State of New York

Court of Appeals

Decisions

December 13, 2022

CASES

No. 108 SSM 18

The People &c.,

Respondent,

v.

Jaime Cruz,

Appellant.

On review of submissions pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules, order reversed and accusatory instrument dismissed. As the People acknowledge, the accusatory instrument's misdemeanor count, to which defendant pleaded guilty, was facially deficient (*see People v Hill*, 38 NY3d 460, 462 [2022]). The People consent to dismissal of the remaining portion of the accusatory instrument. Acting Chief Judge Cannataro and Judges Rivera, Garcia, Wilson, Singas and Troutman concur.

3 No. 109 SSM 17

The People &c.,

Appellant,

V.

Michael Heiserman,

Respondent.

On review of submissions pursuant to section 500.11 of the Rules, order reversed and case remitted to the Appellate Division, Third Department, for consideration of the facts and issues raised but not determined on appeal to that Court, in a memorandum.

Acting Chief Judge Cannataro and Judges Rivera, Garcia, Wilson, Singas and Troutman concur.

2 No. 103

The People &c., Appellant,

v.

Rudolph Kaval,

Respondent.

Order reversed and case remitted to the Appellate Division, Second Department, for consideration of the facts and issues raised but not determined on appeal to that Court, in a memorandum.

Acting Chief Judge Cannataro and Judges Rivera, Garcia, Singas and Troutman concur.

Judge Wilson dissents and votes to affirm in an opinion.

No. 102

The People &c.,
Appellant,
v.
Anthony Lagano,
Respondent.

4 No. 98
The People &c.,
Respondent,
v.
Daniel Talluto,
Appellant.

Order reversed and case remitted to the Appellate Term of Supreme Court for the 2nd, 11th and 13th Judicial Districts, for a determination of the facts and issues raised but not determined on appeal to that Court (CPL 470.25 [2] [d]; 470.40 [2] [b]). Opinion by Judge Rivera. Acting Chief Judge Cannataro and Judges Garcia, Wilson, Singas and Troutman concur.

Order affirmed, without costs.
Opinion by Judge Troutman.
Acting Chief Judge Cannataro and Judges Rivera,
Garcia and Singas concur.
Judge Wilson dissents in an opinion.

MOTIONS

2 Mo. No. 2022-652

Courtney Anderson,

Respondent,

v.

Commack Fire District,

Appellant,

et al.,

Defendants.

2 SSD 48

In the Matter of Anonymous, &c.

Grievance Committee for the Second, Eleventh, and Thirteenth Judicial Districts,

Respondent;

Anonymous,

Appellant.

(App. Div. No. 2021-05514)

2 SSD 49

In the Matter of Anonymous, &c.

Grievance Committee for the Second, Eleventh, and Thirteenth Judicial Districts,

Respondent;

Anonymous,

Appellant.

(App. Div. No. 2021-08435)

2 Mo. No. 2022-812

Bank of America, N.A.,

Appellant,

v.

Andrew Kessler.

Respondent,

et al..

Defendants.

Motion by Association of Fire Districts of the State of New York for leave to file a brief amicus curiae on the appeal herein granted and the proposed brief is accepted as filed. Two copies of the brief must be served, an original and nine copies filed, and the brief submitted in digital format within seven days.

Appeal dismissed without costs, by the Court *sua sponte*, upon the ground that the order appealed from does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution.

Appeal dismissed without costs, by the Court *sua sponte*, upon the ground that the order appealed from does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution.

Motion by American Legal and Financial Network, et al. for leave to file a brief amici curiae on the appeal herein granted and the proposed brief is accepted as filed. Two copies of the brief must be served, an original and nine copies filed, and the brief submitted in digital format within seven days.

Mo. No. 2022-840 Motion by USFN - America's Mortgage Banking Attorneys for leave to file a brief amicus curiae on Bank of America, N.A., the appeal herein granted and the proposed brief is Appellant, accepted as filed. The brief must be submitted in v. digital format within seven days. Andrew Kessler, Respondent, et al., Defendants. SSD 50 Appeal dismissed without costs, by the Court sua sponte, upon the ground that no substantial In the Matter of Rosalie Cardinale, constitutional question is directly involved. Appellant, v. New York City Department of Education, Respondent. Mo. No. 2022-582 Motion for leave to appeal granted. In the Matter of Clifton Park Apartments, LLC, &c., et al., Respondents, New York State Division of Human Rights, Appellant, et al., Respondents. (And Another Related Proceeding.) Mo. No. 2022-748 Motion for leave to appeal denied. In the Matter of Wilson L. DeJesus, Respondent, v. Anne Blossick, Appellant. Mo. No. 2022-762 Motion for leave to appeal granted. The People &c., Respondent, v. Jean Dufresne,

Appellant.

2 Mo. No. 2022-807 The People &c., Respondent, v. Jorge Espinosa, Appellant. Motion for assignment of counsel granted and Patricia Pazner, Esq., Appellate Advocates, 111 John Street, 9th Floor, New York, NY 10038 assigned as counsel to the appellant on the appeal herein.

1 Mo. No. 2022-580 In the Matter of Judith E. Haskin, Appellant, v.

New York State Division of Housing and Community Renewal,
Respondent.

Stahl Associates, LLC, Intervenor-Respondent.

1 Mo. No. 2022-736 In the Matter of Tayeon J. et al., &c.

Selina T., Appellant, Dorian P.,

Respondent; Administration for Children's Services, Respondent.

1 Mo. No. 2022-701 In the Matter of Jerry Jones, Appellant,

New York City Transit Authority, et al., Respondents.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

4 Mo. No. 2022-372 In the Matter of the Adoption of Kayden.

Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic.

Misty G. et al., Respondents, v. Nicholas G.,

Appellant.

Mo. No. 2022-843

The People &c., Appellant,

V.

Patrick Labate, Respondent.

2 Mo. No. 2022-581 In the Matter of Shermel M., Appellant.

3 Mo. No. 2022-525 Daniel E. McAuliffe, Respondent, v. Maria D. McAuliffe,

Appellant.

4 Mo. No. 2022-795
The People &c.,
Respondent,
V.
Hanza Muhammad,
Appellant.

Motion for assignment of counsel granted and Patricia Pazner, Esq., Appellate Advocates, 111 John Street, 9th Floor, New York, NY 10038 assigned as counsel to the respondent on the appeal herein.

Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for assignment of counsel granted and Philip Rothschild, Esq., Hiscock Legal Aid Society, 351 South Warren Street, Syracuse, NY 13202 assigned as counsel to the appellant on the appeal herein.

Mo. No. 2022-839 Estate of Tayshana Murphy, &c., Appellant, New York City Housing Authority, Respondent, et al., Defendants.

Mo. No. 2022-810

The People &c., Respondent,

v.

4

Michael Myers, Appellant.

Mo. No. 2022-530 1

Walter Navedo et al.. Plaintiffs,

v.

VNO 225 West 58th Street LLC et al., Defendants.

VNO 225 West 58th Street LLC et al., Appellants,

v.

S.J. Electric, Inc., Respondent.

Mo. No. 2022-552 In the Matter of Nonhuman Rights Project, Inc., &c.,

Appellant,

James J. Breheny, &c., et al., Respondents.

Motion by Defense Association of New York, Inc. et al. for leave to file a brief amici curiae on the appeal herein granted and the proposed brief is accepted as filed. The brief must be submitted in digital format within seven days.

Motion by New York State Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers for leave to appear amicus curiae on the appeal herein granted only to the extent that the proposed brief is accepted as filed. Two copies of the brief must be served, an original and nine copies filed, and the brief submitted in digital format within seven days.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for reargument denied.

Mo. No. 2022-658 Motion for leave to appeal denied. In the Matter of Danielle E.P., Respondent, v. Christopher N., Appellant. 4 Mo. No. 2022-659 Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from In the Matter of Christopher N., does not finally determine the proceeding within the Appellant, meaning of the Constitution. v. Danielle P., Respondent. Mo. No. 2022-456 Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from In the Matter of Stephanie Q., does not finally determine an action or proceeding Respondent, within the meaning of the Constitution. v. Walter R., Appellant. (And Another Related Action.) Mo. No. 2022-754 Motion for leave to appeal denied. In the Matter of Ebony Riley, Appellant, v. Joshua Kidney, Respondent. Mo. No. 2022-700 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Judge Troutman took no part. In the Matter of Brady J.S., Respondent, v. Darla A.B., et al., Respondents.

(And Another Proceeding.)

Appellant.

Paul B. Watkins, Esq., Attorney for the Child,

Mo. No. 2022-621 3

In the Matter of Hakeem S., et al., &c.

Schenectady County Department of Social Services,

Appellant;

Sarah U.,

Respondent.

Mo. No. 2022-609

The People &c.,

Respondent,

v.

Treymain Songster,

Appellant.

Mo. No. 2022-842

In the Matter of Town of Southampton et al., Respondents,

et al.,

Petitioner,

v.

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation et al.,

Respondents,

Sand Land Corporation et al.,

Appellants.

Mo. No. 2022-702

Randolph J. Taylor,

Respondent,

Anna Taylor,

Appellant.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic.

Motion by Sierra Club for leave to appear amicus curiae on the appeal herein granted only to the extent that the proposed brief is accepted as filed. Two copies of the brief must be served, an original and nine copies filed, and the brief submitted in digital format within seven days. Judge Rivera took no part.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution.

2 Mo. No. 2022-737
In the Matter of Anna Taylor,
 Appellant,
 V.
Randolph J. Taylor Sr.,
 Respondent.

1 Mo. No. 2022-861
The People &c.,
Respondent,
v.
David Williams,
Appellant.

Motion for leave to appeal &c. dismissed upon the ground that the "Papers Rejection Notice" sought to be appealed from is not a judgment or an order from which an appeal to this Court may be taken (*see* CPLR 5512 [a]; 5602 [a]).

Motion for assignment of counsel granted and Robert S. Dean, Esq., Center for Appellate Litigation, 120 Wall Street, 28th Floor, New York, NY 10005 assigned as counsel to the appellant on the appeal herein.