Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

 Select Edit > Find in the main menu or press Ctrl-f (Command-f or Apple-f on a Mac);

2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROGER C. KLEIS

Index No. 110645/2001

UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, BMCE Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defendant, BMCE Inc., with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition,

ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendant BMCE Inc., are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Hon. Adam Silvera J.S.C.

SEP 27 2023

DATED: 8/21/2023

Attorneys for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg

New York, NY 10003

700 Broadway - 7th floor

Andrew gayoso By: Andrew Gayoso

ILED

OCT 1 3 2023

NEW YORK COUNTY COUNTY CLERK

By: Anna M. DiLonardo

Attorneys for Defendant BMCE Inc. Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin 175 Pinelawn Road, Suite 250 Maiville, NY 11747

SO ORDERED.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROGER C. KLEIS

Index No. 110645/2001

UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defendant, Lockheed Martin Corp., with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition,

ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendant Lockheed Martin Corp., are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 8/21/2023

Andrew Gausso

By: Andrew Gayoso / C Attorneys for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway - 7th floor New York, NY 10003

LED

OCT 1 3 2023

NEW YORK COUNTY COUNTY CLERK

By: Anna M. DiLonardo

Attorneys for Defendant Lockheed Martin Corp. Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin 175 Pinelawn Road, Suite 250 Melville, NY-11747

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Adam Silvera J.S.C.

SEP 27 2023

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ROGER C. KLEIS

Index No. 110645/2001

UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Morse TEC LLC f/k/a BorgWarner Morse TEC LLC as successor-by-merger to Borg-Warner Corporation sued herein as Borg-Warner Corporation ("Morse TEC"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against defendant, Morse TEC, with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition,

ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendant Morse TEC, are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

8/21/2023 DATED:

Andrew Ga

By: Andrew M. Gayoso Attorneys for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway - 7th floor New York, NY 10003

1 aldo

States and the second second

By: Anna M.

Attorneys for Defendant Morse TEC LLC Marshall, Dennehey, Warner, Coleman & Goggin 175 Pinelawn Road, Suite 250 vicivitie NY 11747 ---

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Adam Silvera J.S.C.

SEP 27 2023

OCT 1 3 2023

NEW YORK COUNTY COUNTY CLERK

_ED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	ζ.
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	_
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 13
	(Silvera, A.)
This Document Relates to:	1
	Index No.: 108711/01
VELINA TERIC, AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF VITO TERIC, AND AVELINA TERIC, INDIVIDUALLY	UNOPPOSED SUMMARY
	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED that all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2,202 4025

Erik Jacobs, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff(s) Estate of Vito Teric WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED.

Honorable Adam Silvera, J.S.C.

OCT 0 4 2023

Iesse

Nicole P. Wesselmann, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Tishman Liquidating Corporation THE COOK GROUP, PLLC 115 Broadway, Suite 1602 New York, New York 10006 (646) 960-2214

FILED

OCT 1 3 2023

NEW YORK COUNTY COUNTY CLERK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NE COUNTY OF NEW YORK	WYORK
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: JOHN HEFFERNAN,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 13 (HON. ADAM SILVERA, J.S.C.)
Plaintiff(s),	INDEX NO: 103071/07
vs. GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, <u>et al.</u> ,	UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against Defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Esq.

New York, New York Dated: , 2023 10

Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A. 14 Wall Street, Suite 6B New York, NY 10005 (212) 267-3091 Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Giovanni Regina, Esq. Waters, McPherson, McNeill, P.C. 14 Wall Street, Suite 1603 New York, New York 10005-2011 (212) 227-7878 Attorneys for Defendant Turner Construction

Company

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Adam Silvera, J:S.C. 0 [] **0 4 2023**

OCT 1 3 2023 NEW YORK COUNTY COUNTY CLERK

FILED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEV COUNTY OF NEW YORK	V YORK
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	NYCAL
LUIGI CERRETA and KAREN CERRETA, his wife,	I.A.S. Part 13 (HON. ADAM SILVERA, J.S.C.)
Plaintiff(s), vs.	INDEX NO: 107024/08
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, <u>et</u> <u>al.</u> ,	UNOPPOSED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Turner Construction Company, requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing the complaint and cross-claims against Defendant, Turner Construction Company, with prejudice, and after notice to all co-defendants there is no opposition, it is

ORDERED, that all claims and cross claims against defendant, Turner Construction Company, are dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Esq.

New York, New York Dated: 2023

Kesig M. Bewy, Wilentz, Goldman & Spitzer, P.A. 14 Wall Street, Suite 6B New York, NY 10005 (212) 267-3091 Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

Giovanni Regina, Esq. Waters, McPherson, McNeill, P.C. 14 Wall Street, Suite 1603 New York, New York 10005-2011 (212) 227-7878 Attorneys for Defendant Turner Construction Company

1.1

·· -.

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Adam Silvera, J.S.C.

OCT 0 4 2023

FILED

, :

OCT 1 3 2023

NEW YORK COUNTY COUNTY CLERK