## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

521

CA 22-00538

PRESENT: WHALEN, P.J., PERADOTTO, BANNISTER, MONTOUR, AND GREENWOOD, JJ.

IN THE MATTER OF SAVE MONROE AVE., INC., 2900 MONROE AVE., LLC, CLIFFORDS OF PITTSFORD, L.P., ELEXCO LAND SERVICES, INC., JULIA D. KOPP, MARK BOYLAN, ANNE BOYLAN AND STEVEN M. DEPERRIOR, PETITIONERS-APPELLANTS,

> 7.7 ORDER

TOWN OF BRIGHTON, NEW YORK OFFICE OF THE BUILDING INSPECTOR, RAMSEY BOEHNER, IN HIS CAPACITY AS BUILDING INSPECTOR, TOWN OF BRIGHTON ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS, TOWN OF BRIGHTON, DANIELE MANAGEMENT, LLC, DANIELE SPC, LLC, MUCCA MUCCA, LLC, MARDANTH ENTERPRISES, INC., AND M&F, LLC, RESPONDENTS-RESPONDENTS.

(PROCEEDING NO. 1.)

\_\_\_\_\_\_

IN THE MATTER OF BRIGHTON GRASSROOTS, LLC, PETITIONER-APPELLANT,

V

TOWN OF BRIGHTON ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS, TOWN OF BRIGHTON, NEW YORK OFFICE OF THE BUILDING INSPECTOR, TOWN OF BRIGHTON, M&F, LLC, DANIELE SPC, LLC, MUCCA MUCCA, LLC, MARDANTH ENTERPRISES, INC., DANIELE MANAGEMENT, LLC, COLLECTIVELY DOING BUSINESS AS DANIELE FAMILY COMPANIES, RESPONDENTS-RESPONDENTS, ET AL., RESPONDENTS. (PROCEEDING NO. 2.) (APPEAL NO. 4.)

HODGSON RUSS LLP, BUFFALO (AARON M. SAYKIN OF COUNSEL), FOR PETITIONERS-APPELLANTS IN PROCEEDING NO. 1.

THE ZOGHLIN GROUP, PLLC, ROCHESTER (MINDY L. ZOGHLIN OF COUNSEL), FOR PETITIONER-APPELLANT IN PROCEEDING NO. 2.

WEAVER MANCUSO BRIGHTMAN PLLC, ROCHESTER (JOHN A. MANCUSO OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENTS-RESPONDENTS TOWN OF BRIGHTON, NEW YORK OFFICE OF THE BUILDING INSPECTOR, RAMSEY BOEHNER, IN HIS CAPACITY AS BUILDING INSPECTOR, TOWN OF BRIGHTON ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS, AND TOWN OF BRIGHTON.

WOODS OVIATT GILMAN LLP, ROCHESTER (JOHN C. NUTTER OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENTS-RESPONDENTS DANIELE MANAGEMENT, LLC, DANIELE SPC, LLC, MUCCA MUCCA, LLC, MARDANTH ENTERPRISES, INC., AND M&F, LLC.

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeals from an order of the Supreme Court, Monroe County (J. Scott Odorisi, J.), entered March 5, 2022, in proceedings pursuant to CPLR article 78. The order dismissed the petitions.

It is hereby ORDERED that said appeals are unanimously dismissed without costs (see Hughes v Nussbaumer, Clarke & Velzy, 140 AD2d 988, 988 [4th Dept 1988]; Chase Manhattan Bank, N.A. v Roberts & Roberts, 63 AD2d 566, 567 [1st Dept 1978]; see also CPLR 5501 [a] [1]).