## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Appellate Division, Fourth Judicial Department

## 884

CA 22-00147

PRESENT: WHALEN, P.J., PERADOTTO, LINDLEY, WINSLOW, AND BANNISTER, JJ.

IN THE MATTER OF THE FORECLOSURE OF TAX LIENS BY PROCEEDING IN REM PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 11 OF THE REAL PROPERTY TAX LAW BY THE COUNTY OF ONTARIO, PETITIONER-APPELLANT,

V

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

JOHN MESSERVEY, RESPONDENT-RESPONDENT.

JASON S. DIPONZIO, ROCHESTER, FOR PETITIONER-APPELLANT.

WHITCOMB LAW FIRM, P.C., CANANDAIGUA (DAVID J. WHITCOMB OF COUNSEL), FOR RESPONDENT-RESPONDENT.

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from an order of the Supreme Court, Ontario County (Charles A. Schiano, Jr., J.), entered July 16, 2021. The order, among other things, granted the motion of respondent to vacate a default judgment of foreclosure.

It is hereby ORDERED that the order so appealed from is unanimously affirmed without costs.

Memorandum: In this in rem tax foreclosure proceeding pursuant to RPTL article 11, petitioner appeals from an order that, following a hearing, granted the motion of respondent by, among other things, vacating the default judgment of foreclosure against respondent's residential property. Contrary to petitioner's contention, we conclude that Supreme Court did not abuse its discretion in vacating the default judgment of foreclosure "for sufficient reason and in the interests of substantial justice" (Woodson v Mendon Leasing Corp., 100 NY2d 62, 68 [2003]; see Matter of County of Genesee [Butlak], 124 AD3d 1330, 1331 [4th Dept 2015], lv denied 25 NY3d 904 [2015]; see also Matter of County of Ontario [Lundquist 1996 Living Trust], 155 AD3d 1567, 1567-1568 [4th Dept 2017], lv denied 30 NY3d 912 [2018]; Matter of County of Ontario [Middlebrook], 59 AD3d 1065, 1065 [4th Dept 2009]). We have considered petitioner's remaining contentions and conclude that none warrants reversal or modification of the order.

Entered: November 18, 2022

Ann Dillon Flynn Clerk of the Court