## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

## 685

KA 16-00329

PRESENT: WHALEN, P.J., CENTRA, LINDLEY, TROUTMAN, AND SCUDDER, JJ.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, RESPONDENT,

V

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

MICHAEL MAIER, DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

TIMOTHY P. DONAHER, PUBLIC DEFENDER, ROCHESTER (KIMBERLY F. DUGUAY OF COUNSEL), FOR DEFENDANT-APPELLANT.

SANDRA DOORLEY, DISTRICT ATTORNEY, ROCHESTER (NANCY GILLIGAN OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT.

------

Appeal from an order of the Monroe County Court (James J. Piampiano, J.), entered January 19, 2016. The order determined that defendant is a level two risk pursuant to the Sex Offender Registration Act.

It is hereby ORDERED that the order so appealed from is unanimously affirmed without costs.

Memorandum: Defendant appeals from an order determining that he is a level two risk pursuant to the Sex Offender Registration Act (Correction Law § 168 et seq.). The Board of Examiners of Sex Offenders (Board) determined that defendant was a level one risk with a total risk factor score of 30, but it further determined that there were aggravating circumstances of a kind or to a degree not taken into account by the risk assessment guidelines, and the Board thus recommended an upward departure to a level two risk. Following a hearing, County Court recalculated defendant's presumptive risk level by assigning points under risk factor 3 (three or more victims) and 7 (relationship between offender and victims, i.e., strangers), resulting in a total risk factor score of 80, which is a level two risk.

We reject defendant's contention that the court erred in denying his request for a downward departure to a risk level one. Defendant failed to meet his initial burden of identifying and establishing mitigating factors that are not adequately taken into account by the risk assessment guidelines (*see People v Cooper*, 141 AD3d 710, 710-711, *lv denied* 28 NY3d 908).

Entered: June 9, 2017

Frances E. Cafarell Clerk of the Court