

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK - NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Administrative Order

PARKER CROWELL, on Behalf of
Himself and All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

INDEX NO. 650358/2013

KEVIN J. RIORDAN, et al.,

Defendants,

Administrative Order:

By letter dated February 28, 2013, counsel for defendants CreXus Investment Corp., Patrick J. Corcoran, Robert B. Eastep, and Nancy Jo Kuentner requests that this action, and the related actions, Cremona v. CreXus Investment Corp., et al., Index No. 653972/2012, and Sosnovich v. Corcoran, et al., Index No. 654027/2012, be reassigned from I.A.S. Part 17 (Hagler, J.) to the Commercial Division pursuant to Uniform Rule 202.70. Plaintiff does not object to this timely request, and to date, no opposition has been received from the other defendants.

This securities class-action alleges breach of fiduciary duties, as well as aiding and abetting in such breach, and seeks to enjoin a proposed corporate acquisition valued at approximately \$1 billion. Thus, it falls clearly within the standards for assignment of cases to the Commercial Division (see Uniform Rule 202.70 [b] [1], [5]).

The request for re-assignment is granted, and the Motion Support Office is directed to re-assign this case at random to a Justice of the Commercial Division (A motion to dismiss and a motion to consolidate are currently returnable in the E-Filed Submissions Part on March 14, 2013.)

Dated: March 6, 2013

ENTER Sherry Klein Heitler, A.J.

Check one: FINAL DISPOSITION NON-FINAL DISPOSITION

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK - NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Administrative Order

CHRISTOPHER CREMONA, on Behalf of
Himself and All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

INDEX NO. 653972/2012

CREXUS INVESTMENT CORP., et al.,

Defendants,


Administrative Order:

By letter dated February 28, 2013, counsel for defendants CreXus Investment Corp., Patrick J. Corcoran, Robert B. Eastep, and Nancy Jo Kuenstner requests that this action, and the related actions, Sosnovich v. Corcoran, et al., Index No. 654027/2012, and Crowell v. Riordan, et al., Index No. 650358/2013, be re-assigned from I.A.S. Part 17 (Hagler, J.) to the Commercial Division pursuant to Uniform Rule 202.70. Plaintiff does not object to this timely request, and to date, no opposition has been received from the other defendants.

This securities class-action and shareholder derivative action alleges breach of fiduciary duties, as well as aiding and abetting in such breach, and seeks to enjoin a proposed corporate acquisition valued at approximately \$1 billion. Thus, it falls clearly within the standards for assignment of cases to the Commercial Division (see Uniform Rule 202.70 [b] [1], [4], [5]).

The request for re-assignment is granted, and the Motion Support Office is directed to re-assign this case at random to a Justice of the Commercial Division (A motion to dismiss is currently returnable in the E-Filed Submissions Part on March 14, 2013.)

Dated: March 6, 2013

ENTER: , A.J.

Check one: FINAL DISPOSITION NON-FINAL DISPOSITION

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK - NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: Hon. Sherry Klein Heitler
Administrative Order

GARY SOSNOVICH, on Behalf of
Itself and All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

-against-

INDEX NO. 654027/2012

PATRICK J. CORCORAN, et al.,

Defendants,

Administrative Order:

By letter dated February 28, 2013, counsel for defendants CreXus Investment Corp., Patrick J. Corcoran, Robert B. Eastep, and Nancy Jo Kuenstner requests that this action, and the related actions, Cremona v. CreXus Investment Corp., et al., Index No. 653972/2012, and Crowell v. Riordan, et al., Index No. 650358/2013, be reassigned from I.A.S. Part 17 (Hagler, J.) to the Commercial Division pursuant to Uniform Rule 202.70. Plaintiff does not object to this timely request, and to date, no opposition has been received from the other defendants.

This securities class-action alleges breach of fiduciary duties, as well as aiding and abetting in such breach, and seeks to enjoin a proposed corporate acquisition valued at approximately \$1 billion. Thus, it falls clearly within the standards for assignment of cases to the Commercial Division (see Uniform Rule 202.70 [b] [1], [5]).

The request for re-assignment is granted, and the Motion Support Office is directed to re-assign this case at random to a Justice of the Commercial Division (A motion to dismiss is currently returnable in the E-Filed Submissions Part on March 14, 2013.)

Dated: March 6, 2013

ENTER: Sherry Klein Heitler, A.J.

Check one: FINAL DISPOSITION NON-FINAL DISPOSITION