State of New York

Court of Appeals

Decisions

April 23, 2024

CASES

3 No. 31

In the Matter of Aaron Manor Rehabilitation and Nursing Center, LLC, et al., Respondents-Appellants,

v.

Howard A. Zucker, &c., et al., Appellants-Respondents.

No. 42

The People &c.,

Appellant,

v.

Corey Dunton,

Respondent.

3 No. 41

The People &c.,

Respondent,

v.

Kenneth E. Fisher,

Appellant.

3 No. 35

In the Matter of International Business Machines Corporation & Combined Affiliates,

Appellant,

v.

Tax Appeals Tribunal of the State of New York et al.,

Respondents.

Order modified, with costs to

defendants/respondents, in accordance with the opinion herein and, as so modified, affirmed.

Opinion by Judge Rivera.

Chief Judge Wilson and Judges Garcia, Singas,

Cannataro, Troutman and Halligan concur.

Order reversed and case remitted to the Appellate Division, First Department, for consideration of issues raised but not determined by that Court.

Opinion by Judge Rivera.

Chief Judge Wilson and Judges Singas, Cannataro,

Troutman and Bannister concur.

Judge Aarons dissents in an opinion.

Judges Garcia and Halligan took no part.

Order reversed and a new trial ordered.

Opinion by Chief Judge Wilson.

Judges Rivera, Garcia, Singas, Cannataro, Troutman

and Halligan concur.

Judgment affirmed, with costs.

Opinion by Judge Cannataro.

Judges Rivera, Garcia, Singas and Troutman concur. Chief Judge Wilson concurs in result in an opinion,

in which Judge Halligan concurs.

4 No. 23
The People &c.,
Respondent,
v.
Farod Mosley,
Appellant.

3 No. 34

In the Matter of Walt Disney Company and Consolidated Subsidiaries, Appellant,

V.

Tax Appeals Tribunal of the State of New York et al.,

Respondents.

1 No. 38

The People &c.,

Respondent,

v.

David Williams, Appellant. Order reversed and a new trial ordered.
Opinion by Judge Halligan.
Chief Judge Wilson and Judges Garcia, Singas,
Cannataro and Barros concur.
Judge Rivera concurs in result in an opinion.
Judge Troutman took no part.

Judgment affirmed, with costs.
Opinion by Judge Cannataro.
Judges Rivera, Garcia, Singas and Troutman concur.
Chief Judge Wilson concurs in result in an opinion, in which Judge Halligan concurs.

Order reversed and a new trial ordered, to be preceded by an independent source hearing. Opinion by Judge Troutman. Chief Judge Wilson and Judges Rivera, Garcia, Singas, Cannataro and Halligan concur.

MOTIONS

Respondents.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred Mo. No. 2023-746 dollars costs and necessary reproduction 111 West 57th Investment LLC, et al., disbursements. Appellants, Judge Halligan took no part. 111 W57 Mezz Investment LLC, et al., Defendants. ACREFI Mortgage Lending, LLC, et al., Respondents, et al., Defendants. Mo. No. 2023-627 Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction In the Matter of 22-50 Jackson Avenue disbursements. Associates, L.P., Appellant, County of Suffolk, et al., Respondents. Mo. No. 2024-15 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic. In the Matter of Joshua A., Appellant, v. Shaquanda T., Respondent. 3 Mo. No. 2023-599 Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction In the Matter of Arnot Ogden Medical Center, disbursements. et al., Appellants, New York State Department of Health, et al.,

2 Mo. No. 2023-837
Bank of America, N.A., &c.,
Respondent,
V.
Fedie R. Redd,
Appellant.

1 Mo. No. 2023-303 Emigrant Bank et al., Appellants, v.

Virgo Investment Group LLC, et al., Respondents.

4 Mo. No. 2023-832
The People &c. ex rel. Rashad Farmer,
Appellant,
v.
Anthony J. Annucci, &c.,
Respondent.

4 Mo. No. 2023-739

Tina Knapp,
Plaintiff,
Michael Knapp,
Appellant,
v.

Finger Lakes NY, Inc., &c.,
et al.,
Respondents.

On the Court's own motion, appeal dismissed, without costs, upon the ground that the order appealed from does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution.

Motion for a stay dismissed as academic.

Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution.

Motion for leave to appeal denied. Judge Troutman took no part.

Mo. No. 2023-681
 In the Matter of LL 410 East 78th Street LLC, Appellant,
 v.
 Division of Housing and Community

Renewal, Respondent.

3 Mo. No. 2023-666 The People &c. ex rel. William Lotze, on behalf of Nekie Ricks, Appellant,

v.

Anthony Annucci, &c., Respondent, et al.,

Respondent.

3 Mo. No. 2023-824 In the Matter of the Claim of Theresa Polonski,

Respondent,

v.

Town of Islip,

Appellant.

Workers' Compensation Board, Respondent.

3 Mo. No. 2023-796

Derek S. Prindle, Respondent,

v.

John Guzy,

Appellant.

Motion for leave to appeal granted.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the relator has been released and, therefore, his liberty is no longer restrained to such a degree as to entitle him to the extraordinary writ of habeas corpus (see CPLR 7002 [a]; see also People ex rel. Wilder v Markley, 26 NY2d 648 [1970]). Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the action within the meaning of the Constitution.

In the Matter of Victoria A. Pritty-Pitcher, Respondent, v. Delbert A. Hargis, Jr., Appellant, Nicole E. Hargis, Respondent. (App. Div. No. CAF 22-01496) In the Matter of Victoria A. Pritty-Pitcher, Respondent, Delbert A. Hargis, Jr., Appellant, Nicole E. Hargis, Respondent. (App. Div. No. CAF 22-01497) Mo. No. 2023-829 In the Matter of Walter Q., Appellant, v. Stephanie R., Respondent. Mo. No. 2023-774 The People &c., Respondent, v. Deshon Rochford, Appellant.

Mo. No. 2024-73

Motion, insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from the Appellate Division order that affirmed Family Court's order finding appellant in contempt, dismissed upon the ground that the order does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution; motion for leave to appeal otherwise denied.

Judge Troutman took no part.

Motion for leave to appeal dismissed upon the ground that the order sought to be appealed from does not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

Mo. No. 2023-842

In the Matter of Ronald C. Rose,

Appellant,

Mo. No. 2023-680 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Arthur Samodovitz, Appellant, v. United Health Services Hospitals, Inc., et al., Respondents. (And Another Action.) Mo. No. 2023-745 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic. The People &c., Respondent, v. Cyril Saul, Appellant. Mo. No. 2023-771 Motion for leave to appeal denied. Benjamin Shabtai, Respondent, HFZ Capital Group, LLC, et al., Defendants, HFZ Shore Club LLC, et al., Appellants. Mo. No. 2023-718 Motion for leave to appeal denied. In the Matter of Janine Shank, Appellant, v. Dermot F. Shea, &c. et al., Respondents.

Mo. No. 2023-760
In the Matter of Jeffrey Terborg,
Appellant,
v.

New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision,
Respondent.

1 Mo. No. 2023-555

Mary L. Trump, Appellant,

v.

Donald J. Trump, &c., et al., Respondents.

2 Mo. No. 2023-800

David J. Whelan, Appellant,

v.

Cristina Cuomo, Respondent.

1 Mo. No. 2023-790

The People &c., Respondent,

v.

Collins Williams, Appellant.

Motion, insofar as it seeks leave to appeal from so much of the Appellate Division order as dismissed the appeal from the judgment, denied; motion for leave to appeal otherwise dismissed upon the ground that the remaining portions of the Appellate Division order do not finally determine the proceeding within the meaning of the Constitution.

Motion for ancillary relief dismissed upon the

Motion for ancillary relief dismissed upon the ground that this Court does not have jurisdiction to entertain it (*see* NY Const, art VI, § 3).

Motion for leave to appeal denied.

On the Court's own motion, appeal dismissed, without costs, upon the ground that no appeal lies as of right from the unanimous order of the Appellate Division absent the direct involvement of a substantial constitutional question (*see* CPLR 5601). Motion for leave to appeal denied with one hundred dollars costs and necessary reproduction disbursements.

Motion for leave to appeal denied. Motion for poor person relief dismissed as academic.