

People v Shakur Carrasquillo

Motion No: 2022-03970 2022-04420

Slip Opinion No: 2023 NY Slip Op 60441(U)

Decided on January 12, 2023

Appellate Division, First Department, Motion Decision

Published by [New York State Law Reporting Bureau](#) pursuant to
Judiciary Law § 431.

This motion is uncorrected and is not subject to publication in the
Official Reports.

Supreme Court of the State of New York
Appellate Division, First Judicial Department

PRESENT: Hon. Anil C. Singh, Justice Presiding,
Tanya R. Kennedy
Saliann Scarpulla
Martin Shulman
Julio Rodriguez III, Justices.

The People of the State of New York,	Motion Nos.	2022-03970
Respondent,		2022-04420
-against-	Ind. No.	1132/16
	Case No.	2018-04026

Shakur Carrasquillo,
Defendant-Appellant.

An order of this Court having been entered on October 21, 2021 (M-2021-03098) continuing the poor person relief previously granted to defendant to prosecute the appeal taken from the judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, rendered on or about June 04, 2018, and substituting Robert S. Dean, Esq., predecessor to Mark Zeno, Esq., Center for Appellate Litigation, as defendant's assigned counsel,

And defendant-appellant, pro se, having moved: to reargue an order of this Court, entered on January 25, 2022 (M-2021-04095) which, inter alia, denied defendant's motion for a subpoena duces tecum directing the Bronx District Attorney to turn over certain materials; to reargue the order of this Court, entered on September 13, 2022 (M-2022-02770), which denied defendant's motion to remand the matter to the trial court for a reconstruction hearing and to disqualify the trial judge from presiding over said hearing; and to relieve Mark Zeno, Esq., Center for Appellate Litigation, as assigned counsel and to proceed *pro se* on the instant appeal (M-2022-03970),

And assigned counsel for defendant-appellant having moved to be relieved as such counsel (M-2022-04420),

Now, upon reading and filing the papers with respect to the motions, and due deliberation having been had thereon,

It is ordered that defendant's motion is granted to the extent of relieving Mark Zeno, Esq., Center for Appellate Litigation, as defendant's assigned counsel and permitting defendant to proceed *pro se* on the instant appeal and is otherwise denied (M-2022-03970). The motion by assigned counsel to be relieved as defendant's counsel is denied as academic (M-2022-04420).

ENTERED: January 12, 2023



Susanna Molina Rojas
Clerk of the Court