## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

## 1132

## TP 13-00486

PRESENT: CENTRA, J.P., FAHEY, CARNI, SCONIERS, AND VALENTINO, JJ.

IN THE MATTER OF PAMELA MARCOTTE, PETITIONER,

7.7

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

PAUL HOLAHAN, COMMISSIONER OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES AND CITY OF ROCHESTER, RESPONDENTS.

CHAMBERLAIN D'AMANDA OPPENHEIMER & GREENFIELD LLP, ROCHESTER (MATTHEW J. FUSCO OF COUNSEL), FOR PETITIONER.

ROBERT J. BERGIN, CORPORATION COUNSEL, ROCHESTER (YVETTE CHANCELLOR GREEN OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENTS.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Proceeding pursuant to CPLR article 78 (transferred to the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court in the Fourth Judicial Department by order of the Supreme Court, Monroe County [Evelyn Frazee, J.], entered March 18, 2013) to annul a determination finding petitioner guilty of specified acts of misconduct and imposing a penalty of demotion.

It is hereby ORDERED that the determination is unanimously confirmed without costs and the petition is dismissed.

Memorandum: Petitioner, an employee of respondent City of Rochester, commenced this CPLR article 78 proceeding seeking to annul the determination finding her guilty of specified acts of misconduct and imposing a penalty of demotion. Contrary to petitioner's contention, the determination is supported by substantial evidence, i.e., "such relevant proof as a reasonable mind may accept as adequate to support a conclusion or ultimate fact" (300 Gramatan Ave. Assoc. v State Div. of Human Rights, 45 NY2d 176, 180). Additionally, we conclude that the penalty of demotion "is not so disproportionate to the offense[s] as to be shocking to one's sense of fairness, and thus does not constitute an abuse of discretion as a matter of law" (Matter of Szczepaniak v City of Rochester, 101 AD3d 1620, 1621 [internal quotation marks omitted]).

Entered: November 8, 2013 Frances E. Cafarell Clerk of the Court