Raymond Hodge, Sr.	Index No: 127888/02 **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100229/03
Robert M. Hill	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: William F. Hassler	Index No: 127886/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Joseph L. Hammond	Index No: 100741/03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SEP 10 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: Joseph Posster	Index No: 100286/03
	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100727/03
Albert V. Monroe, II	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 10 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 128019/02
James E. Remington	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
	(Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to:	Index No: 100610/03
Robert Roccabruna	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 20 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates to: William H. Rich	Index No: 128019/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 128024/02
This Document Relates to: Richard Vosseler	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL (Heitler, J.) Index No: 128019/02
This Document Relates to: Roger C. Rumsey	**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against CertainTeed Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
JUL 06, 2012

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, NY 10003
(212) 558-5500

Judith A. Yavitz, Esq.
DARGER ERRANTE YAVITZ & BLAU, LLP
Attorneys for CertainTeed Corporation
116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor
New York, NY 10016
(212) 452-5300

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.: 110260/04

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

VEDA M. DUELL, as Executrix for the Estate of ALBERT DUELL and VEDA M. DUELL, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/13, 2012

Justin Kasmir, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Duell, Albert
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

2571-1854

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.: 111594/03, 112220/03

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

DORIS DAY, as Executrix for the Estate of RUSSELL DAY and DORIS DAY, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/13, 2012

Justin Kasmir, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Day, Russell E.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

2571-1852

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

536.18562/AJM
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL IAS PART 30 (Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler)
This document relates to:	:	
DAVID CURTISS KOGEL, Deceased, Plaintiff, vs. UNITED CONVEYOR CORPORATION, et al., Defendants.	:	Index No.: 100861/03 110255/04 (May 2012 Monthly FIFO Trial Group) **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and crossclaims against defendant, United Conveyor Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 6/29/12
East Hanover, New Jersey

FRANK M. ORTIZ, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

STEPHEN F. BALSAMO, ESQ.
GARRITY, GRAHAM, MURPHY, GAROFALO & FLINN
Attorneys for Defendant,
United Conveyor Corporation
72 Eagle Rock Avenue, Suite 350
P.O. Box 438
East Hanover, New Jersey 07936

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MICHELLE MCGRANN, as Administratix for the Estate of JOSEPH G. DUFOUR, Plaintiffs, -against- A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.* Defendants.	Index No.: 1[illegible] **NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/13/, 2012

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dufour, Joseph G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

1122-54

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.: 111959/03, 111590/03

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RUSSELL DUNN and BARBARA DUNN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/13, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dunn, Russell
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

JUL 20 2012

SO ORDERED, _____
Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

1235-768

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Index No.: 111959/03, 111590/03

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RUSSELL DUNN and BARBARA DUNN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/13/, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Courter & Company, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dunn, Russell
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

1122-1254

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHELLE MCGRANN, as Administratix for the Estate of JOSEPH G. DUFOUR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 115705/03,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant, Oakfabco Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Oakfabco Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/13/, 2012

Jason Riemer, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Oakfabco Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dufour, Joseph G.
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 115705/05

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHELLE MCGRANN, as Administratix for the Estate of JOSEPH G. DUFOUR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/13, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Tishman Liquidating Corp.
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dufour, Joseph G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

2383-26557

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 115705/03,

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

MICHELLE MCGRANN, as Administratix for the Estate of JOSEPH G. DUFOUR,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/13, 2012

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Treadwell Corporation
McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dufour, Joseph G.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SEP 20 2012

1235-23673

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 111959/03, 1[illegible]/02

RUSSELL DUNN and BARBARA DUNN,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

A. O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., *et al.*

Defendants.

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kentile Floors, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
7/13, 2012

Jamie B. Cooper, Esq.
Attorney for Defendant
Kentile Floors, Inc.
McGivney & Kluger, P.C.
80 Broad Street-Suite 2300
New York, New York 10004
(212) 509-3456

Attorney for Plaintiffs
Dunn, Russell
Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

2082-11882

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

HOWARD O'DELL, as Personal Representative for the Estate of ANDREW DOYCHAK, and OLLIE DOYCHAK, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 105654/2003
100904/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JAMES W. CALLINAN and JO ANNE CALLINAN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 108898/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012

Harrison, New York

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

JUL 03 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

PHILIP A. BENEDETTO and LOIS A. BENEDETTO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 108898/2003
111911/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

STEPHANIE D. WHITE BALSECA, as Executrix for the Estate of STEVEN D. WHITE, and DEANNE WHITE, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100864/2003
110509/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

EUGENE RISO JR.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 105151/2003
100731/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROBERT G. MORI as Administrator for the Estate of TYRRELL T. MORI,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100866/2003
113231/2004
111795/2004

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

CANDACE ZAK, as Executrix for the Estate of
JOSEPH POSSTER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100286/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

THOMAS GEORGE MISKILL (Dec.),

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 109496/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

SEP 06 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

AYMOND HODGE SR AND URITA HODGE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 102372/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROBERT ROCCABRUNA and MARGARITA ROCCABRUNA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100610/2003

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WILLIAM F. HASSLER and MARGARET P. HASSLER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)
Index No.: 102478/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

EDWARD GRANT and LORRAINE GRANT,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 114010/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

This document relates to:

Index No.: 105959/2003

JAMES JOSEPH DUPLESSIS and CAROLYN G. DUPLESSIS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ALICE BUTERA, As Executrix for the Estate of
VERNON DEPRIEST,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 102479/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DAVID DAVIDSON and DOROTHY DAVIDSON,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100402/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

THOMAS CARROLL and ROSETTA CARROLL,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 106364/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOSEPH J. CARBONE, as Administrator for the Estate of JOHN CARBONE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 102899/2009

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRANK CANALE and ANTOINETTE CANALE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL .
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100741/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LOIS AMTHOR, as Executrix for the Estate of RICHARD W. AMTHOR and LOIS AMTHOR, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100738/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FREDERICK MONROE, as Proposed Administrator for the Estate of ALBERT V. MONROE II,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100727/2003

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/22/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JAMES W. MC DONALD JR.,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 102171/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LORETA DEVITA, as Administratrix for the Estate of EDWARD DEVITA, and LORETTA DEVITA, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 118136/1998

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 03 2012

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

NYCAL COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

CATHERINE G. CALLAHAN, as Administratix for the Estate of DOMINIC D'ANGELO, and CATHERINE G. CALLAHAN, as Administratrix for the Estate of CONNIE DANGELO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100402/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MICHAEL CARLIN and JANET CARLIN,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 105173/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DOMENICK CALLO and BONITA CALLO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 105546/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

SEP 06 2012

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROSEANN BLANDO, as Executrix for the Estate of SALVATORE F. BLANDO, and BENEDETTA BLANDO, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 50
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100725/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MARIE BARBUTO, as Executrix for the Estate of BARTOLOMEO BARBUTO, and MARIE BARBUTO, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 115837/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RONALD HOEFT,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)
Index No.: 106359/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

FILED

SEP - 6 2012

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

PATRICIA BOYCE, and THOMAS HILL, as Co-Executors for the Estate of ROBERT HILL, and ALLA HILL, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100229/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOSEPH L HAMMOND and HANNAH HAMMOND,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 102892/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

JUL 03

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

FRED M. EARL,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Hon.)
Index No.: 105958/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ANNIE PICISTRELLI, as Executrix for the Estate of GINO PICISTRELLI, and ANNIE PICISTRELLI, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100718/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 07.06.12
Harrison, New York

Matthew Parr, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOAN M. RUSSO as Administratrix for the Estate of JOSEPH RUSSO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 102105/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 2, 2012
Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JEANNE LOWE, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT A. LOWE and JEANNE LOWE, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 110194/2004
100862/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: July 10, 2012
Harrison, New York

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

~~Frank Ortiz, Esq.~~ DAVID J. BARRY, ESQ.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Shelly Klein Heitler

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

JOSEPH NAIMOLI AND CARMELA NAIMOLI,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 19040/2011

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: Harrison, New York 07.13.12

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Rodrigo Armand, Jr., Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Avenue, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(914) 412-7300

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

JUL 20 2012

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LOUIS KINSELLA and ETHEL KINSELLA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 108806/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 07.13.12
Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

JUL 20 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

CHAMP ROBERTS and DORIS T. ROBERTS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 105149/2003
100731/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROGER C. RUMSEY,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 105929/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DEBORAH MCGOWAN, as Executrix for the Estate of ALBERT J. MIRRA,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100866/2003
108780/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ISABEL KOGEL, as Personal Executrix for the Estate of DAVID CURTISS KOGEL and ISABEL KOGEL, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 60
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100861/2003
110255/2004

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RHODA KLINGSBERG, as Personal Representative for the Estate of IRVING KLINGSBERG and RHODA KLINGSBERG, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100801/2003
116829/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ELIZABETH KANE BLANC, as Executrix for the Estate of WALTER T. KANE, and DEBORAH ANN KANE, as Executrix for the Estate of FLORENCE S. KANE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 102379/2004
100864/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

PAUL J. COSTANZO as Executor for the Estate of ANGELO E. COSTANZO, and MARIE L. COSTANZO, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111780/2004
100866/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012

Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DEBORAH MAGNIER, as Administratrix for the Estate of RUDOLPH F. CALABRESE, and DEBORAH MAGNIER, as Administratrix for the Estate of PATRICIA CALABRESE, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 113232/2004
111795/2004
100864/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012

Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DAVID DAVIDSON and DOROTHY DAVIDSON,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 127888-02
102362-03

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

RICHARD VOSSELER and LORETTA VOSSELER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 128024/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ELMONT E. WARDELL and MARGARET WARDELL, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 39
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100855/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29/12
Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ROGER URBANIK, as Administrator for the Estate of WALTER J. URBANIK, and ROGER URBANIK, as, Administrator for the Estate of THERESA URBANIK,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100915/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 0 3 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DOMINICK SODANO and JUDITH SODANO,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100731/2003
105144/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY,
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

WILBUR W. SMITH and BETTY SMITH,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 108586/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LEO G. PALMER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100904/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

MARY CLARE O'ROURKE, as Administratrix for the Estate of EDWARD J. O'ROURKE SR., and MARY CLARE O'ROURKE, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100908/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ALAN MOSTOW, as Personal Representative for the Estate of SAM MOSTOW,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 103643/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

LORRAINE WEBSTER, as Executrix for the Estate of BEVERLY M. WEBSTER, and LORRAINE WEBSTER, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 100923/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

ALLEN WARSZNITER, as Administrator for the Estate of JOSEPH WARSZNITER, and ALLEN WARSZNITER and ISAAC IKE KRAN, as Co-Administrators for the Estate of MARY WARSZNITER,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 108209/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant
KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

----------X

In Re NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----------X

This document relates to:

DOROTHY M. KILLIAN, as Executrix for the Estate of ROBERT H. KILLIAN and DOROTHY M. KILLIAN, Individually,

Plaintiff,

-against-

KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.

Defendant.

----------X

NYCAL
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 105606/2004
100862/2003

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: 6/29, 2012
Harrison, New York

FILED
SEP - 6 2012
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE
NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003
(212)558-5500

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Defendant KEELER-DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY
500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501
Harrison, New York 10528
(212) 661-1151

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

JUL 03 2012