Following this cover page are scanned images of no-opposition summary judgment motions and orders filed on the selected date. **The documents are displayed in no particular order.** However, all of these documents are searchable.

To find a specific order, please use the Search/Find function within a PDF viewer.

- 1) Select **Edit** > **Find** in the main menu or press **Ctrl-f** (**Command-f** or **Apple-f** on a Mac);
- 2) Enter the index number, a word, or a phrase in the form field provided and press **Enter** or **Return**.

In most applications, the first appearance of the index number, the word, or the phrase in the document will be highlighted.

Tip: **Ctrl-f** opens the Find function in most applications, including browsers and PDF viewers.

SCANNED ON 2/3/2014

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

(Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

JORDAN JAMES BUTLER,

Index No.: 107400/2002

114079/2002

Plaintiff,

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.,

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the aboveentitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without

costs.

Dated: New York, New York

October 29, 2013

Matthew T. MacIntyre, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jordan James Butler

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Stephane S. Spangler, E

HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

Oakfabco, Inc.

90 Broad Street - 9th Floor

New York, New York 10004

(646) 589-8703

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, __

Hon. Sherry

DEC 0 4 2013

Received

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY **NYCAL** ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: Index Nos.: 122191/1999 JAMES SABADASZ, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR 109782/2000 THE ESTATE OF ROBERT S. SABADASZ, Plaintiffs, NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** -against-MOTION AND ORDER A. C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the aboveentitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without defendant Oakfabco, Inc., be and costs.

DEC 23 2013

Dated: New York, New York

October 17, 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Joanna Drozd

HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

Oakfabco, Inc.

90 Broad Street - 9th Floor

New York, New York 10004

(646) 589-8702

John Richmond

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Robert S. Sabadasz

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

CEC 0 4 2013

TMc:CC(jpk) 11/4/13

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	YORK
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	(Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	INDEX NO. 113073/02 and 106579/02
JAMES MCCABE	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Consolidated Edison Company New York, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and wit

Dated: New York, New York

11/22/13

DEC 23 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq. John Richmond

WÉITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

Timothy M. McCann, Esq.

CAROLE A. BORSTEIN, ESQ.

Attorney for Defendant

Consolidated Edison Company of New

York, Inc.

4 Irving Place

New York, NY 10003-3598

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Kloin Heitler

DEC 0 4 20!3

Our File No S-6422-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
	NYCAL
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY	I.A.S. Part 30
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	(Heitler, J.)
	INDEX NO
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	INDEX NO. 109782/00 and 122191/99
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO.	109762/00 and 122191/99
Robert S. Sabadasz	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
TODEN STOLEN	JUDGMENT MOTION AND
	ORDER
	1
WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated I	Edison Company of New York, Inc.
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled	case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law
and Pulse Section 2212 diamigging plaintiff's complaint	t against defendant Consolidated Edison
and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint	against defendant Consolidated Edison
Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there be	eing no opposition thereto.
Company of frew Tork, me. with projudice, and there of	ong no opposition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-det	fendants, all claims and cross-claims
against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of Nev	v York, Inc., be and the same are hereby
dismissed with prejudice and walk-outclests	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Dated: New York, New York 1/22/13DEC 23 2013	10E -
	100
Frank Ortiz, Esq. John Richmond WEW YORK WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.	Swothy M. Milan
Frank Ortiz, Esq. John Richmond	Timothy M. McCann, Esq.
	CAROLE A. BORSTEIN, ESQ.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Attorney for Defendant
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Consolidated Edison Company of New
	York, Inc.
	4 Irving Place
	New York, NY 10003-3598
SO ORDERED:	
Hon. Sherry Kein Heitler	

Our File No S-4388-00

RECEIVED

DEC 0 4 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
X	NYCAL
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY	I.A.S. Part 30
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	(Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	Index No.: 120389/01; 100004/02
FRANK PRIZZI and ROBERTA PRIZZI,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT MOTION AND
-against-	ORDER AS TO
	DEFENDANT
KEELER-DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.	KEELER/DORR-
	OLIVER BOILER
Defendant.	<u>COMPANY</u>

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: (17, 2013)

WEITZ & LUXENBEPC. T. Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212)558-5500

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry

NEW YORKaul B. Josephs, Esq.

MARIN GOODMAN, LLP.

Attorneys for Defendant

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER

DEC 9 4 2613

COMPANY

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501 Harrison, New York 10528

(212) 661-1151

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
X	NYCAL
In Re NEW YORK COUNTY	I.A.S. Part 30
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	(Heitler, J.)
X	
This document relates to:	Index No.: 108934/95; 119055/01; 106330/95; 111062/98
PAMELA ANNE DICKER and FREDERICK A. CIAMPI Jr.,	100350/95, 111002/98
As Co-Executors for the Estate of FREDERICK A. CIAMPI Sr.,	10/33//02,
And MARY CIAMPI, Individually,	
,,, ,	NO OPPOSITION
	SUMMARY
Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT
,	MOTION AND
-against-	ORDER AS TO
C	DEFENDANT
KEELER/DORR OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.	KEELER/DORR-
	OLIVER BOILER
Defendant.	COMPANY
X	

WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

2013, ها ۱۱ Harrison, New York

DEC 23 2013

Paul B. Josephs, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P. COUNTY CLERK'S OFMAREN GOODMAN, LLP.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway New York, New York 10003

(212)558-5500

SO ORDEREA

NEW YORK Attorneys for Defendant

KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER

PACOLOGIA PACELOGIA

COMPANY

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501 Harrison, New York 10528

(212) 661-1151

Honorable Sherry Klein-Heitler

COUNTY OF NEW YORK In Re NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	Index No.: 126682/2002 103891/2003
JOHN FREEMAN SR.,	NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiff,	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
-against-	ORDER AS TO
KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, et al.	DEFENDANT KEELER/DORR- OLIVER BOILER
Defendant.	COMPANY
WHEREFORE, defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant KEELER/DOR prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.	l Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant KEELER/DORR-OLIVER BOILER COMPANY, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 18, 2013 Harrison, New York

DEC 23 2013 Paul Josephs, Esq.

TANID J. FACY, ESO. COUNTY C. MARIN GOODMAN, D. ...
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

MARIN GOODMAN, D. ...

MARIN GOODMAN,

(212)558-5500

500 Mamaroneck Ave, Suite 501 Harrison, New York 10528 (212) 661-1151

PARTE THE PARTE OF THE PARTE OF

SO ORDERED:

n-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 117570/02 107099/02
Floyd C. Bowdish	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC here above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law a plaintiffs' complaint against defendants BURNHAM LL opposition thereto,	and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants defendant BURNHAM LLC, be and the same are hereby costs.	
Dated: 11/27/13	
John Richmond, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg Attorney for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK	Julie Evans, Esq. WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Extrorney for Defendant BURNHAM LLC 150 East 42 nd Street New York, New York 10017 212-490-3000 File No. 14501.00001
SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry K. Heitler	

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index Nos.: 122191/1999

JAMES SABADASZ, AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR

THE ESTATE OF ROBERT S. SABADASZ,

109782/2000

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

A. C. & S., INC., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the aboveentitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against mused with prejudice and without defendant Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby

COUNTY CLERK'S OFF

NEW YORK

costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Attorneys for Defendant

90 Broad Street - 9th Floor

New York, New York 10004

October 7, 2013

John Richmond

HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Robert S. Sabadasz

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

(646) 589-8702

Yoanna Drozd

Oakfabco, Inc.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DEC 0 a 2013

RECENED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 IN RE: **NEW YORK CITY** (Heitler, S.) ASBESTOS LITIGATION Index No. 02-114079 02-107400 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: NO OPPOSITION JORDAN BUTLER **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same an hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. Dated: New York, NY Nov. 26, 2013 Matthew T. Mcc Intyre Frank Ortiz, Esq. Samuel Goldblatt, Esd. Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq. NIXON PEABODY LLP WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant, 180 Maiden Lane Patterson-Kelley Company New York, NY 10038 Key Towers at Fountain Plaza (212) 558-5500 40 Fountain Plaza. Suite 500 Buffalo, NY 14202 (716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,

IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL
RALPH BC	ORELLI and ANNE BORELLI,	x Index No. 115075/1998 108709/2001
	Plaintiffs,	NO OPPOSITION
	-against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTIN et al., including BLACKMER,	MOTION AND ORDER IG &
	Defendants.	
		x
WF	HEREFORE, defendant Blackmer. he	ereby requests summary judgment in the above-ent
	,	ction 3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' complaint again
, F		
defendant F	Blackmer with prejudice, and there bei	
	Blackmer with prejudice, and there bein	ng no opposition thereto,
OR	DERED, that upon notice to all co-def	ng no opposition thereto, fendants, all claims and cross claims against defend
OR	• •	ng no opposition thereto, fendants, all claims and cross claims against defend
OR	DERED, that upon notice to all co-def	ng no opposition thereto, fendants, all claims and cross claims against defend
OR Blackmer b	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed w	ng no opposition thereto, fendants, all claims and cross claims against defend
OR Blackmer b	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed when we work, New York	ng no opposition thereto, Tendants, all claims and cross claims against defend with prejudice and without costs.
OR Blackmer b	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed we have York, New York October 24, 2013 DEC 23 20	ng no opposition thereto, fendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants prejudice and without costs.
OR Blackmer b	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed we have York, New York October 24, 2013 DEC 23 20	ng no opposition thereto, fendants, all claims and cross claims against defend with prejudice and without costs.
OR Blackmer b	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed we have York, New York October 24, 2013 DEC 23 20	ng no opposition thereto, Gendants, all claims and cross claims against defend with prejudice and without costs.
OR Blackmer b	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed we have York, New York October 24, 2013 DEC 23 20	ng no opposition thereto, fendants, all claims and cross claims against defend with prejudice and without costs.
OR Blackmer b Dated: WEITZ & Dana North	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed we have York, New York October 24, 2013 LUXENBERG, ROOUNTY CLERY NEW YORK OCTAGE, Esq.	ng no opposition thereto, Gendants, all claims and cross claims against defended by the prejudice and without costs. 13 CS OFFICE ORKMALABY & BRADLEY, LLC Grace De Mario, Esq.
OR Blackmer b Dated: WEITZ & Dana North 700 Broadw	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed we have york. New York October 24, 2013 LUXENBERG, FOOUNTY CLERY NEW YORK October 24, 2013	rendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants prejudice and without costs. 113 CS OFFICE ORKMALABY & BRADLEY, LLC Grace De Mario, Esq. 150 Broadway, Suite 600
OR Blackmer b Dated: WEITZ & Dana North 700 Broadw New York,	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed we have york. New York October 24, 2013 LUXENBERG, FOOUNTY CLERY NEW YORK Octaft, Esq. Vay New York 10003	ng no opposition thereto, Gendants, all claims and cross claims against defend with prejudice and without costs. 113 CS OFFICE ORKMALABY & BRADLEY, LLC Grace De Mario, Esq. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038
OR Blackmer b Dated: WEITZ & Dana North 700 Broadw New York, Attorneys for	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed we have york, New York October 24, 2013 LUXENBERG, ROOUNTY CLERY NEW YORK 10003 Or Plaintiffs	ing no opposition thereto, Sendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants, prejudice and without costs. 113 113 115 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 Attorneys for Defendant Blackmer
OR Blackmer b Dated: WEITZ & Dana North 700 Broadw New York, Attorneys for Ralph Bore	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed we have york, New York October 24, 2013 LUXENBERG, ROOUNTY CLERY NEW YORK YORK 10003 Or Plaintiffs Illi and Anne Borelli	ng no opposition thereto, Gendants, all claims and cross claims against defend with prejudice and without costs. 113 CS OFFICE ORKMALABY & BRADLEY, LLC Grace De Mario, Esq. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038
OR Blackmer b Dated: WEITZ & Dana North 700 Broadw New York, Attorneys for	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed we have york, New York October 24, 2013 LUXENBERG, ROOUNTY CLERY NEW YORK YORK 10003 Or Plaintiffs Illi and Anne Borelli	ing no opposition thereto, Sendants, all claims and cross claims against defend with prejudice and without costs. 113 113 113 115 OFFICE ORKMALABY & BRADLEY, LLC Grace De Mario, Esq. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 Attorneys for Defendant Blackmer
OR Blackmer b Dated: WEITZ & Dana North 700 Broadw New York, Attorneys for Ralph Bore	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed we have York, New York October 24, 2013 LUXENBERG, ROOUNTY CLERY NEW YOR NEW YOR NEW YOR NEW YOR New York 10003 or Plaintiffs lili and Anne Borelli 1500 RED,	ing no opposition thereto, Sendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants, prejudice and without costs. 113 113 115 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 Attorneys for Defendant Blackmer
OR Blackmer b Dated: WEITZ & Dana North 700 Broadw New York, Attorneys for Ralph Borei (212) 558-5	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed we have york, New York October 24, 2013 LUXENBERG, FOOUNTY CLER'S NEW YOR NEW YOR 10003 or Plaintiffs lli and Anne Borelli 5500	ing no opposition thereto, Sendants, all claims and cross claims against defend with prejudice and without costs. 13 CS OFFICE ORKMALABY & BRADLEY, LLC Grace De Mario, Esq. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 Attorneys for Defendant Blackmer (212) 791-0285 Dated:
OR Blackmer b Dated: WEITZ & Dana North 700 Broadw New York, Attorneys for Ralph Borei (212) 558-5	DERED, that upon notice to all co-define and the same are hereby dismissed we have York, New York October 24, 2013 LUXENBERG, ROOUNTY CLERY NEW YOR NEW YOR NEW YOR NEW YOR New York 10003 or Plaintiffs lili and Anne Borelli 1500 RED,	ing no opposition thereto, Sendants, all claims and cross claims against defend with prejudice and without costs. 13 CS OFFICE ORKMALABY & BRADLEY, LLC Grace De Mario, Esq. 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038 Attorneys for Defendant Blackmer (212) 791-0285

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS O'CONNOR AND DIANE O'CONNOR Index No.: 122202/99, 112730/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov 18, 2013

FILED

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERKS

Adam Raffo, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Thomas O'Connor and Diane O'Connor

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DEC 0 4 2013

2503-20037C

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS O'CONNOR AND DIANE O'CONNOR Index No.: 122202/99, 112730/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

COUNTY CLERK

Dated: New York, New York

Nov 18, 2013

FILED

DEC 23 2013

NEV

Adam Raffo, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Thomas O'Connor and Diane O'Connor

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitle

DEC 0 4 2013 1235-14925

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

THOMAS O'CONNOR AND DIANE O'CONNOR Index No.: 122202/99, 112730/00

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov 18, 2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Adam Raffo, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Thomas O'Connor and Diane O'Connor

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kervann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc. McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

oo broad Street-Suite 2500

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon, Sherly Room Vieitle

DEC 6 4 0000

18 2005 675

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

FRANK PRIZZI AND ROBERTA PRIZZI Index No.: 120389/01, 100004/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

DEC 23 2013

Attorney for Plaintiffs

PRIZZI, FRANK

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Evan Foxx, Esq.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon Sherry Kinn Meiter

DEC 9 4 2018

2383-24588AF

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 126736/02, 110269/02

THOMAS M. RAISH AND MARY C. RAISH

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

DEC 23 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEWMORK

November 12, 2013

DAVID J. UMPRY, ESQ.

Attorney for Plaintiffs RAISH, THOMAS M.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Atwood & Morrill Co., Inc

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

{N0213984-1}

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106579/02, 113073/02

JAMES ELAINE FELICIA AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES E. MCCABE AND JAMIE ELAINE FELICIA AND STEPHANIE TOBIN AS CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF SHIRLEY A. MCCABE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE NEW YORK

Tohn Pichmend
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Estate of James E. McCabe

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerwann M. Cook, Esq.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendants

Tishman Liquidating Corporation 80 Broad Street – 23rd Floor

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon Sherry Klein Heitle

2383-25541AF

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106579/02, 113073/02

JAMES ELAINE FELICIA AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES E. MCCABE AND JAMIE ELAINE FELICIA AND STEPHANIE TOBIN AS CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF SHIRLEY A. MCCABE

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same at hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DEC 23 2013

Dated: New York, New York

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

John Wichmann WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff

Estate of James E. McCabe

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq. McGivney & Kluger, P.C. Attorneys for Defendants Treadwell Corporation 80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

1235-24209

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106579/02, 113073/02

JAMES ELAINE FELICIA AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES E. MCCABE AND JAMIE ELAINE FELICIA AND STEPHANIE TOBIN AS CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF SHIRLEY A. **MCCABE**

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Keryann M. Cook, Esq.

John Kichmend WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Estate of James E. McCabe

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. Attorneys for Defendants Courter & Company, Inc. 80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

1122-24363

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 106579/02, 113073/02

JAMES ELAINE FELICIA AS EXECUTRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF JAMES E. MCCABE AND JAMIE ELAINE FELICIA AND STEPHANIE TOBIN AS CO-EXECUTRICES FOR THE ESTATE OF SHIRLEY A. **MCCABE**

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

John Richmord WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff

Estate of James E. McCabe

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendants

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

80 Broad Street - 23rd Floor New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIAM J. PEACH **AND** PATRICIA PEACH

Index No.: 105718/02, 112277/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with

prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York 2**2**, 2013 DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

William J. Peach and Patricia Peach

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 113040/02, 106579/02

IDOLO B. PERFETTO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

101k, New 101k

FILED

DEC 23 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S GFAICE

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Idolo B. Perfetto

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6733AK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 111991/02, 106963/02

WILLIAM O. FRARY

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with

prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York , 2013

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456...

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

William O. Frary

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon, Sherry Klein Heitler

DEC 0 A 2 334-6725Q

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

WILLIE J. JONES AND JOSEPHINE JONES Index No.: 112154/02, 107169/02

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York ハ 22 , 2013

DEC 23 2013

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Willie J. Jones and Josephine Jone

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-6912W

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

RALPH SALZANO and MARGARET SALZANO,

Plaintiff(s),

.

I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, J.)

NYCAL

Index No.: 09/190173

02/104275

01/120391

-against-

NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID, et al.,

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT
MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be the late are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

John J. Fanring, Est. Cullen and Dykma LLP Attorney for Desentant

NATIONAL GRID GLY RATION LLC

44 Wall Stree New York, NY 10005

(212)732-2000

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

DEC 23 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

John F. Richmond, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003 (212) 558-5500

DEC 0 4 2013

MAKE 20

ROBERT S. SABADASZ,	NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiff(s),	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
-against-	Index No.: 122191/99 & 109782/00
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part-30
Defendant(s),	X
WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BRO	OOKS, INC. hereby request summary
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civ	ril Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants (CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,	
OPDEDED that upon notice to all as defen	dants, all claims and cross claims against
OKDERED, that upon house to an co-determ	
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the	same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the	same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the without costs. lo 31/13 DEC 23 2013	D20
without costs. lo 31/13 DEC 23 2013 John e. Richmond, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff COUNTY CLERK'S COUNTY CL	Thomas Marafioti, Esq.
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the without costs. lo 3 13 DEC 23 2013 John e. Richmond, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg COUNTY CLERK'S ONEW YORK	Thomas Marafioti, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the without costs. lo 3113 DEC 23 2013 John e. Richmond, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway	Thomas Marafioti, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. Barry McTiernan & Moore
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the without costs. lo 3 13 DEC 23 2013 John e. Richmond, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg COUNTY CLERK'S ONEW YORK	Thomas Marafioti, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

·	
CLB55097/legal/nosjm SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW Y COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
GEORGE BERTOLINI,	A
Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
-against-	Index No.: 111220/01 & 119702/01
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
Defendant(s),	
	X
WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BR	OOKS, INC. hereby request summary
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Ci	ivil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants	CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defe	ndants, all claims and cross claims against
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the	same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs.	
Matthew Macintyre, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	Shawnette Fluitt, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14 th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

620 0 4 203

CLB57820/legal/nosjm SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW Y COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
WALTER SKALSKI,	X
Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
-against-	Index No.: 115969/00 & 190201/11
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
Defendant(s),	
WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BE judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Cidismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defe	ivil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS INC. be and no	ame are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
Dana Northcraft, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 22 13 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	Thomas Marafioti, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14 th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon Sherry Klein Heitler

pro 0 4 203

FBW39210/legal/nosjm SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
ROBERT S. SABADASZ,	NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiff(s),	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
-against-	Index No.: 122191/99 & 109782/00
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
Defendant(s),	X
WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILE	
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FU	ILTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defenda	ents, all claims and cross claims against
defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the sa	ame are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
John Righmond, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 DEC 23 2013 DEC 23 2013 DEC 26 25 2013 DEC 26 25 2013 DEC 26 26 2013 DEC 26 26 2013 DEC 27 2013 DEC 201	Spong Marafioti, Esq. Storneys for Defendant ULTON BOILER WORKS Sarry McTiernan & Moore Rector Street, 14 th Floor New York, New York 10006

SO ORDERED,

rv Heitler

X.:/cases/FBW36908/legal/NOSJM SUPREME COURT OF THE STA' COUNTY OF NEW YORK	TE OF NEW YORK	
WILLIAM JOSEPH NELSON,	X	Index No.: 104272/97, 113280/097, 111037/98 & 109676/99
-against-	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.C. & S., INC.	Defendant(s). X	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

WHEREFORE, defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS** hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant **FULTON BOILER WORKS** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendants **FULTON BOILER WORKS**, to ad the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DEC 23 2013

M.H.c. Frank Ortiz, Esq.

Me Intro WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,__

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

Charles Neal, Esq.

BARRY, MCTIERNAN & MOORE

Attorneys for Defendant

FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.

2 Rector-Street, 14th Floor New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

370 0 4 2313

CLB57787/legal/nosjm SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YO COUNTY OF NEW YORK	RK
JOHN J. WARD,	X
Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
-against-	Index No.: 115062/96, 111028/98, 113281/97, 111360/99, 117156/02 & 118998/02
A.O. SMITHWATER PRODUCTS, et al.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
Defendant(s),	
WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BRO judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civi dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants C	ll Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same of the same	
Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway Attorney for Plaintiff 12/3/13OUNTY CLERK'S S NEW YORK 100 Broadway	Shawnette Eluitt, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14 th Floor

New York, New York 10006 (212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION WALTER JOHANNESEN and GRACE **NYCAL** JOHANNESEN, I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) Plaintiffs, Index No.: 104016-03 -against-126686-02 A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND Defendants. ORDER WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cost

Frank M. Ortiz

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.O.

11/6/13

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

Telephone: (212) 558-5580 Facsimile: (212) 344-5461

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Walter and Grace Johannesen

NEW YORKNicole A spence

RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP

81 Main Street, Suite 508 White Plains, NY 10601

Telephone: (914) 285-0700

Facsimile: (914) 285-1213 Attorneys for Defendant

American Optical Corporation

SO ORDERED.

	OURT OF THE STAT NEW YORK	E OF NEW YORK	X	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGA		X	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
	MENT RELATES TO:		X	Index No. 09-190174 99-125279 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	REFORE defendant Pa		,	MOTION AND ORDER d herein as Patterson-Kelley
Division, here	·	udgment in the abo	ove-entitled	case, pursuant to Civil
	ley Division with preju			sition thereto, as and cross claims against
defendant Patt		, be and the same a	_	ismissed with prejudice and
WEIT Attorn 700 Bi New Y	1/11 32013/	DEC 23 2013 DUNTY CLERK'S NEW YORK C.	Samuel Go Benjamin NIXON Pl Attorneys Patterson- Key Towe 40 Fountain	oldblatt, Esq. R. Dwyer Esq. EABODY LLP for Defendant, Kelley Company ers at Fountain Plaza in Plaza, Suite 500 IY 14202 RECEIVED
SO ORDERE	D, Hon. Sherry Kh	sin Heitler		DEC 3 2013 PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK					
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION					
YVONNE KRIDLER, Individually, and as Administratix for the Estate of JOHN J. KRIDLER, Plaintiff,	NYCAL IA.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) Index No.: 106439-00 121981-99 NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER				
-against-					
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.					
WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests					
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section					
3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defer	ndant AMERICAN OPTICAL				
CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,					
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be a					
with prejudice and without costs. DEC 23 2013					

10/30/13

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Frank M. Ortiz

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

Telephone: (212) 558-5580 Facsimile: (212) 344-5461

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Yvonne Kridler and Est. of John Kridler

Nicole A. Spence

RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP

81 Main Street, Suite 508 White Plains, NY 10601 Telephone: (914) 285-0700 Facsimile: (914) 285-1213 Attorneys for Defendant

American Optical Corporation

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein HeitleRECEIVED

DEC 3 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE O COUNTY OF NEW YORK	F NEW YORK			
In Re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		Index No.: 108752-99 106649-02		
JAMES MCKINNEY,				
	Plaintiff,	I.A.S. Part 30 Hon. Sherry K. Heitler		
- against - H.B. FULLER COMPANY, et al.,		NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER		
	Defendants.			
Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's COMPANY with prejudice, and there be ORDERED, that upon notice to against Defendant H.B. FULLER COM with prejudice and without costs. Dated: New York, New York	complaint againg no opposition all co-defendations and	nst defendant H.B. FULLER n thereto, nts, all claims and cross claims the same are hereby, dismissed		
David J. Barry, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500	SEGAL M SINGER & Attorneys fo H.B. FULL	CCAMBRIDGE MAHONEY, LTD. For Defendant LER COMPANY Avenue, Suite 1100 NY 10022		

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

RECEIVED

DEC 3 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY NYCAL ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: Index No.: 118278/1999 ROSANN CHIARAVALLOTTI. AS ADMINISTRATRIX FOR THE ESTATE OF SAM CHIARAVALLOTTI. NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** Plaintiffs, **MOTION AND ORDER** -against-

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Oakfabco, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the aboveentitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Oakfabco, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Oakfabco, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DEC 23 2013

Dated: New York, New York

A. C. & S., INC., et al.,

September <u>30</u>, 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YURK

Stephanie Spangler, Esq. A

Attorneys for Defendant

Oakfabco, Inc.

90 Broad Street - 9th Floor

New York, New York 10004

(646) 589-8703

Matthew MacIntyre, Esq.

HAWKINS PARNELL THACKSTON & YOUNG LLP WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Rosann Chiaravalloti, as Administratrix for the

Estate of Sam Chiaravalloti

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED, _

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

PART 30

Liu (ali

COUNTY	E COURT OF THE STATE OF NEV OF NEW YORK	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: NYCAL :
LISA M. S	CHRADER, as Administratrix for the ART M. MICELI, and JOAN MICE	ne : Index No. 100057/99
	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A. C. & S.	INC., et al.	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,IAS Part 30
~	Defendants.	: :X
WH	IEREFORE, defendants The Goody	ear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear
Canada Ind	c. hereby request summary judgme	ent in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
CPLR 321	2, dismissing plaintiffs' complain	t against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber
Company	and Goodyear Canada Inc. with	prejudice, and there being no opposition
thereto, it is	s hereby:	
OR	DERED, that upon notice to all c	o-defendants, all claims and cross claims
		ny and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the
same are he	ereby dismissed with regulite ar I	vitnost costs.
Dated: Nev	w York, New York - 15 2013 DEC 23 20	13
WEITZ & Attorneys f		THE FASKAL EMERY LLP Theys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Inpany and Goodyear Canada Inc.
By: Dan	By:	Golriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.
	New York 10003 New	West 40 th Street v York, New York 10018
(212) 558-:	5500 (212	2) 302-2400
Dated: Nev	w York, New York	
SO ORDE	RED:	DEC 6 4 2013
JO ORDE	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitle	er, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK ----X NYCAL IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO: MARTHA ZACHMAN, as Executrix for the Index No.: 89/13282 Estate of WILLIAM ZACHMAN, Plaintiff(s), -against-NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a MOTION AND ORDER NATIONAL GRID, et al., Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant, NATIONAL GRID GENERATION LLC d/b/a NATIONAL GRID ("NATIONAL GRID"), sued herein as KEYSPAN GENERATION LLC f/k/a LONG ISLAND POWER AUTHORITY, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant NATIONAL GRID with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant NATIONAL GRID be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without Dated: New York, New York DEC 23 2013 11/8 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEWYORK John J. Farm John E Richmond, Esq. Cullen and LLPWEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorney for endant NATIONAL GREE GENERATION LLC 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 44 Wall Street New York, NY 10005 (212) 558-5500 (212)732-2000SO ORDERED, Heitler

DEC 0 4 2913

CLB57206/legal/nosjm/june2013 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
RICHARD HANNIGAN,	
Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
-against-	Index No.: 121435/97
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
Defendant(s),	

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without costs (1/2/1/3) FILED
DEC 23 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff

Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.

COUNTY CLERK'S OF THOME STORY OF THE PROOFS IN

NEW YORK CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. Barry McTiernan & Moore

2 Rector Street, 14th Floor New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

CLB57807/legal/nosjm SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YO COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
BART M. MICELI, Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
-against-	Index No.: 100057/99
A.C. & S. INC., et al.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
Defendant(s),	X
WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BR	OOKS, INC. hereby request summary
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Ci	vil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants	CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defer	ndants, all claims and cross claims against
defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS INC be and the	estme are hereby dismissed with prejudice and
without costs. DEC 23 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq. Date m. MEWY RK Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway New York, New York 10003	Shawnette Fluitt, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14 th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 313-3600
SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler	PORTE DEC 0 4 2013

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg
700 Broadway
New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S
NEW YORK
NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S
NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S
NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S
NEW YORK, New York 10006
(212) 313-3600

in-Heitler

SO ORDERED,

DEC 0 4 LUI

	VINCENT AMITRANO	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:		NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
	ASBESTOS LITIGATION	Index No. 99-113375
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
	X	NYCAL
	E COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK OF NEW YORK	

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

-11/4 201

OEC 23 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq. NEW YORK WEITZ & LUXENBERG, I.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

700 Broadway New York NV 100

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

LERK'S OFF Samuel Goldblatt, Ksq.
W YORK

Renjamin R Dwyer Fsc

Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq. NIXON PEABODY LLP

Attorneys for Defendant,

Patterson-Kelley Company Key Towers at Fountain Plaza

40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500

Buffalo, NY 14202 (716) 853-8100

RECEIVED

PART 30

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
VINCENT G. AMITRANO and VIVIAN AMITRANO,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiffs,	(Heitler, J.)
-against-	Index No.: 113375-99
A.C.S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING &	
SUPPLY), et al.,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
	JUDGMENT MOTION AND
Defendants.	ORDER
X	

WHEREFORE, defendant PFIZER, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C.P.L.R. Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant PFIZER, INC. only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant PFIZER, INC. only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Ortiz ADAM RAFFO, ESCATY CLINICOLE A. Spence & LUXENBERG, P.C. COUNTY MENTAULLILAN

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

Telephone: (212) 558-5580 Facsimile: (212) 344-5461

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Vincent and Vivian Amitrano

NEWRENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP

81 Main Street, Suite 508 White Plains, NY 10601

Telephone: (914) 285-0700 Facsimile: (914) 285-1213

Attorneys for Defendant Pfizer, Inc.

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

	WILLIAM NELSON	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
	CUMENT RELATES TO:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
		Index No. 99-109676
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
COUNTY	OF NEW YORK	X NYCAL

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED,

JNTY CLERK'S BEFICE Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.

Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.

NIXON PEABODY LLP

Attorneys for Defendant,

Patterson-Kelley Company Key Towers at Fountain Plaza

40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500

Buffalo, NY 14202

(716) 853-8100

RECEIVED

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **COUNTY OF NEW YORK**

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 99-109676

WILLIAM J. NELSON

against

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT **MOTION AND ORDER**

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODCUTS CO., ET

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kohler Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant. Kohler Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kohler Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. DATED: 113 13 New York, New York

MELISSA BARRELLA, ESQ. HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN

DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant, Kohler Co.

48 Wall Street, Suite 1100

New York, New York 10005

MATTHEW T. MACINTYRE/ESQ.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),

William J. Nelson

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED:

COUNTY CHARACTER FIGE

NEV YORK

DEC 23 2013

Hon. Sherry Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO, IORAN, DUNST DOUKAS, LLP ittorneys at Law

8 Wall Street uite 1100 ew York, NY

RECEIVED

PART 30

ALPH N. BORELLI, Plaintiff(s), NO (SUMMA	nd Rules Sec
Plaintiff(s), NO C SUMMA - against - URORA PUMP COMPANY, et al., Defendants. WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY he ludgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law a dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Complhere being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims a Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby disminimizant costs to either party. Dated: 1	RY JUDGMI MOTION reby requests nd Rules Sec
URORA PUMP COMPANY, et al., Defendants. WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY he ludgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law a dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Companhere being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims a Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby disminimization without costs to either party. Dated: New York, New York Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendant Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby disminimization. Defendants.	RY JUDGMI MOTION reby requests nd Rules Sec
Defendants. WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY he ludgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law a dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Companhere being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims a Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby disministrated costs to either party. Dated: New York, New York Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendants. Defendant Aurora Pump Company before and the same are hereby disministrated by the company before and the same are hereby disministrated by the company before and the same are hereby disministrated by the company before and the same are hereby disministrated by the company before a company before	reby requests nd Rules Sec
WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY her Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law a dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Complhere being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims a Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby disministrated costs to either party. Dated: 1	nd Rules Sec
WHEREFORE, Defendant AURORA PUMP COMPANY her Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law a dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant Aurora Pump Company here being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims a Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby disministrated costs to either party. Dated: 1 1 2 3 2013	nd Rules Sec
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims a Defendant, Aurora Pump Company be and the same are hereby disministrated costs to either party. Dated: 1 1 2 3 2013 Defendant, New York Defendants, New York	nd Rules Sec
New York, New York	
A A A A	
o www.	
Dana Northcraft, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Lindsey T. Foster, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDG	F.
Attorneys for Plaintiff SINGER & MAHONEY,	
700 Broadway Attorneys for Defendant	
New York, NY 10003 Aurora Pump Company (212) 558-5500 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1	
New York, NY 10022	100
(212) 651-7500	
SO ORDERED	
SO ORDERED,	DEC 320 PART 3

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION:

This Document Relates To:

Thaddeus M. Kozlowski

Thaddeus M. Kozlowski

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY
JUDGMENT
MOTION AND
ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, successor in interest to Abex Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Pneumo Abex LLC, successor in interest to Abex Corporation hereby with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Pneumo Abex LLC, successor in interest to Abex Corporation hereby be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and orthograms.

Dated: New York, New York

November 8, 2013

Alfred J. Sargente, Esq.

Hawkins Parnell Thackston & Young LLP

90 Broad St., 9th Floor

New York, New York 10004

DEC 23 2013
COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Frank M. Ortiz, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

TTO 0 4 2013

Mar 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	-:				
	NYCAL				
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY	I.A.S. Part 30				
ASBESTOS LITIGATION,	(Heitler, J.)				
	INDEX NO.				
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	122787/01				
PHILLIP SCHNEIDER	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER				
WHEREFORE, defendant Consolidated E	dison Company of New York, Inc.				
hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law					
and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Consolidated Edison					
Company of New York, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,					
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defe	endants, all claims and cross-claims				
against defendant Consolidated Edison Company of New	York, no. be and the same are hereby				
dismissed with prejudice and without costs.	Bellis Assessed 12				
Dated: New York, New York	3 2013				
November 18,2017	FRISOTACE				
COUNTY CE	WYORK				
Alano 1	within him to				
	Cimothy M. McCann, Esq.				
	CAROLE A BORSTEIN, ESQ.				
•	Attorney for Defendant				
·	Consolidated Edison Company of New				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	York, Inc.				
	Irving Place				
Capt .	Vew York, NY 10003-3598				
SO ORDERED:	DEC 0 4 2013				
Hon. Sherry Dein Heitler	PART 30				

Our File No S-4089-02

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 107394/05
Barbara A. Hayes, Individually and as Administratrix of the Estate of Joseph T. Hayes	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant RSCC WIRE & CABL "ROCKBESTOS, INC." (hereinafter "RSCC WIRE & CAB judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Pract dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant RSCC V prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, a	BLE LLC") hereby requests summary tice Law and Rules Section 3212, VIRE & CABLE LLC with
defendant RSCC WIRE & CABLE LLC, be and the same and without costs.	are hereby dismissed with prejudice
Dated: 11 13 2013 DEC 23 201 COUNTY CLERK NEW YOR Carmen V. St. George, Esq. LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP Attorney for Plaintiff 800 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022	OFFICE
SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry K. Heitler	CCC 0 4 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 107394/05
Barbara A. Hayes, Individually and as Administratrix of the Estate of Joseph T. Hayes	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant PUGET SOUND COMMERCE	E CENTER, INC.

incorrectly s/h/a "TODD SHIPYARDS CORPORATION" (hereinafter "PUGET SOUND COMMERCE CENTER, INC."), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant PUGET SOUND COMMERCE CENTER, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant PUGET SOUND COMMERCE CENTER, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

DEC 23 2013

Carmen V. St. George, Esq. LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG LLP NEW YORK

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Attorney for Plaintiff

800 Third Avenue

New York, NY 10022

Julie Evans, Esq.

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,

EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

Attorney for Defendant

PUGET SOUND COMMERCE

CENTER, INC.

150 East 42nd Street

New York, New York 10017 Our File No. 04693.00308

SO ORDERED,

1 0 d 2013

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF N OF NEW YORK		
IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	X	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOO	CUMENT RELATES TO:	X	Index No. 101958/01
JAMES TI	RACEY		NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
above-entit	•	e Law and Rule	by requests summary judgment in the es Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs ice, and there being no opposition
thereto,			
OR	DERED, that upon notice to all co-	-defendants, al	l claims and cross-claims against
defendant l	Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the sam	arc hereby di	in iss d with prejudice and without
costs.			
Dated:	New York, New York November 75, 2013 COUN	DEC 23 201 NTY CLERK NEW OF	S OFFICE
	Λ / Λ	// t	
Attorneys fo James Trac 700 Broadv	LUXENBERG, PC. For Plaintiffs cey, et al. way New York 10003	Attorneys _J Morse Die 150 Broad	T & BRADLEY, LLC for Defendant sel, Inc. way, Suite 600 , New York 10038
SO ORDEF	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler	Dated:	DEC 0 4 2013 PART 300

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE **NEW YORK CITY NYCAL** ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Index No. 100988-04 ANGEL LAMBERTY NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. New York, New York

11/2, 2013 Dated: DEC 23 2013 COUNTY CLEANE OFFICE RINGENVO CHETTA, Esq. Matthew MacInyre, Esq. / WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC. MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant Angel Lamberty, et al. Morse Diesel, Inc. 700 Broadway 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285 (212) 558-5500 DEC 0 4 2013 PART 30 SO ORDERED: Dated:

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF NE OF NEW YORK	W YORK	
IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	X	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOC	CUMENT RELATES TO:	X	Index No. 104850/04
THEODO	RE EDWARD PENDERGAST		NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
		•	requests summary judgment in the
	_		s Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's
complaint a	against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc	., with prejudi	ce, and there being no opposition
thereto,			
OR	DERED, that upon notice to all co-	defendants, all	claims and cross-claims against
	•		_
defendant f	Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same	are hereby dis	missed with prejudice and without
costs.			
Dated:	New York, New York	DEC 23 2013	
Luza	meRascliffe	NEW YOR	S OFFICE
	atcliffe, Esq.	Rosario Ch	* *
	LUXENBERG, PC.	MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC	
• -	or Plaintiff Edward Bondonaget	Attorneys for Defendant Morsa Diasal Inc	
700 Broady	Edward Pendergast	Morse Diesel, Inc. 150 Broadway, Suite 600	
	New York 10003	150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10038	
(212) 558-5		(212) 791-0	
SO ORDEI		Dated:	

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK **IN RE** NEW YORK CITY **NYCAL** ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Index No. 118280/03 NO OPPOSITION FRANCIS J. SMITH and BARBARA SMITH **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** MOTION AND ORDER WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. Dated: ork, New York 2013 DEC 23 2013 Rosario Chetta, Esq. Ratcliffe, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC. MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant Theodore Edward Pendergast Morse Diesel, Inc. 700 Broadway 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003 New York, New York 10038 (212) 558-5500 (212) 791-0285

Dated:

SO ORDERED

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

THIS DOCUMENT REFERS TO:

Index No.: 115662/05

ABRAHAM FICHTENBAUM

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp. me are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New lew York

DEC 23 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Ruth Markus, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Abraham Fichtenbaum

WILENTZ, GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.

110 William Street, 26th Floor

New York, New York 10038-3901

(212) 267-3091

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp. McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitle

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 102033/01,

WILLIAM S. TYNAN AND GERLINDE TYNAN

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

Dated: New York, New York

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Attorney for Plaintiffs

TYNAN, WILLIAM S.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

without costs.

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Price Wesselmann, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hen Sherry Klein Heitler

2383-2256

DEC 0 4 2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ARTHUR C. CLARK, JR.
AND
ARNITA CLARK

Index No.: 106691/02,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Adam Raffo, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Arthur C. Clark, Jr. and Arnita Clark

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryalm M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DEC 6 4 2013

PART 20

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ARTHUR C. CLARK, JR.
AND
ARNITA CLARK

Index No.: 106691/02,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov 18, 2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Adam Raffo, Esq. Y

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Arthur C. Clark, Jr. and Arnita Clark

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

nem 9 4 2013

1235-5119

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ARTHUR C. CLARK, JR.
AND
ARNITA CLARK

Index No.: 106691/02,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov 18, 2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Adam Raffo, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Arthur C. Clark, Jr. and Arnita Clark

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kersyann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456-

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Mein Heitler

DEC 6 4 2013

PARISU

2383-256311

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

(Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 100233/03,

PAUL J. NENNO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

-2 ,2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLEARK'S OFFICE NEW/YORK

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Paul J. Nenno

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

ELMER LEE SALYERS AND CHARLOTTE SALYERS Index No.: 126934/02,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

New York, New York 10003

11 | 12 , 2013

Elmer Lee Salyers and Charlotte Salyers

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

700 Broadway

(212) 558-5500

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-7235AR

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY ----X NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Heitler) ----X This Document Relates to: Index No.: 116605/01 Michael Shaughnessy and Joan Shaughnessy, Plaintiffs, - aqainst -NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT A.C. & S., Inc., et al., MOTION AND ORDER Defendants. -------X WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice thout costs. New York, New York DEC 23 2013

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Espounty Claustin N. Tafe, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Michael Shaughnessy and Joan

Shaughnessy

700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, New York 10003

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. NEWCulfen and Dykman LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.

Inc.

44 Wall_Street

New York, New York 10005

ur File No.: 10924-4286

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NYCAL NEW YORK COUNTY I.A.S. Part 30 ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Heitler) This Document Relates to: Index No.: 110579/02 Sylvia T. Senecal, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of Arthu J. Senecal, - against -NO OPPOSITION DEC 23 2013 SUMMARY JUDGMENT A.C. & S., Inc., et al., COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated:

opposition thereto,

New York, New York

2013

Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq. Weit & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Sylvia T. Senecal, Individually and as Administratrix for the Estate of Arthur J. Senecal 700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, New York 10003

Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc. 44 Wall Street

New York, New York 10005

(212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:

Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Heitler) Index No.: 120915/02 This Document Relates to: Fred Q. Pulver and Helen Pulver, Plaintiffs, NO OPPOSITION - against -SUMMARY JUDGMENT A.C. & S., Inc., et al., MOTION AND ORDER Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

New York, New York

November 11, 2013

opposition thereto,

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. COUNTY CLEBS IN NEW COUNTY Attorneys for Plan

700 Broadway, 6th Floor

New York, New York 10003

DEC 23 2013

Ta**(**e, Eso. Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Attorneys for Defendant

Fred Q. Pulver and Helen Pulver Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.

Inc.

44 Wall Street

New York, New York 10005

(212) 732-2000

File No.: 10924-4823

DEC 0 4 2013

So Ordered:

Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Heitler) ----X Index No.: 113258/01 This Document Relates to: Allen Nadborney, Plaintiff, - against -NO OPPOSITION

A.C. & S., Inc., et al.,

SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

-----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

New York, New York Navember 11, 2013

David J. Barry Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff Allen Nadborney 700 Broadway, 6th Floor

New York, New York 10003

DEC 23 2013

COUNTYJOBERAN MONTACE, Kag.

MENVIER and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant

Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.

Inc.

44 Wall Street

New York, New York 10005

(212) 732-2000

Mar File No.: 10924-1

So Ordered:

 $\eta_{ ext{Heitler}}$ Hon. Sherry

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NYCAL NEW YORK COUNTY I.A.S. Part 30 ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Heitler) ----X This Document Relates to: Index No.: 110904/02 Teresea Renshaw, as Personal Representative for the Estate of Todd Graham Pelrah, Plaintiff, NO OPPOSITION - against -SUMMARY JUDGMENT A.C. & S., Inc., et al., MOTION AND ORDER Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. ithout costs. Inc., be dismissed with prejudic Dated: New York, New York 11 8 , 2013 DEC 23 2013 COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE John E. Richmond, Esq. NEWSYOR M. Tafe, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant Teresea Renshaw, as Personal Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Representative for the Estate Inc. of Todd Graham Pelrah 44 Wall Street New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000 700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, New York 10003 dur File No.: 10924-1

Heitler

So Ordered:

CLB57210/legal/nosjm/june2013 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IOIDI DI WAYOFD	
JOHN BUHYOFF, Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
-against-	Index No.: 119387/00
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
Defendant(s),	

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

11/2/13 without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esc

Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

DEC 23 2013 hawnette Fluitt, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant

COUNTY CLERKCLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.

NEW YOBarry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14th Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

FBW42218/Legal/nosjm/JUNE2013 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
JOHN BUHYOFF,	
	NO OPPOSITION
	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
Plaintiff(s),	MOTION AND ORDER
-against-	Index No.: 119387/00
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
Defendant(s),	

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with

prejudice and without costs. U17113

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

FILE

Charles Neal, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant

DEC 23 2013 FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.

Barry McTiernan & Moore

COUNTY CLERK'S 2 Rector Street, 14th Floor

NEW YORKNew York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

. Va (N.)- 5011

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 02-104830

JOHN J. CURLEY

against

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

A.C. AND S. INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), ET AL.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kohler Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kohler Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kohler Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. DATED: 11/11/2013 New York, New York

CARL FIGUEROA/ ESO

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN

DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP

Attorneys for Defendar Kohler Co.

40 Paterson Street

New Brunswick, NJ 089033EC 23 2013

SÚZANNÉ RATCLIFFE. ESQ.

WEITZ/&/LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s),

JOHN J. CURLEY 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

SO ORDERED: COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

HOAGLAND, LONGO MORAN, DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORTH JERSEY 40 PATERSON ST PO BOX 480 NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ

RECEIVED
PAKDEC 30013 PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

DAVID J. RENZ, as Executor for the Estate of HERBERT RENZ and MAUREEN RENZ, Individually,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al.,

Defendants.

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

NYCAL Index No. 109573/2002

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Lennox Industries Inc. ("Lennox") hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against Lennox with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is

Ordered that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against Lennox be, and the same hereby are, dismissed w without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

DEC 23 2013

WEITZ & LUXENBERG POUNTY CLERK'S OFFROER ERRANTE YAVITZ & NEW YORK BLAU LLP

Dana Northeraft, Esq.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5745

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Attorneys for Lennox Industries Inc.

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry K. Heitler, J.S.C.

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION THOMAS J. MURRAY and PATRICIA MURRAY, NYCAL I..A.S. Part 30 Plaintiffs. (Heitler, J.) -against-Index No.: 119472-01 A.C. and S., INC. (ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING & SUPPLY), et al. NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND Defendants. ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN 3212. OPTICAL CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CO ATION only be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DEC 23 2013

WTY-SLERK'S OF

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

Telephone: (212) 558-5580 Facsimile: (212) 344-5461

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Thomas and Patricia Murray

cole A. Spence

RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP

81 Main Street, Suite 508

White Plains, NY 10601 Telephone: (914) 285-0700

Facsimile: (914) 285-1213

Attorneys for Defendant DEC

American Optical Corporation

SO ORDERED,

NEW YORK CITY IN RE:

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 02-101090

RONALD OELSCHLAGER and SARA OELSCHLAGER,

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kohler Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kohler Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Kohler Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

New Brunswick, NJ 10/31/13

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ.

HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN **DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP**

Attorneys for Defendant,

Kohler Co.

1501 Broadway, 21st Floo

New York, New York 10036

DEC 23 2013

NEW YORK

SO ORDERED:

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC

Attorneys for Plaintiffs,

Ronald Oelschlager and Sara Oelschlager

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE Honorable

Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway 21st Floor New York, NY

RECEIVED

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :
This Document Relates To:	: :
BARTLEY MOONEY and MARY MOONEY,	: NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Plaintiffs,	: AND ORDER
-against-	· :
ACandS, INC., et al., Including, MAREMONT CORPORATION,	: : :
Defendants.	: : X
summary judgment in the above-entitled case, p 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against de no opposition thereto,	fendant Maremont with prejudice, and there being fendants, all claims and cross claims against
1111C 2013	Peggy L. Pan, Esq. KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP Attorneys for Defendant 1633 Broadway New York, New York 1001 DEC 3 2013
SO ORDERED,	PART 30

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

INDEX NO.: 01-120432

RONALD OELSCHLAGER and SARA

OELSCHLAGER.

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER**

against

ACandS, INC., et al.,

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kohler Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kohler Co., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant. Kohler Co., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED:

New Brunswick, NJ

MONICA R. KOSTRZEWA, ESQ. HOAGLAND, LONGO, MORAN

DUNST & DOUKAS, LLP Attorneys for Defendant,

Kohler Co.

1501 Broadway, 21st Floo

New York New York 1003

New York, New York 1003

Tattle Mre claty MATTHEW MACINTYRE. #SC WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC

Attorneys for Plaintiffs.

Ronald Oelschlager and Sara Oelschlager

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

DEC 23 2013

SO ORDERED:

COUNTY CLERKS THE Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler NEW YORK

Hoagland, Longo, Moran, Dunst & Doukas, LLP Attorneys at Law

1501 Broadway 21st Floor New York, NY

RECEIVED

PART 30

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR OF NEW YORK	K
		X NYCAL
		I.A.S. Part 30
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	(Heitler, S.)
		Index No. 00-109782
THIS DOO	CUMENT RELATES TO:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
	ROBERT S. SABADASZ	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER V
WI	HEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Co	
Division, l	nereby requests summary judgment in the ab	ove-entitled case, pursuant to Civil

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

DEC 23 2013

UNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

× 11/11, 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, F.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

NEW YOR By:

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.

Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.

NIXON PEABODY LLP

Attorneys for Defendant, Patterson-Kelley Company

Key Towers at Fountain Plaza

40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500

Buffalo, NY 14202

(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherky Kloin Witler

RECEIVED

DEC 3 2013

PART 30

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 111679/08
James V. Tempio	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant MCCORD CORPORATION adgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice L	
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant MCCORD Cand there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all cla	
lefendant MCCORD CORPORATION, be and the same are hand without costs.	
defendant MCCORD CORPORATION, be and the same are hand without costs. Dated: New York, New York FILED	
Dated: New York, New York DEC 23 2013	ereby dismissed with prejudice
Dated: New York, New York LI 25 13 DEC 23 2013	ereby dismissed with prejudice
Dated: New York, New York LI 23 13 DEC 23 2013 MANUEL RANGE PORATION, be and the same are hand without costs. Dated: New York, New York LI 23 13 DEC 23 2013 MANUEL RANGE PORK Suzanne Ratcliffe, Esq. Julie	Evans Esq.
Dated: New York, New York Dated: New York, New York DEC 23 2013 DEC	Evans Esq. SON ELSEK, MOSKOWITZ,
Dated: New York, New York Dated: New York, New York DEC 23 2013 DEC	E Evans Esq. SON ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, ELMAN & DICKER LLP rney for Defendant
Dated: New York, New York Dated: New York, New York DEC 23 2013 DEC	Evans Esq. SON, ELSEK, MOSKOWITZ, ELMAN & DICKER LLP rney for Defendant CORD CORPORATION
Dated: New York, New York Dated: New York, New York DEC 23 2013 DEC	Evans Esq. SON ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, ELMAN & DICKER LLP rney for Defendant CORD CORPORATION East 42 nd Street
Dated: New York, New York Dated: New York, New York DEC 23 2013 Julie New York Plaintiff DEC 20 2013 Julie New York Plaintiff DEC 20 2013 Julie New York Plaintiff DEC 20 2013 DEC	E Evans Esq. SON ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, ELMAN & DICKER LLP rney for Defendant CORD CORPORATION East 42 nd Street
Dated: New York, New York Dated: New York, New York DEC 23 2013 Julie New York Plaintiff DEC 20 2013 Julie New York Plaintiff DEC 20 2013 Julie New York Plaintiff DEC 20 2013 DEC	Evans Esq. SON ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, ELMAN & DICKER LLP rney for Defendant CORD CORPORATION East 42 nd Street
defendant MCCORD CORPORATION, be and the same are hand without costs. Dated: New York, New York 1 2 13 DEC 23 2013 DEC 23 2013 DEC 23 2013	E Evans Esq. SON ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, ELMAN & DICKER LLP rney for Defendant CORD CORPORATION East 42 nd Street
defendant MCCORD CORPORATION, be and the same are hand without costs. Dated: New York, New York LI 23 13 DEC 23 2013 DEC 23 2013 Julie New York Attorney for Plaintiff 700 Broadway, 7th Floor New York, New York 10003 MC 150 New	E Evans Esq. SON ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, ELMAN & DICKER LLP rney for Defendant CORD CORPORATION East 42 nd Street

COUNTY (COURT OF THE STATE OF OF NEW YORK			
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: 1	NYCAL	
	HAMAKER and JOANN IV			5/08
	Plaintiff(s)	, :		
	-against-	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUMOTION AND	DGMENT
A.O. SMIT	H WATER PRODUCTS CO.	, <u>et al</u> .		
	Defendants	S. :	Hon. Sherry Klei IAS Part 30	n Heitler,
~~~~~~~		×	K	
WH	EREFORE, defendants The C	oodyear Tir	e & Rubber Comp	any and Goodyear
Canada Inc	e. hereby request summary ju	adgment in	the above-entitled	case, pursuant to
CPLR 3212	2, dismissing plaintiffs' con	nplaint agai	nst The Goodyea	r Tire & Rubber
Company a	and Goodyear Canada Inc.	with prejudi	ice, and there bei	ng no opposition
thereto, it is	s hereby:			
ORI	DERED, that upon notice to	all co-defe	ndants, all claims	and cross claims
	e Goodyear Tire & Rubber C			la Inc. be and the
same are he	ereby dismissed with plejudice	a d withou	t costs.	
Dated: New	v York, New York 11/25/13 DEC 23 20	113	_	
By:	Or Plaintiffs COUNTY CLERY NEW YO	Company  By:	OASKAL EMERY for Defendants The and Goodyear Can	ada Inc.
Dav	rid A. Chandler, Esq.	ì	Scott R. Emery, Es	q.
700 Broady New York, (212) 558-5	New York 10003	264 West New York (212) 302-	, New York 10018	3
Dated: New	v York, New York	,		
SO ORDER	RED: Hon. Sherry Klein	Heitler, J.S.	C.	DEC 0 4 2013 PART 20

COUNTY	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: NYCAL
	PRAPER and GENEVIEVE DRAPER,	
A. O. SMIT	Plaintiff(s), -against- TH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants.	: NO OPPOSITION : SUMMARY JUDGMENT : MOTION AND ORDER : Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, : IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	: : X
CPLR 321 Company thereto, it is OR against The same are he Dated: Nev WEITZ & Attorneys f  By Too Broads	2, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint and Goodyear Canada Inc. with press hereby:  DERED, that upon notice to all co-ce Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company ereby dismissed with prej died and with which the state of the s	in the above-entitled case, pursuant to against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber ejudice, and there being no opposition defendants, all claims and cross claims and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the hout costs.  CEASKAL EMERY LLP eys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber any and Goodyear Canada Inc.  Gotriz Shoai-Naini, Esq.  Gest 40 th Street  Tork, New York 10018  302-2400
Dated: Nev —— SO ORDE	RED: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,	DEC 0 4 2013  J.S.C.

COUNTY	E COURT OF THE STATE OF N OF NEW YORK		
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :	NYCAL
RENEE A	LFIERI, as Executrix for the Estat O SABELLA, and THERESA SAI	e of :	Index No.: 107371/07
indi viduali	Plaintiff(s),	: : :	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
	-against-	:	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler,
A. W. CHI	ESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,	: :	IAS Part 30
	Defendants.	: X	
WI	HEREFORE, defendants The Good	dyear Tire &	Rubber Company and Goodyear
Canada Inc	e. hereby request summary judgmen	nt in the abov	ve-entitled case, pursuant to CPLR
3212, dism	nissing plaintiff's complaint agains	t The Goody	year Tire & Rubber Company and
Goodyear	Canada Inc. with prejudice, and the	ere being no	opposition thereto, it is hereby:
OR	DERED, that upon notice to all	co-defenda	nts, all claims and cross claims
against The	e Goodyear Tire & Rubber Compa	ny and Good	lyear Canada Inc. be and the same
are hereby	dismissed with prejudice and with	out costs.	
	w York, New York		
			DASKAL EMERY LLP for Defendants The Goodyear Tire &
By:	SE A COUNTY CLERY	ORK Ju	for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Empany and Goodyear Canada Inc.
ct	dam Cooper, Esq.	La	wrence G. Lee, Esq.
700 Broad	•		40th Street
(212) 558	k, New York 10003 -5500	(212) 302	s, New York 10018 -2400
		,	
Dated: Ne	w York, New York		FIT A 1000
-			- 1 V & LUTS
SO ORDE		41 I.C.C	TARTSO
	Hon. Sher Klein Hei	tler, J.S.C.	

COUNTY C	COURT OF THE STATE OF N OF NEW YORK				
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	:	NYCAL		
ROBERTO	CUCCI, as Executor for the Esta		Index No.:	107501/07	
	Plaintiff(s), -against-	:		SITION Y JUDGMENT AND ORDER	
A.O. SMITH	H WATER PRODUCTS CO., et	<u>al</u> ., :	Hon. Sherry IAS Part 30	Klein Heitler,	
	Defendants.	: X			
WHI	EREFORE, defendants The Goo	dyear Tire &	Rubber Com	pany and Goodyea	ar
Canada Inc.	hereby request summary judgme	nt in the abov	e-entitled case	e, pursuant to CPL	R
3212, dismis	ssing plaintiff's complaint agains	st The Goody	ear Tire & Ru	ıbber Company an	d
Goodyear Ca	anada Inc. with prejudice, and th	ere being no	opposition th	ereto, it is hereby:	
ORD	ERED, that upon notice to all	l co-defenda	nts, all claim	s and cross claim	ıs
	Goodyear Tire & Rubber Compa	_	•		
			•		
Dated: New	York, New York  York, New York  DEC 23  LUXENBERG, P.C.  Or Plaintiff  COUNTYNEW	2013 OK'S OFFI	OE .		
	LUXENBERG, P.C.	R PANCH I	DASKAL EM for Defendan	ERY LLP	
Attorneys f	or Plaintiff COUNT NEW	'Attorneys Rubber O	for Defendan	ts The Goodyear T Joodyear Canada I	
	2	$\mathcal{L}$	, i ,	Jic	
By:		J · _ <u></u>	wrence G. Lee	- Jan	
chan'	u frys	La	wrence G. Lec	e, Esq.	
700 Broady	•		40th Street	10010	
New York, (212) 558-5	New York 10003 5500	(212) 302	k, New York -2400	IOO18	
Dated: New	York, New York			DEC 0	4 2013
		1			
SO ORDER	ED:	7		# # # TO E #	
JO ORDER	Hon. Sherry Klein He	itler, J.S.C.	_		

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NE COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: NYCAL
MARGARET GREELEY, as Personal Representative for the Estate of STEPHEN GREELEY and MARGARET GREELEY, Individually,	: Index No.: 105768/07 : : : NO OPPOSITION
Plaintiff(s),	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
-against-	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
A. W. CHESTERTON COMPANY, et al.,	;
Defendants.	: : X
	year Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear
Canada Inc. hereby request summary judgment	•
3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against	The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and
Goodyear Canada Inc. with prejudice, and the	re being no opposition thereto, it is hereby:
ORDERED, that upon notice to all	co-defendants, all claims and cross claims
against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Compan	y and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the same
are hereby dismissed with prejudice and with	ulcots.
Dated: New York, New York  VEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  DEC 23	. •
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff	LYNCH DASKAL EMERY LLP Atterneys for Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.
By: Lisa Busch, Esq.	By: James S. James Lawrence G. Lee, Esq.
700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500	264 West 40th Street New York, New York (212) 302-2400  DEC 0 4 2013
Dated: New York, New York	PART 30
SO ORDERED:  Hon. Sherry Klein Heit	ler, J.S.C.

COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATIO	: NYCAL ON :
ANGELO BELLOMO and JOANNE B	<del></del>
Plaintiff(s	5), :
-against-	: NO OPPOSITION : SUMMARY JUDGMENT : MOTION AND OPPER
AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., et al.	: MOTION AND ORDER :
Defendan	ts. Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, IAS Part 30
	X
WHEREFORE, defendants The	Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company and Goodyear
Canada Inc. hereby request summary	judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to
CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' co	emplaint against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber
Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.	with prejudice, and there being no opposition
thereto, it is hereby:	
ORDERED, that upon notice t	o all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims
against The Goodyear Tire & Rubber	Company and Goodyear Canada Inc. be and the
same are hereby dismissed with prejudic	
Dated: New York, New York  11/25/13	
By:	Attorneys For Defendants The Goodyear Tire & Rubbe Company and Goodyear Canada Inc.  By:
David A. Chandler, Esq.	Scott R. Emery, Esq.
700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 (212) 558-5500	264 West 40 th Street New York, New York 10018 (212) 302-2400
Dated: New York, New York	
	DEC 0 4 2013
SO ORDERED: Hon. Shorty Kie	n Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE **NEW YORK CITY NYCAL** ASBESTOS LITIGATION I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.) THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Index No. 104643-07 JAMES E. MCGINNITY SR. NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are hereby diem se with prejudice and without costs. DEC 23 2013 Dated: New York, New York Dec 2nd, 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S Rosario Chetta, Esq. Adam Raffo, Esq. MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC. Attorneys for Plaintiffs Attorneys for Defendant James E. McGinnity Sr., et al. Morse Diesel, Inc. 700 Broadway 150 Broadway, Suite 600 New York, New York 10003 New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285 (212) 558-5500 SO ORDERED, Dated: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109703/08,

ARTHUR J. TILLMAN, SR.

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY** JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

, 2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Arthur J. Tillman, Sr.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY **ASBESTOS LITIGATION** 

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109703/08,

ARTHUR J. TILLMAN, SR.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

, 2013

DEC 23 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Arthur J. Tillman, Sr.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

kin Heitler

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 109703/08,

ARTHUR J. TILLMAN, SR.

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without costs.

Dated: New York, New York
//-/
, 2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Dana M. Northcraft, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs

Arthur J. Tillman, Sr.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Nicole Wesselmann, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitle

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190115/08,

**GEORGE BRADY** 

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Courter & Company, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov 18, 2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Adam Raffo, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff

George Brady

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Courter & Company, Inc.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190115/08,

**GEORGE BRADY** 

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Treadwell Corporation, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Treadwell Corporation, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Nov 18, 2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Adam Raffo, Esq. //
Attorney for Plaintiffs

George Brady

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Treadwell Corporation

MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein Neitler

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190115/08,

**GEORGE BRADY** 

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Tishman Liquidating Corp., be and the same are near the same

DEC 23 2013

Dated: New York, New York

Nov 18, 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Adam Raffo, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff

George Brady

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Kerryann M. Cook, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Tishman Liquidating Corp.

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry kiem Heitler

DEC 8 4 2013

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

LOUIS J. POVODNICH **AND** MARYANN POVODNICH Index No.: 116021/07,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company be a ame are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York , 2013 DEC 23 2013

**COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK** 

frank . Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Louis J. Povodnich and Maryann Povodnich WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C. 80 Broad Street-Suite 2300 New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

Index No : 10

Index No.: 101029/07,

MARGARITA CAMBRELEN

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW/YORK

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Margarita Cambrelen

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

MCGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED, _

Hop. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY -----X NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Heitler)

This Document Relates to:

Peter M. Tremblay Sr. and Helen Tremblay,

Plaintiffs,

- against -

A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Index No.: 101141/07

Defendants. ----X

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without c

New York, New York

Michael Fanélli, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway, 6th Floor

New York, New York 10003

<u>11/6</u>, 2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE MEW YORK

Justin M. Tafe, Esq. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.

44 Wall Street

New York, New York 10005

(212) 732-2000

Duk File No.:--10924-2893

DEC 0 4 2013

Peter M. Tremblay Sr. and Helen

So Ordered:

Tremblay

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY

ASBESTOS LITIGATION

----X

This Document Relates to: THOMAS H. CLARK,

Index No.: 112677/07

Plaintiff,

- against -

NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

I.A.S. Part 30

(Judge Heitler)

NYCAL

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

_____

WHEREFORE, defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant GOULDS PUMPS, INC., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs

Michael Fanelli, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Thomas H. Clark
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003
(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

Joseph M. And Molillo, Esque Cullen and Dykman LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Goulds Pumps, Inc.

44 Wall Street, 15th Floor New York, New York 10005

(212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 6754-10135

DEC 0 4 120

The Addition

CLB57216/legal/nosjm/june2013 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
ANTE ROSINI,	
Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
-against-	Index No.: 106351/05
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
Defendant(s),	
WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOK	S, INC. hereby request summary

judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without costs. 117113

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant

CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. Barry McTiernan & Moore

2 Rector Street, 14th Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S UFFICE NEW YORK

FBW49961/Legal/nosjm/JUNE2013 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK ANTE ROSINI, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiff(s), MOTION AND ORDER -against-Index No.: 106351/05 A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 Defendant(s),

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with

イルノノ prejudice

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg

DEC 23 2013

NEW YORK

Charles Neal, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant

FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.

New York, New York 10003 COUNTY CLERK'S OF RACE MCTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street 14th Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

CONSTANCE MATTESON, AS PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE ESTATE OF LEONARD J. PUSC AND LORRAINE A.

**PUSC, AS SPOUSE** 

Index No. 06-113814

Plaintiff(s).

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY

JUDGMENT MOTION AND

ORDER FOR UNITED BRASS WORKS, INC. ONLY

-VS-

AMERICAN BILTRITE, INC., et al Defendant(s)

WHEREFORE, defendant, United Brass Works, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, United Brass Works, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against, United Brass Works, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Stephen S. Davie, Esq.

MACKENZIE HUGHES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant 101 South Salina Street

Syracuse, NY 13202

DEC 23 2013

Marthew Park. Esq.

Early & Strauss, L.L.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) COUNTY CLERK'S OF 360 Lexington Ave. 20th Floor

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heiter, J.S.C.

RECEIVED

DEC 3 2013

PART 30

	COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	YORK	
		X	NYCAL
			I.A.S. Part 30
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		(Heitler, S.)
			Index No. 08-190116
		X	
THIS DOC	UMENT RELATES TO:		NO OPPOSITION
	JOHN R. DRAPER	v	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
	IEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelle		ed herein as Patterson-Kelley
Division, h	ereby requests summary judgment in the	he above-entitle	d case, pursuant to Civil
Practice La	w and Rules Section 3212, dismissing	plaintiff's comp	laint against defendant

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without costs.

FILED

Dated: New York, NY

2013 DEC 23 2013

Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

NEW YORK

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, I

Attorneys for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.

Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.

NIXON PEABODY LLP

Attorneys for Defendant,

Patterson-Kelley Company

Key Towers at Fountain Plaza 40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500

Buffalo, NY 14202

(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

DEC 3 2013

PART 30

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

JOSEPHINE M. TEMPIO as Executrix for the Estate of JAMES V. TEMPIO, deceased and JOSEPHINE M. TEMPIO, individually

Plaintiff(s)

-against-

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

Index No.: 111679/08

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Standard Motor Product, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

DEC 23 2013

**NEW YORK** 

November 2, 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG,

Attorney for Plaintiff

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10039

(212) 558-5500

Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.

TROUTMAN SANDERS LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc.

The Chrysler Building 405 Lexington Avenue

New York, New York 10174

(212) 704-6000

COUNTY CLERK'S OF HOEK and P. O'Low

SO ORDERED.

Hon. She

RECEIVED

DEC 3 2013

PART 30

21559397v1

Index No. 111679-08

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Maremont Corporation, ("Maremont"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Maremont with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Maremont Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

 $\sqrt{\sqrt{5}}$ , 2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK SOFF

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.O.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

Peggy L. Pan, Esq.

KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES &

FRIEDMAN LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

1633 Broadway

New York, New York 10019

(212) 506-1700

RECEIVED

UEC 3 2013

PART 30

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
In RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
This Document Relates to:	: 
DELPHINE M. STACHOWIAK and CHARLES STACHOWIAK	<ul><li>New York Asbestos</li><li>Litigation (NYCAL)</li></ul>
Plaintiffs	: Index No. 190155/09
- against -	: :
INTERNATIONAL TRUCK and ENGINE CORPORATION, et al.	: NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY : JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants	: :
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defer defendant, International Truck and Engine Corpora known as Navistar, Inc.), the onlying the present of the Dated:    11 25 13   DEC 23 2013	
Weits & Luxenberg  COUNTY CLERKE CHICK	Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellott, LLC  By: UZAM USAM
John Richmond, Esquire Attorneys for Plaintiffs 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Elizabeth A. Weill, Esquire Attorneys for Defendant, International Truck and Engine Corporation, (now by operation of change only known as Navistar, Inc.)
	50 S. 16 th Street, 22 nd Floor Two Liberty Place Philadelphia, PA 19102
SO ORDERED:	
- •	J.S.C.
	PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY ----X NYCAL NEW YORK COUNTY I.A.S. Part 30 ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Heitler) This Document Relates to: Index No.: 190368/09 Susan Zimmerman, as Executrix for the Estate of Frederick A. Greis Sr., Plaintiff, - against -NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT A.O. Smith Water Products Co., et al., MOTION AND ORDER Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without costs DEC 23 2013 New York, New York Dated: 1 g , 2013 COUNTY CLERKO WICE Justin M. Tafe, Esq. John E. Richmond, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant Susan Zimmerman, as Executrix Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. The transfer to the first for the Estate of Frederick A. Inc. 44 Wall Street Greis Sr. 700 Broadway, 6th Floor New York, New York 10005 (212) 732-2000 EU 704 7013° New York, New York 10003 Our File No.: 10924-6103

So Ordered:

CLB57227/legal/nosjm/june2013 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
RICHARD TRAVERS,	
,	NO OPPOSITION
	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
Plaintiff(s),	MOTION AND ORDER
-against-	Index No.: 190197/09
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	NYCAL
	I.A.S. Part 30
Defendant(s),	

WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without cost (1/3/17) FILED

OFF. 23 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg 700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

Shawnette Fluitt, Esq.

COUNTY CLERK'S Officerys for Defendant

NEW YORK CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC.
Barry McTiernan & Moore
2 Rector Street, 14th Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon Sherry Nein-Heitler

DEC 0 4 2013

JOHN VENTURINI,	X	
Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER	
-against-	Index No.: 190287/09	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30	
Defendant(s),	v	
WHEREFORE, defendants CLEAVER-BROC judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil	OKS, INC. hereby request summary	
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. with prejudice,		
dishinsing planting complaint against defendants CL	• •	
and there being no opposition thereto,		
• •	nts, all claims and cross claims against	

Frank Ortiz, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 OUNTY CLERK'S hawnette Whitt, Esq. NEW YORK ttorneys for Defendant

CLEAVER-BROOKS, INC. Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14th Floor New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FBW53877/Legal/nosjm/JUNE2013 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK JOHN VENTURINI, NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** Plaintiff(s), MOTION AND ORDER -against-Index No.: 190287/09 A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 Defendant(s), WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Frank Ortiz, Esq.
Attorney for Plaintiff
Weitz & Luxenberg

700 Broadway New York, New York 10003 DEC 23 2013 harles Neal, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant

FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC.

Barry McTiernan & Moore 2 Rector Street, 14th Floor

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Klein-Heitler

COUNTY CLL

PF0 0 4 2013

FBW53671/Legal/nosjm/JUNE2013 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK RICHARD TRAVERS, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT Plaintiff(s), MOTION AND ORDER -against-Index No.: 190197/09 A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 Defendant(s), WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. hereby request summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. 417/13 Frank Ortiz, Esq. Charles Neal, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant Weitz & Luxenberg FULTON BOILER WORKS, INC. DEC 23 2013 Barry McTiernan & Moore 700 Broadway 2 Rector Street, 14th Floor New York, New York 10003 COUNTY CLERK'S OF New York, New York 10006 OEX (212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

FBW54030/legal/nosjm/JUNE2013 SUPREME COURT OF THE COUNTY OF NEW YORK	E STATE OF NEW YORK X
FREDERICK GREIS,	<i>X</i>
	Plaintiff(s),

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

-against-

Index No.: 190368/09

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

**NYCAL** I.A.S. Part 30

Defendant(s),

WHEREFORE, defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS hereby request summary

judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants FULTON BOILER WORKS be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and

without costs.

10/31/13

John Riehmond, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff

Weitz & Luxenberg

DEC 23 2013

NEW YORK

Charles Neal, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant

FULTON BOILER WORKS

New York, New York COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE arry McTiernan & Moore

New York, New York 10006

(212) 313-3600

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein-Heitler

DEC 9 4 2013

		117 0112
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
		Index No. 09-190108
THIS DOO	CUMENT RELATES TO:	
	JOHN A. METROKA	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	·	X MOTION AND ORDER
WI	HEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Com	npany, sued herein as Patterson-Kelle
	hereby requests summary judgment in the above	• •

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY By: Frank Ortiz, Esq. njamin R. Dwyer Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C NIXON PEABODY LLP DEC 23 2013 Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant, 700 Broadway Patterson-Kelley Company COUNTY CLERK'S CLEMCEwers at Fountain Plaza New York, NY 10003 NEW YORK 40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500 (212) 558-5500 Buffalo, NY 14202 (716) 853-81**R**ECEIVED SO ORDERED, Hon. Sheep Heitler PART 30

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN THE CITY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION:

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

This Document Relates to:

Index No. 190371/10

BARBARA L. BIRDSALL, ESQ., AS ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE ESTATE OF CHUNG SOON O'DWYER,

RECEIVED

DEC 0 4 2013

Plaintiffs.

-against-

84 LUMBER COMPANY, et al., including JERRY'S ARTARAMA N.C., INC. and JERRY's ARTARAMA OF RALEIGH, INC.,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREAS, defendants JERRY'S ARTARAMA N.C., INC. and JERRY'S ARTARAMA OF RALEIGH, INC. hereby request Summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendants JERRY'S ARTARAMA N.C., INC. and JERRY'S ARTARAMA OF RALEIGH, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendants JERRY'S ARTARAMA N.C., INC. and JERRY'S ARTARAMA OF RALEIGH, INC be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

November 26, 2013

EARLY & STRAUSS, L.L.C.

DEC 23 2013 OUNTY CLERK'S OFFISE

**BRILL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.** 

By:

Mark Strauss.

Attorneys for Plaintiff Barbara L. Birdsall, As Administrator

360 Lexington Avenue, 20th Floor

New York, New York 10017

(212) 986-2233

NEW YORK By:

Haydn J. Brill, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendants Jerry's Artarama N.C., Inc. and Jerry's

Artarama Of Raleigh, Inc. 111 John Street, Suite 1070

New York, New York 10038

(212) 374-9101

SO ORDERED:

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S

	DONALD E. McCORMICK SR.	X	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
	CUMENT RELATES TO:	X	
		37	Index No. 09-190195
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
	OF NEW YORK	X	NYCAL
	E COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK OF NEW YORK		

Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, NY

2013

____DEC 23 **2013**By:

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFBERGAMIN R. Dwyer Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. NEW YORK NIXON PEABODY LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff 180 Maiden Lane

New York, NY 10038

(212) 558-5500

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.

Attorneys for Defendant, Patterson-Kelley Company

Key Towers at Fountain Plaza 40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500

Buffalo, NY-14202

(716) 853-8100

SO ORDERED,

RECEIVED

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190140/10
Henrietta A. Fields, as Administratrix for the Estate of William H. Fields, and Henrietta Fields, Individually	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
X	

WHEREFORE, defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, incorrectly s/h/a CARRIER CORPORATION, Individually, and as successor in interest to BRYANT HEATING & COOLING SYSTEMS (hereinafter **CARRIER CORPORATION**), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION** with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant **CARRIER CORPORATION**, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New Yor

New York, New York

1/120/13 F

DEC 23 2013

Peter Tambini, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorney for Plaintiff

700 Broadway, 7th Floor

New York, New York 10003

- COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

NEW YORK Julie Evans, Esqu

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ,

EDELMAN & DICKER LLP

Attorney for Defendant

**CARRIER CORPORATION** 

150 East 42nd Street

New York, New York 10017

File No: 10557.01122

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

UEC 0 4 2013

	E COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW OF NEW YORK	YORK	
IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	X	NYCAL
ARTHUR	R. EDWARDS and JOANNE EDWA	RDS,	Index No. 190395/10
	Plaintiffs,		NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
	-against-		MOTION AND ORDER
	QUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, ea BLACKMER,	t al.,	
	Defendants.		
		X	
W]	HEREFORE, defendant Blackmer, h	ereby requests s	ummary judgment in the above-entitled
case, pursu	ant to Civil Practice Law and Rules S	ection 3212, dist	nissing Plaintiffs' complaint against
defendant l	Blackmer with prejudice, and there be	ing no oppositio	n thereto,
OF	RDERED, that upon notice to all co-de	efendants, all cla	ims and cross claims against defendant
Blackmer l	be and the same are hereby dismissed	23 2013	nd without costs.
Dated:	New York, New York	23 <b>2013</b>	
	October 25, 2013	-nus ut	FICE
WEITZ &	LUXENBERG, P.C. COUNTY	CLERK'S UT CLERK'S UT NEW MALABY	& BRADLEY, LLC
	1 10 1 0	46- 11312-1201	
1	110	1/00	- smon o'
Dana North	hcraft, Esq.	Grace De M	Mario, Esq.
700 Broad	•		way, Suite 600
,	New York 10003		New York 10038
	for Plaintiffs vards and Joanne Edwards	(212) 791-(	or Defendant Blackmer 1285
(212) 558-		(212) /51	
	(SPA)		<ul> <li>No see a specific part of the second s</li></ul>
SO ORDE	RED.	Dated:	500 6 a ma
	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler		
			Paki 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190231/10,

GIACOMO SERIPIERO **AND** FRANCES SERIPIERO

**NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY** JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with

prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York , 2013

NEW YORK

DEC 23 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Giacomo Seripiero and Frances Seripiero

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sambar, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED.

Hon, Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190021/10,

THOMAS L. FOLAND AND MADELINE FOLAND

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

11/22 ,2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Thomas L. Foland and Madeline Foland

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Matthew D. Sampar, Esq.

Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

324-9396

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

This Document Relates to:

Index No.: 190131/10,

KENNETH R. HINSCH SR. AND MILDRED HINSCH

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Ulai ,2013

LEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S CORICE

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)

Kenneth R. Hinsch Sr. and Mildred Hinsch

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Matthew D Sampar, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

Safeguard Industrial Equipment Company

McGivney & Kluger, P.C.

80 Broad Street-Suite 2300

New York, New York 10004

(212) 509-3456

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

n=C 0 4 25 3

324-9437

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY ----X NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Klein-Heitler) This Document Relates to: Index No.: 190109/10 CARL DiSALVO. Plaintiff, - against -NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al., MOTION AND ORDER

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co. Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.

Dated:

New York, New York August 14, 2013

Inc., be dismissed with prejudice and without tos

Dana M. Northeraft, Esq. Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff Carl Disalvo
700 Broadway, 6th Floor
New York, New York 10003

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Tafe Esq

Justin M. Tafe, Esq.
Cullen and Dykman LLP
Actorneys for Defendant
Mario & DiBono Plastering Co.
Inc.

44 Wall Street

New York, New York 10005

(212) 732-2000

Our File No.: 10924-6191

So Ordered:

Hon. Sherry Wein Heitler

	WILLIAM FALKENMEYER	X	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
	CUMENT RELATES TO:	A	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
		X	Index No. 10-190116
IN RE:	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)
	OF NEW YORK	X	NYCAL

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

WHEREFORE, defendant Patterson-Kelley Company, sued herein as Patterson-Kelley Division, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Patterson-Kelley Division, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

By:

Dated: New York, NY

, 2013

Frank Ortiz, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

180 Maiden Lane

New York, NY 10038

(212) 558-5500

SO ORDERED.

Hon. Sherry Jein Heitler

____

DEC 23 2013

OWNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Samuel Goldblatt, Esq.

Benjamin R. Dwyer Esq.

NIXON PEABODY LLP Attorneys for Defendant,

Patterson-Kelley Company

Key Towers at Fountain Plaza

40 Fountain Plaza, Suite 500

Buffalo, NY 14202

(716) 853-8100

RECEIVED

DEC 3 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION **NYCAL** -----X I.A.S. Part 30 ELIZA PISARRO, as Executrix for the Estate of (Heitler, J.) JOSEPH PISSARO, and ELIZA PISARRO, Individually, Index No.: 190349-10 Plaintiffs, NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND -against-ORDER A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al. Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212. dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant AMERICAN CORPORATION only with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant AMERICAN OPTICAL CORPORATION only be and the same are here with prejudice and without costs.

Frank M. Ortiz

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

11/6/13

Telephone: (212) 558-5580 Facsimile: (212) 344-5461

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Eliza Pisarro and Est. for Joseph Pisarro

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERKS OFFICE NEW YORK

Nicole A. Spence

RENZULLI LAW FIRM, LLP

81 Main Street, Suite 508 White Plains, NY 10601

Telephone: (914) 285-0700

Facsimile: (914) 285-1213 Attorneys for Defendant

American Optical Corporation

SO ORDERED,

RECEIVED

Hon. Sherry Mein Heitler DEC 3 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)

NYCAL

This Document Relates to:

Index No: 190109/2010

CARL A. DISALVO

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant CertainTeed Corporation hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CertainTeed Corporation with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CertainTeed Corporation be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New

New York, New York

-August (5, 2013)

Charles M. Ferguson, Esq.

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10038

(212) 558-5500

Jonathan B. Kromberg, Esq.

DARGER ERRANTE YAYITZ &

Attorneys for CertainTeed Cornectation 116 East 27th Street, 12th Floor

New York, NY 10016

(212) 452-5300

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Herler

RECEIVED

DEC 3 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW Y COUNTY OF NEW YORK	ORK .	
In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	X : :	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30
This document relates to:	: X :	(Heitler, J.) Index No.: 190150/2011
Frank DeRogatis and Emily Derogatis	: : :	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
	X	

WHEREAS, Defendant DAP Products, Inc. ("DAP"), requests summary judgment in the aboveentitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against DAP, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against

DAP, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without co

Dated: New York, New York

FILE

I 23 2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Patrick Timmins, Esq.

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor

New York, NY 10022

Counsel for Plaintiff

Keryann Cook, Esq.

McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

80 Broad Street, 23rd Floor New York, NY 10005

Counsel for DAP

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

DEC 0 4 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
In re: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	: :	NYCAL I. A. S. Part 30
	: Y	(Heitler, J.)
This document relates to:	: :	Index No.: 11/190077
Debra A. Hilpert, as administratrix of the estate of William Pisano	:	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
***************************************	X	

WHEREAS, Defendant DAP Products, Inc. ("DAP"), requests summary judgment in the aboveentitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing Plaintiff's complaint against DAP, with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, it is hereby

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against

DAP, be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without co

Dated: New York, New York

Carmen V. St. George, Esq.

LEVY, PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor

New York, NY 10022

Counsel for Plaintiff

ann Cook, Esq.

McGIVNEY & KLUGER, P.C.

80 Broad Street, 23rd Floor

New York, NY 10005

Counsel for DAP

SO ORDERED

ry Kurin Heitler, J.S.C.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
This Document Relates To:	:
COSMO CASAREGOLA,	: : · NYCAL
Plaintiff(s),	I.A.S. Part 30 (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	: Index No.: 190420-11
3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co., et al.,	: NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	:

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

December 2 2013 New York, New York

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFF

Lori A. Benavides, Esq.

LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

800 Third Avenue, 11th Floor

New York, NY 10022

(212) 605-6200

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.

K&L GATES LLP

corneys for Defendant

**ČRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC.** 

599 Lexington Avenue

New York, NY 10022-6030.

(212) 536-3900

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATIO	
This Document Relates To:	-x :
,	: NYCAL : I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	: Index No(s).: 190420-11
3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co., et al.,	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	· :
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., o	on behalf of named defendants Crane Co.,

WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., on behalf of named defendants Crane Co., Deming Pumps and National US Radiator, as a division of Crane Co., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against named defendants Crane Co., Deming Pumps and National US Radiator, as a division of Crane Co. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against named defendants Crane Co., Deming Pumps and National VS Radiator, as a division of Crane Co. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with recipiance and without costs.

Dated: December 2 2013 DEC 23 2013	
New York, New York	SOFFICE 2
New York, New York  COUNTY CLERK' NEW YOR  Lori A. Benavides, Esq.	RK Jakreis
Lori A. Benavides, Esq.	Kirsten Alford Kneis, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP	K&L GATES LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)	Attorneys for Defendant
800 Third Avenue, 11 th Floor	CRANE CO.
New York, NY 10022	599 Lexington Avenue
(212) 605-6200	New York, NY 10022-6030
SO ODDEDED	New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900 DEC 0 4 2013

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW Y ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY	
IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30 (Judge Heitler)
This Document Relates to: John H. Ryan,	Index No.: 190493/11
Plaintiffs - Against - BALDOR ELECTRIC COMPANY, et al.,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants	
WHEREFORE, defendant Goulds	X Pumps Inc., hereby requests
summary judgment in the above-enti	tled case, pursuant to Civil
Practice Law and Rules Section §	3212, dismissing plaintiffs'
Complaint against defendant Goulds	Pumps Inc., with prejudice,
and there being no opposition there	to,
ORDERED, that upon notice to a	all co-defendants, all claims
and cross claims against defend	ant Goulds Pumps Inc. b
dismissed with prejudice and withou	t costs.  DEC 23 2013
Dated: New York, New York  November 13, 2013	COUNTY CLERK'S OFF ONEW YORK
Levy Phillips & Konigsberg Cul	ghu Bandlamudi, Esq. llen and Dykman LLP
John H. Ryan Got	torneys for Defendant ulds Pumps Inc. Wall Street
New York, NY 10022	w York, New York 10005 12) 701-4164 F File No.: 6754-12153
So Ordered:	DEC 0.4023
Hon. Sherry K. Hei	tler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

MICHAEL LIGHTSY AND BARBARA LIGHTSY

Plaintiff(s),

Index No. 190518/11

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER FOR KRAISSL COMPANY, INC. ONLY

-VS-

## 3M COMPANY et al

**WHEREFORE**, defendant, Kraissl Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled action, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, Kraissl Company, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against, Kraissl Company, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

DATED: 11 - 1 . 2013

Stephen S. Davie, Esq.

MACKENZIE HUGHES LLP

Attorneys for Defendant 101 South Salina Street

Syracuse, NY 13202

Brendan J. Tully, Esq.

Levy Phillips & Konigsberg LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s)

800 Third Avenue

New York, NY 10022

FILED

SO ORDERED,

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler, J.S.C.

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

RECEIVED

DEC 3 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOU COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATIO	ON
This Document Relates To:	:x :
COSMO CASAREGOLA,	: NYCAL : I.A.S. Part 30
Plaintiff(s),	: (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	: Index No(s).: 190421-11
3M COMPANY, f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co., et al.,	: NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY : JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
Defendants.	
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE CO., o	on behalf of named defendants Crane Co.,
Deming Pumps and National US Radiator, as a divis	sion of Crane Co., hereby requests summary
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to C	ivil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiff's complaint against named de	efendants Crane Co., Deming Pumps and
National US Radiator, as a division of Crane Co. wi	ith prejudice, and there being no opposition
thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defe	ndants, all claims and cross claims against
named defendants Crane Co., Deming Pumps and N	ational US Radiator, as a division of Crane
Co. be, and the same are hereby, dismissed with pre-	naice and without costs.
Dated: November 26, 2013	
New York, New York  Audrey M. Raphael, Beq. Lon. A. BELANDED ERCTY	CLEFKiroter Alford Kneis, Esq.
LEVY PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP N	EW YR& GATES LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 800 Third Avenue, 11 th Floor	Attorneys for Defendant CRANE CO.
New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200	599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 1012 6030 (212) 536-3900
SO ORDERED,	DEC \$ 2013
Hon. Sherry Kiein Heitler	PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
This Document Relates To:	:
COSMO CASAREGOLA,	: : NYCAL
Plaintiff(s),	: I.A.S. Part 30 : (Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler)
-against-	: Index No.: 190421-11
3M COMPANY,  f/k/a Minnesota Mining & Manufacturing Co., et al.,  Defendants.	NO-OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant CRANE PUMPS & judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practical plaintiff's complaint against defendant CRANE PUMPS & being no opposition thereto.	ctice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants,	all claims and cross claims against defendant
CRANE PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. be, and the same are	
costs.  Dated: November 26, 2013  File	
Audrey M. Raphael, Esq. Logt A. Salard S. Log Levy PHILLIPS & KONIGSBERG, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff(s) 800 Third Avenue, 11 th Floor New York, NY 10022 (212) 605-6200  SO ORDERED, Hon. Shorry Rein Heitler	ERK'S OFFICE  YO Kirsten Afford Kneis, Esq. K&L GATES LLP Attorneys for Defendant CRAME PUMPS & SYSTEMS, INC. 599 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10022-6030 (212) 536-3900  DEC \$ 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK	
COUNTY OF NEW YORK	NYCAL
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 12 (Feinman, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190223/11
ROBERT N. WEXLER and BETSY WEXLER,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND
Plaintiffs,	ORDER
-against-	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et. al.,	
Defendants.	
х	

WHEREFORE, defendant AMERICAN VALVE, INC., requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant AMERICAN VALVE, INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant AMERICAN VALVE, INC., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: November 27 2013

DEC 23 2013

L. Burshtyn WEITZ & LUXENBERG P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

COUNTY CLERKS alvakir CE Ferlazzo

NEW YORKVIN & FERLAZZO, P.C.

Attorneys for Defendant

American Valve, Inc.

20 Corporate Woods Blvd. Albany, New York 12211

SO ORDERED,

than story Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

DEC \$2013

PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF N COUNTY OF NEW YORK	JEW YORK
In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTOS LITI	Index No.: 190018/11 IGATION
RICHARD J. OTTALAGANO, Plaint	tiff(s), NO OPPOSITION
- against -	SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,	
Defer	ndants.
WHEREFORE, Defendant WEIL-	-MCLAIN hereby requests Summary Judgment in the
-	Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
	Weil-McLain with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,	
•	all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims agains
	are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without cost
to either party.	
New York New York	23 2013
COUNTY	CLERK'S UFFICE IEWYORK .
Dana Northeraft, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.	Talene N. Megerian, Esq. SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE
Attorney for the Plaintiffs	SINGER & MAHONEY, LTD.
700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	Attorneys for Defendant Weil-McLain
(212) 558-5500	850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100
	New York, NY 10022 (212) 651-7500
$\sim$	, (212) 031-7300 , (212) 031-7300
SO ORDERED,	
Hon. Sherry Hon	tler RECEIVED
	DEC \$ 2012
	PART 30
	· 7K1 30

COUNTY OF NEW YORK		
In Re: NEW YORK CITYASBESTO	S LITIGATION	Index No.: 190201/11
WALTER J. SKALSKI, - against -	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT
WEIL-MCLAIN, et al.,		MOTION
	Defendants.	
WHEREFORE, Defendant	WEIL-MCLAIN he	reby requests Summary Judgment in the
above-entitled case, pursuant to	Civil Practice Law	and Rules Section 3212, dismissing
plaintiff's complaint against Defe	ndant Weil-McLain	with prejudice, and there being no
opposition thereto,		
**	ce to all co-defenda	nts, all claims and cross claims against
•		mi sed with prejudice and without costs
to either party.	EILE	mased with projudice and without costs
to either party.		
Dated: 15, 2013 New York, New York	DEC 23 2013	} / ∪
Ann	COUNTY CLERK	
Dana Northcraft, Esq.		Budner, Esq.
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. Attorney for the Plaintiffs		IcCAMBRIDGE & MAHONEY, LTD.
700 Broadway	Attorneys	for Defendant
New York, NY 10003	Weil-McLa	
(212) 558-5500		Avenue, Suite 1100, NY 10022
	(212) 651-	
$\lambda(I)$	41	DEC \$ 2013
SO ORDERED,		
Hon, Sherry/K	eils Heitler	PART 30

PAKI 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE O COUNTY OF NEW YORK				
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION				
WILLIAM L. MORITZ and ELIZAB MORITZ,	ETH	Index No.: 190294/	11	
-against-	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDG MOTION AND OF		
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS	CO., et al.,			
	Defendants.	Hon. Sherry Klein H	leitler	
WHEREFORE, Defendant BV	V/IP, Inc., hereby re	equests Summary Judg	ment in t	he above-
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice			plaintiff's	complaint
against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejugate			T	Defendent
BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby		die a d without costs to	_	
Dated: New York, New York  The first state of the f	SEC 23 201			
	OUNTY CLERK' NEW YOR	Ma Dl	1	_
David Chandler, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	SEGAL MAHO Attorne 850 Thi	. Guerriero , Esq. McCAMBRIDGE SIN NEY, LTD. ys for Defendant rd Avenue, Suite 1100 ork, New York 10022	GER &	
SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry Kiel I	Heitler Te			me of the second
	1		DEC	<b>3</b> 2013

IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	- 4 40004044
RICHARD J. OTTALAGANO, SR. and SHARON ANN OTTALAGANO,	Index No.: 190018/11
Plaintiff(s), -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AIR & LIQUID SYSTEMS CORPORATION, as successor-by-merger to BUFFALO PUMPS, et al.,	
Defendants.	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby	y requests summary judgment in the above
and the discussion of the Chail Departure I am and Dulan (	
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules	Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complain
•	
against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there be ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants	eing no opposition thereto,
against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there b	eing no opposition thereto, , all claims and cross claims against Defendant
against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there be ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with present the present the same are hereby dismissed with present the same are hereby dismissed.	, all claims and cross claims against Defendant
against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there be ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with present the present the same are hereby dismissed with present the same are hereby dismissed.	eing no opposition thereto, , all claims and cross claims against Defendant
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with properties. New York, New York	eing no opposition thereto,  , all claims and cross claims against Defendant ejudice and witho poss to either party.  DEC 23 2013
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants  BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with properties.  Dated: New York, New York  Oct (, wi)	peing no opposition thereto,  all claims and cross claims against Defendant  ejudice and witho person either party.  DEC 23 2013  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  NEW YORK
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants  BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with pr  Dated: New York, New York  Och (, w)  Dana Northcraft, Esq.	eing no opposition thereto,  , all claims and cross claims against Defendant ejudice and witho DEC 23 2013  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  NEW YORK  Eder, Esq.
against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there be ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with produced in the same are hereby dismissed with prod	peing no opposition thereto,  all claims and cross claims against Defendant  ejudice and witho peops to either party.  DEC 23 2013  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE  NEW YORK  Eder, Esq.  GAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & HONEY, LTD.
against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there be ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with properties. New York, New York  OCA (, U)  Dana Northcraft, Esq.  WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiff  700 Broadway	DEC 23 2013  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK  Eder, Esq. GAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & HONEY, LTD. orneys for Defendant
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants  BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with pr  Dated: New York, New York  OCA (, U)  Dana Northcraft, Esq.  WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiff  700 Broadway  New York, NY 10003	DEC 23 2013  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK  Eder, Esq. GAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & HONEY, LTD. orneys for Defendant Third Avenue, Suite 1100 York, New York 10022
against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there be ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with properties. New York, New York  OCA (, W)  Dana Northcraft, Esq.  WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003  New	DEC 23 2013  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK  Eder, Esq. GAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & HONEY, LTD. orneys for Defendant Third Avenue, Suite 1100
against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there be ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with properties. New York, New York  Och (, w)  Dana Northcraft, Esq.  WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.  Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway  New York, NY 10003	DEC 23 2013  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK  Eder, Esq. GAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & HONEY, LTD. orneys for Defendant Third Avenue, Suite 1100 York, New York 10022

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
WILLIAM DELGADO and MARGARET DELGADO,	) Index No. 190401/2012
Plaintiff,	) STIPULATION ) DISCONTINUING ACTION
-against-	) WITH PREJUDICE
A. L. EASTMOND & SONS, individually, and as successor to Easco Boiler Corp., et al.	) )
Defendants.	) )

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the undersigned, the attorneys of record for the plaintiff and defendant named below in the above entitled action, that whereas no party hereto is an infant, incompetent person for whom a committee has been appointed or conservatee and no person not a party has an interest in the subject matter of the action, the above entitled action be, and the same hereby is discontinued, in accordance with the terms of the accompanying release, with prejudice as to ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. without costs to any party as against the other. This stipulation may be filed without further notice with the Clerk of the Court.

) Order

Dated: New York, New York August 26, 2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

LASORSA & BENEVENTANO

BELLUCK & FOX, LLP

Joseph W. Belluck, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff

546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor

New York, New York 10036

Thomas Beneventano, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant

3 Barker Avenue, 2nd Floor

White Plains, New York 10601

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	- x Index No: 190331/12
Samuel DeMarco and Josephine DeMarco,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant BURNHAM LLC here above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law a plaintiffs' complaint against defendants BURNHAM LI opposition thereto,  ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendant	eby requests summary judgment in the and Rules Section 3212, dismissing a contract of the cont
defendant <b>BURNHAM LLC</b> , be and the same are hereby costs.	dismissed with prejudice and without
Dated: 11 26 16 PEC 23 2013  Jordan Fox, Esq. DEC 23 2013	
Jordan Fox, Esq.  BELLUCK & FOX LLP  Attorney for Plaintiff 546 Fifth Avenue  New York, NY 10036	WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ, EDELMAN & DICKER LLP Attorney for Defendant BURNHAM LLC
$\bigcirc \mathcal{M}$	150 East 42 nd Street New York, New York 10017 212-490-3000 File No. 14501.00044
SO ORDERED,  Hon. Sherry K. Heitler	PEC 0 4 2013 PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
This Document Relates To:	Index No: 190281/12
Robert Germain Sr.	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WHEREFORE, defendant <b>TRMI-H LLC</b> , incorrectly s/h/a (hereinafter <b>TRMI-H LLC</b> ), hereby requests summary judgment in to case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismonoposition thereto,	he above-entitled issing plaintiffs'
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims against defendant TRMI-H LLC, be and the same are hereby dismissed and without costs.  Dated:  DEC 23 2013  TEMI-E EVANA, Esq.  WILSON, ELSER, EDELMAN & DICTATION OF TRMI-H LLC  150 East 42 nd Street  New York, NY 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	MOSKOWITZ, KER LLP
SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry K. Heitler	PART 30
5784725v.1	

IN RE NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	x NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. Part 30
	(Heitler, J.)
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	Index No. 190477/12
MAX HENAO	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
WWW.DECORE D. C. 1 . M. D. 1 I	x
WHEREFORE, Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc	
above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law as	nd Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff
complaint against defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., with	prejudice, and there being no opposition
thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defend	ants, all claims and cross-claims against
defendant Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same are her	
costs.	LED
Dated: New York, New York  New York, New York  2013	C 23 2013
COUNT	OLERKS OFFICE
	NEW YORK
Peter Tambini, Esq. Ro	sario Chetta, Esq.
· A	ALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
•	orneys for Defendant
	rse Diesel, Inc.
•	Broadway, Suite 600
•	w York, New York 10038
(212) 558-5500 (21	2) 791-0285
$\times 17111$	
SO ORDERED, Date of the Date o	ted:



	E COURT OF THE STATE OF NI OF NEW YORK	
IN RE	NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, J.)
THIS DOO	CUMENT RELATES TO:	x Index Nos.: 190380/2012
ROBERT W. KASCHERES and LISSETTE KASCHERES		NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
		sel, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's
-	against defendant Morse Diesel, In	c., with prejudice, and there being no opposition
thereto,		
OR	DERED, that upon notice to all co	defendants, all claims and cross-claims against
defendant costs.	Morse Diesel, Inc., be and the same	e are hereby dismissed with prejudice and with ut
Dated:	New York, New York November 25, 2013	DEC 23 2013
		COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
Petere Tan	nbini, Esq.	Rosario Chetta, Esq.
	LUXENBERG, PC.	MALABY & BRADLEY, LLC
	for Plaintiff(s) Kascheres & Lissette Kascheres	Attorneys for Defendant Morse Diesel, Inc.
700 Broad		150 Broadway, Suite 600
New York,	New York 10003	New York, New York 10038
(212) 558-	5500	New York, New York 10038 (212) 791-0285
~~ ~~~		DEC 0 4 2013
SO ORDE	RED, Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler	Dated:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK JEANETTE DAUB, Index No: 190426/2012 Plaintiffs, NO OPPOSITION v. **SUMMARY JUDGMENT** A.L. EASTMOND & SONS, individually, and as successor to Easco MOTION AND ORDER Defendants. WHEREFORE, Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc. hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules §3212, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint against Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto. ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc. be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. DEC 23 2013 Dated: New York, New York December 4, 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE LONDON FISCHER LLPNEW YORK BELLUCK & FOX, L Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant Alfa Laval, Inc. Richard L. Mendelsohn, Esq. Fox, Esa. 546/Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor 59 Maiden Lane, 41st Floor New York, New York 10036 New York, New York 10038 Tel No.: (212) 681-1575 Tel No.: (212) 972-1000

{N0520679.1 }

So Ordered

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK ALL COUNTIES WITHIN NEW YORK CITY NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION (Judge Heitler) This Document Relates to: Index No.:190366/12 GLORIA MILLER and JOSEPH MILLER. NO-OPPOSITION Plaintiffs, SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER - against -AMERICAN BILTRITE INC., Individually and as successor to Amtico Floors, et al., Defendants. WHEREFORE, defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section §3212, dismissing plaintiffs' Complaint against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., INC., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto, ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING C be dismissed with prejudice and without costs. DEC 23 2013 Dated: New York, New York n/20_, 2013 COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK Kristen L. Morrissy, Esq. _, Esq. Belluck/&UFox Cullen and Dykman LLP Attorneys For Plaintiffs Attorneys for Defendant GLORIA & JOSEPH MILLER MARIO & DIBONO PLASTERING CO., 546 Fifth Avenue - 4th Floor INC. New York, New York 10036 44 Wall Street New York, New York 10005 Our File No.:10924-6734

Hon. Sherry K. Heitler

So Ordered:

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION This Document Relates to: MARGARET C. LARSEN, Individually and as

**Executrix of the Estate of STANLEY** CORNELIUS LARSEN, deceased,

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30 (Heitler, S.)

INDEX NO.: 190087/2012

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, Flowserve US, Inc., solely as successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and Edward Vogt Valve Company ("Flowserve US"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Flowserve US with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against

defendant Flowserve US be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs

Dated:

New York, New York , 2013

Jordan C. Fox, Esq. Belluck & Hox, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff

546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor

New York, New York 10036

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Brian Sorensen, Esq.

McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Flowserve US, Inc., solely a successor to Rockwell Manufacturing Company, Edward Valves, Inc., Nordstrom Valves, Inc., and

Edward Vogt Valve Company

88 Pine Street, 24th Floor

New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

DEC 23 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:
INDEX NO.: 190366/2012

GLORIA MILLER and JOSEPH MILLER

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND

**ORDER** 

WHEREFORE, Benjamin Moore & Company, individually and as Successor to Janovic/Plaza, Inc. ("Benjamin Moore"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Benjamin Moore with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Benjamin Moore be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without

costs.

Dated: New York, New York

COUNTY CLERK'S UTFICE NEW YORK

DEC 23 2013

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.

BELLUCK & FAX, LLP Attorneys for Plaintiffs

546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor

New York, New York 10036

Brian Sorensen, Esq.

McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Benjamin Moore

& Company

88 Pine Street, 24th Floor

New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION
I.A.S. Part 30
(Heitler, S.)

This Document Relates to:

MARGARET C. LARSEN, Individually and as Executrix of the Estate of STANLEY CORNELIUS LARSEN, deceased,

NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

INDEX NO.: 190087/2012

WHEREFORE, Benjamin Moore & Company, individually and as Successor to Janovic/Plaza, Inc. ("Benjamin Moore"), hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiff's Complaint against Benjamin Moore with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Benjamin Moore be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without

costs.

Dated:

w York, New York

.. 2013

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

Jordan C. Fox, Esq.

Belluck & Fox/ILE

Attorneys for Plaintiff

546 Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor New York, New York 10036 Brian Sorensen, Esq.

McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter, LLP Attorneys for Defendant Benjamin Moore

& Company

88 Pine Street, 24th Floor

New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED.

Hon Sherry Klein Neitler

nto A A 2013

Markey Commenced

X:CLB57342/legal/APRIL 2013 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORKX	
ABRAHAM FREUND,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY
Plaintiff(s),	JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
- against -	Index No.: 190355/12
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.  Defendants.	NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30
WHEREFORE 1.5. 1. A CLEANER PROOKS	
WHEREFORE, defendant CLEAVER BROOKS	s, INC., hereby requests summary
judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Pr	ractice Law and Rules Section 3212,
dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant CLEA	AVER BROOKS, INC., with prejudice,
and there being no opposition thereto,	
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants	s, all claims and cross claims against
defendant CLEAVER BROOKS, IC. be an eller and without costs  DEC 23 2013  COUNTY CLERKS OFF NEW YORK  John Ryan, I.sq.  Sha	
Attorney for Plaintiffs Belluck & Fox  Attorney for Plaintiffs Barry  Attorney for Plaintiffs Barry  Attorney for Plaintiffs Barry  Barry	orneys for CLEAVER BROOKS, INC. ry McTiernan & Moore ector Street, 14 th Floor
New York, NY 10036 New	v York, New York 10006
(212) 558-5500 (212)	2) 313-3600
SO ORDERED, Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler	

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY	-X NYCAL
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	I.A.S. PART 30
JOSEPHINE HARTNETT as Administratrix for the Estate of MORTIMER HARTNETT and JOSEPHINE HARTNETT, individually,	X (Heitler, J.)
Plaintiff(s),	INDEX NO. 190442/2012
-against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY  JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AMCHEM PRODUCTS INC., n/k/a RHONE POULENC AG COMPANY, n/k/a BAYER CROPSCIENCE INC., et al.,	; ;
Defendants.	X
WHEREFORE, defendant Standard Mo	tor Products Inc. hereby requests summary judgr
the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practic	ce Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plain
complaint against defendant Standard Motor Pro	oducts, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no op

nent in itiffs' pposition thereto;

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Standard Motor Products, Inc. b ereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

November 19, 2013

DEC 23 2013

**NEW YORK** 

Benjamin Darche, Esq.

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, NY 10039

212558-5500

Attorneys for Plaintiff's

Josephine Hartnett as Administratrix for the Estate of Mortimer Hartnett and

Josephine Hartnett, individually

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFIC Richard P. O'Leary, Esq.

Troutman Sanders, LLP The Chrysler Building

405 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10174-0700

212-704-6000

Attorneys for Defendant

Standard Motor Products, Inc.

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

DEC 3 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK		NYCAL IAS Part 30
IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	X	(Heitler, J.)
THEODORE LEVY and MERLE LEVY		INDEX NO: 190326/2012
-against-	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS, et al.	Defendant(s)	AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant Armstrong International, Inc. hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Armstrong International, Inc. with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

Ordered, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Armstrong International Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

Belluck & Fox LLP Attorney for Plaintiff

546 Fifth Avenue – 4th Floor New York, NY 10036

Tel: (212) 681-1575

Y CLERK I Sorsa & Beneventano
NEW YORK STATES OF THE STATE Attorney for Defendant

> ARMSTRONG INTERNATIONAL, INC. 3 Barker Avenue, White Plains, NY 10601

Tel: (914) 682-3300

SO ORDERED,

RECEIVED

DEC 3 2013

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE COUNTY OF NEW YORK	J	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION		Index No.: 190085/12
ELLEN HANNA, as Executrix for the Estate of LAWRENCE R. HANNA, and ELLEN HANNA, Individually,		mdex 140 190003/12
-against-	Plaintiff(s),	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.	., et al.,	
	Defendants.	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby requests Summary Judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Sections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against Defendant, BW/IP, Inc., be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs to either party.

Dated: New York, New York

FILED

DEC 23 2013

David Chandler, Esq. WEITZ & LUXENBERG Attorneys for Plaintiff 700 Broadway

New York, NY 10003

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW NORKErriero, Esq.

SEGAL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &

MAHONEY, LTD. Attorneys for Defendant 850 Third Avenue, Suite 1100

New York, New York 10022

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler

RECEIVED

DEC 3 2013

COUNTY OF NEW YORKx IN RE: NEW YORK CITY	
ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
MICHAEL T. WALSH,	Index No.: 190483/12
Plaintiff(s), -against-	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.	
Defendants.	Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
WHEREFORE, Defendant BW/IP, Inc., hereby	requests Summary Judgment in the above-
entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules S	ections 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint
against Defendant BW/IP, Inc., with prejudice, and there be	eing no opposition thereto,
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants,	all claims and cross claims against Defendant
	an elemin and along eleming ablitude Sciencestel
DW//D the he and the same are knowledged out have	studies and utilizant and to lither and
	ejudice and without costs to either party.
	sjudice and without costs to either party.
	sjudice and without costs to either party.
	sjudice and without costs to either party.
Dated: New York, New York  11/11/13  Address of the State	122el
Dated: New York, New York  11/11/13  Kush Shukla, Esq.  John	M. Guerriero, Esq AL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER &
Control of the Contro	M. Guerriero, Esq AL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & HONEY, LTD.
Control of the Contro	M. Guerriero, Esq AL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & HONEY, LTD.
Control of the Contro	M. Guerriero, Esq AL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & HONEY, LTD. rneys for Deformant Third Avenue Saite 1400 York, New York 16022
WILENTZ GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.  Attorneys for Plaintiff  110 William Street, 26 th Floor  New York, NY 10038  New	M. Guerriero, Esq AL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & HONEY, LTD. Theys for Defendant Third Avenue South 1100 York, New York 16022
Cush Shukla, Esq.  WILENTZ GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.  Attorneys for Plaintiff 110 William Street, 26th Floor New York, NY 10038  SO ORDERED,  Hon Shakrak and Fairer	M. Guerriero, Esq AL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & HONEY, LTD. rneys for Deformant Third Avenue Saita 1100 York, New York 16022
Compared to the compared to th	M. Guerriero, Esq AL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & HONEY, LTD. Theys for Defendant Third Avenue Saite 1100 York, New York 16022  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK
Cush Shukla, Esq.  WILENTZ GOLDMAN & SPITZER, P.A.  Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Plaintiff New York, NY 10038  SO ORDERED,  Hon Sharrak and Linear	M. Guerriero, Esq AL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & HONEY, LTD. rneys for Deformant Third Avenue Saita 1100 York, New York 16022
Compared: New York, New York	M. Guerriero, Esq AL McCAMBRIDGE SINGER & HONEY, LTD. Theys for Defending Third Avenue South 1000 York, New York 16022  CC 23 2013  COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE NEW YORK

## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

**NYCAL** IAS Part 30 (Heitler, J.

IN RE NEW YORK COUNTY ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ARMANDO GIACHIN

INDEX NO: 190506/12 Plaintiff(s),

-against-

NO OPPOSITION **SUMMARY** JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER

ADSCO MANUFACTURING LLC (Individually and as Successor to American Steam District Company), et al

Defendant(s)

WHEREFORE, defendant Armstrong International, Inc. (Individually and as Successor to Armstrong Machine Works) hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled action, pursuant to CPLR 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Armstrong International, Inc. (Individually and as Successor to Armstrong Machine Works) with prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Armstrong International Inc. (Individually and as Successor to Armstrong Machine Works) be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Dated: White Plains, New York

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLERK'S

EARLY, LUCARELLI, SWEENEY &

, 2013

STRAUSS

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

360 Lexington Avenue, 20TH FLOOR

New York, NY 10017

(212) 986-2233

LaSorsa & Beneventano

NEW YOUTHOMAS M. BENEVENT

Attorney for Defendant Armstrong International, Inc. (S/h/a Armstrong International, Inc., Individually and as Successor to Armstrong Machine Works)

3 Barker Ave., White Plains NY 10601

SO ORDERED,

Hon. Sherry eitler

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

THOMAS HOUSTON and ELLEN HOUSTON,

Index No.: 190119/12

Plaintiffs,

NO OPPOSITION

against-

SPMMARY I I DEMENT MOTTON AND ORDER

A.O. SMITH CORPORATION, et al.,

NYCAL I.A.S. Part 30

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, LEON D. DEMATTEIS CONSTRUCTION

CORPORATION, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs complaint against defendant, LEON D. DEMATTEIS CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, with prejudice, and there being no apposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant LEON D. DEMATTEIS CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION, be and the same are

hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

Hon Sheny Klein feile

iasommhhobrinsky, esq PPE LANIER LAWAIRM, PLLC Afterneys for the Plaintiffs Date ALOTE SHOULD SEEM OF ELEEM HOUSTON 126 East 56th Street, 6th Floor New York, New York 1002

Tel.: (212) 421 - 2800

SO ORDERED

IOSE**PH**DIGREGORIO, ESO... BARRY, MCT/ERNAN & MOORE, LLC

Aridmevs for the Defendant

LEON-DEMATTERS CONSTRUCTION CORP

2 Rector Street, 14th Floor New York, New York 10006

Tel.: (212) 313 - 3600 Our File No.: DCC58512

DEC 23 2013

**COUNTY CLE** 

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATION	
This Document Relates To	: :
WILLIAM WHELAN	:
	X

WHEREFORE, defendant Kelly-Moore Paint Company, Inc. ("Kelly-Moore") hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant Kelly-Moore with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto.

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross-claims against defendant Kelly-Moore be and the same are hereby dismissed with prejudice and without costs.

CLERK'S OFF

Dated: New York, New York April 18, 2013

DEC 23 2013

Attorney for Plaintiff

Daniel Wasserberg

Weitz & Luxenberg, P.C.

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

(212) 558-5500

Attørney for Defendant Kelly-Moore

John C. Canoni

Hawkins Parnell Thackston & Young LLP

90 Broad Street, 9th Floor

New York, New York 10004

(212) 897-9655

SO ORDERED:

supulate Tregeral
11-4-13 Klein Heitler Hon. Sherr

NOV 0 4 2013

315-13/TMC SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YOR COUNTY OF NEW YORKX	К
IN RE: NEW YORK CITY ASBESTOS LITIGATIONX	NYCAL
RICHARD FALLON,	Index No.: 190156-13
Plaintiff,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AND ORDER
-against-	
A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,	
Defendants.	
WHEREFORE, Defendant, DONJON MA summary judgment in the above entitled case, Rules §3212, dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint ag CO. INC., only, with prejudice, and there being r	pursuant to Civil Practice Law and ainst Defendant, <b>DONJON MARINE</b>
ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-Defeagainst Defendant, DONJON MARINE CO. INC, of dismissed with prejudice and without costs.	
Dated: New York, New York November 19, 2013 DEC 23	2013
COUNTY CLER	K'S OFFICE
A NEW Y	ORK
WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C. FRE Attorneys for Plaintiff Atto	mas M. Canevari, Esq.  EHILL HOGAN & MAHAR LLP  orneys for Defendant  NJON MARINE CO. INC.
700 Broadway 80 I	Pine Street V York, NY 10005
SO ORDERED:  Hon Sherry Klein Heitl	ACCENTAGE OF
409547.1	DEC 0 4 2013 PART 30

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK	New York City Asbestos
VINCENT J. AMADO,  Plaintiff,	Litigation NYCAL
-against-	Index No. 190170/2013
MINNESOTA MINING AND MANUFACTURING CO., et al.,	NO OPPOSITION SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
Defendants.	AND ORDER

WHEREFORE, defendant, 3M Company, hereby requests summary judgment in the above-entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules Section 3212, dismissing plaintiffs' complaint against defendant, 3M Company, without prejudice, and there being no opposition thereto,

**ORDERED**, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant, 3M Company, be and the same are hereby dismissed without prejudice and without costs.

Dated: New York, New York

Laurence V. Nassif, Esq.

SEEGER WEISS LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

77 Water Street, 26th Floor

New York, New York 10005

SO ORDERED:

Honorable Sherry Klein Heitler

Timothy J. McHugh, Esq.

LAVIN, O'NEIL, RICCI, CEDRONE &

DISTPIO

Attorneys for Defendant

3M Company

420 Lexington Avenue, Suite 335

New York, New York 10170

(212) 319-6898

DEC 23 2013

COUNTY CLE VEFICE

DEC 0 4 2013

F-KI 30



## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

IN RE: NEW YORK COUNTY
ASBESTOS LITIGATION

ANTHANASIOS KOSTARIDIS and ASIMENI KOSTARIDIS,

Plaintiffs.

-against-

Index No.: 190361/2013

NO OPPOSITION
SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION
AND ORDER AS TO DEFENDANT
KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.

A.O. SMITH WATER PRODUCTS CO., et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREFORE, defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., hereby requests summary judgment in the above entitled case, pursuant to Civil Practice Law and Rules § 3212, dismissing plaintiff's complaint against defendant, Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., with prejudice in this action, and there being no opposition thereto,

ORDERED, that upon notice to all co-defendants, all claims and cross claims against defendant Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc., real of the same are creby dismissed with prejudice and without costs. NFC 23 2013

Dated: New York, New York

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

Bhavna Changrani, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

KAISER GYPSUM COMPANY, INC.

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

77 Water Street, 21st Floor

New York, New York 10005

212.232.1300

File No. 29510.1092

Ben Darche, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

ANTHANASIOS KOSTARIDIS and

ASIMENI KOSTARIDIS

WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC

700 Broadway

New York, New York 10003

212.558.5500

SO ORDERED.

Hon! Sheav Klein Heitler

DEC 6 4 2013