

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK — NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: Hon. JACQUELINE W. SILBERMANN PART _____
Administrative Judge Justice

L. Anthony Joseph, Jr.

INDEX NO. 105850/2003
MOTION DATE _____
MOTION SEQ. NO. _____
MOTION CAL. NO. _____

- v -

Milbank, Tweed, Hadley + McCloy LLP

The following papers, numbered 1 to _____ were read on this motion to/for _____

	PAPERS NUMBERED
Notice of Motion/ Order to Show Cause — Affidavits — Exhibits ...	_____
Answering Affidavits — Exhibits _____	_____
Replying Affidavits _____	_____

Cross-Motion: Yes No

~~Upon the foregoing papers, it is ordered that this motion~~

MOTION/CASE IS RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO
JUSTICE
DATED: _____ J.S.C.

The respondent has requested an assignment of this matter to the Commercial Division. It appears that the respondent made this request promptly and prior to its being aware of any assignment having taken place. No action has been taken on the application at this point, which is pending in the Motion Support Office Courtroom (Room 130). Although petitioner did not initially request a commercial assignment, it appears that he has not opposed respondent's request. The matter involves a partnership dispute and thus is within the subject matter of the Division. The matter involves over \$ 4 million dollars and appears to be unusually complicated. Accordingly, the Motion Support Office is directed to assign this matter at random to a Commercial Division Justice. See Paragraph E of the Guidelines for Assignment of Cases to the Commercial Division.

Dated: 4/10/03


J.S.C.

Check one: FINAL DISPOSITION NON-FINAL DISPOSITION

JACQUELINE W. SILBERMANN