SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

778

CAF 19-00287

PRESENT: SMITH, J.P., NEMOYER, CURRAN, TROUTMAN, AND DEJOSEPH, JJ.

IN THE MATTER OF KIMBERLY CHATT, PETITIONER-APPELLANT,

V

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

JUSTIN T. ALLEN, RESPONDENT-RESPONDENT.

PAUL B. WATKINS, FAIRPORT, FOR PETITIONER-APPELLANT.

DIMATTEO & ROACH, ATTORNEYS AT LAW, WARSAW (DAVID M. ROACH OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT-RESPONDENT.

ALISON BATES, VICTOR, ATTORNEY FOR THE CHILD.

Appeal from an order of the Family Court, Genesee County (Eric R. Adams, J.), entered January 17, 2019 in a proceeding pursuant to Family Court Act article 6. The order, inter alia, ordered that custody of the subject child shall remain with respondent.

It is hereby ORDERED that the order so appealed from is unanimously affirmed without costs.

Memorandum: Petitioner mother appeals from an order that, inter alia, maintained custody of the subject child with respondent father. We affirm. Initially, we note that the parties do not dispute that the mother demonstrated a sufficient change in circumstances to warrant an inquiry into whether modification of the existing custody arrangement would be in the child's best interests (see Matter of Nordee v Nordee, 170 AD3d 1636, 1636-1637 [4th Dept 2019], lv denied 33 NY3d 909 [2019]; see generally Matter of DeVore v O'Harra-Gardner, 177 AD3d 1264, 1265 [4th Dept 2019]). Nevertheless, the determination of Family Court, following a hearing, that the best interests of the child would be served by an award of sole custody to the father is entitled to great deference (see Eschbach v Eschbach, 56 NY2d 167, 173 [1982]), particularly where, as here, the determination is based in part upon the court's " 'superior ability to evaluate the character and credibility of the witnesses' " with respect to, inter alia, allegations regarding domestic violence (Matter of Joyce S. v Robert W.S., 142 AD3d 1343, 1344 [4th Dept 2016], lv denied 29 NY3d 906 [2017]). Furthermore, contrary to the mother's contention, the record establishes that the court's determination has a sound and substantial basis in the record (see Matter of Clark v Kittles, 160 AD3d 1420,

1421 [4th Dept 2018], *lv denied* 31 NY3d 911 [2018]).

Entered: October 2, 2020

Mark W. Bennett Clerk of the Court