

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK**  
*Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department*

73

**CAF 25-00616**

PRESENT: CURRAN, J.P., BANNISTER, SMITH, OGDEN, AND DELCONTE, JJ.

---

IN THE MATTER OF JEFFREY R. HARPER, ESQ.,  
PETITIONER-RESPONDENT,

V

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

BRENT M. SNOW, RESPONDENT-APPELLANT,  
AND BRANDIE SALISBURY, RESPONDENT-RESPONDENT.

---

MICHAEL STEINBERG, ROCHESTER, FOR RESPONDENT-APPELLANT.

KAMAN BERLOVE LLP, ROCHESTER (GARY MULDOON OF COUNSEL), FOR  
PETITIONER-RESPONDENT.

ANDREW J. DIPASQUALE, ROCHESTER, FOR RESPONDENT-RESPONDENT.

---

Appeal from a decision of the Family Court, Wayne County (Arthur B. Williams, J.), entered October 10, 2024, in a proceeding pursuant to Family Court Act article 6. The decision, among other things, modified the visitation provisions of a prior order.

It is hereby ORDERED that said appeal is unanimously dismissed without costs.

Memorandum: In this proceeding pursuant to Family Court Act article 6, respondent father purports to appeal from a document denominated "Memorandum-Decision" that modified the visitation provisions of a prior order of custody and visitation. We dismiss the appeal. "[N]o appeal lies from a [mere] decision" (*Gunn v Palmieri*, 86 NY2d 830, 830 [1995]; see *Garcia v Town of Tonawanda*, 194 AD3d 1479, 1479-1480 [4th Dept 2021]). The document here is, on its face, a mere decision (see generally CPLR 2219 [a]), and it states in the penultimate sentence that petitioner "shall draft and submit a proposed [o]rder consistent with this decision" (see *Pino v Harnischfeger*, 42 AD3d 980, 982 [4th Dept 2007]).

Entered: February 11, 2026

Ann Dillon Flynn  
Clerk of the Court