

**Jones v TDA Constr., Inc.**

2023 NY Slip Op 30047(U)

January 10, 2023

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 154007/2013

Judge: Debra A. James

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
NEW YORK COUNTY**

**PRESENT: HON. DEBRA A. JAMES**

**PART 59**

*Justice*

-----X

MICHAEL JONES and JOY JONES,  
  
Plaintiffs,

**INDEX NO.** 154007/2013

**MOTION DATE** 07/28/2020

**MOTION SEQ. NO.** 002 003 004  
005

- v -

TDA CONSTRUCTION, INC., WEIDLINGER ASSOCIATES,  
INC., and SAFESPAN SCAFFOLDING, L.L.C.,

**DECISION + ORDER ON  
MOTION**

Defendants.

-----X

WEIDLINGER ASSOCIATES, INC.,  
  
Plaintiff,

Third-Party  
Index No. 595561/2017

-against-

SAVIN ENGINEERS, P.C.

Defendant.

-----X

The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document number (Motion 002) 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 187, 204, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 227, 228, 236, 237

were read on this motion to/for JUDGMENT - SUMMARY.

The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document number (Motion 003) 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 188, 205

were read on this motion to/for JUDGMENT - SUMMARY.

The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document number (Motion 004) 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 189, 206, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221

were read on this motion to/for DISMISS.

The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document number (Motion 005) 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 190, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 207, 208, 222, 223, 224, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 238

were read on this motion to/for JUDGMENT - SUMMARY.

ORDER

Upon the foregoing documents, it is

ORDERED that the motion of defendant Weidlinger Associates, Inc, for summary judgment dismissing the complaint and cross claims against it (motion seq no 002) is granted to the extent that such claims are based upon any violation of Labor Law § 240(1) (the Scaffold Law) or of Labor Law § 241(6) to the extent that such claim is predicated on Industrial Code §23-1.7(e), and such claims are dismissed; and it is further

ORDERED that the motion of defendant Weidlinger Associates, Inc. is otherwise denied with respect to violations of Labor Law § 200 and common law negligence or Labor Law § 241(6) (Industrial Code § 23-5.8[h]); and it is further

ORDERED to the extent that it seeks summary judgment granting conditional summary judgment on its third party complaint for common law and contractual indemnification against third party defendant Savin Engineers, PC, the motion of third party plaintiff Weidlinger Associates, Inc (mot seq no 002) is denied; and it is further

ORDERED that the motion of defendant Savin Engineers, PC, for summary judgment dismissing the third party complaint against it is (motion seq no 003) is denied; and it is further

ORDERED that the motion of TDA Construction, Inc., for summary judgment dismissing claims against it (motion seq no

004) is granted to the extent that such claims are based upon Labor Law § 240(1) (the Scaffold Law) or upon Labor Law § 241(6) to the extent such claim is predicated on Industrial Code §23-1.7(e), and such claims are dismissed; and it is further

ORDERED that the motion of defendant TDA Construction, Inc. is otherwise denied with respect to violations of Labor Law § 200 and common law negligence and of Labor Law § 241(6) (Industrial Code § 23-5.8[h]); and it is further

ORDERED that the motion of defendant Safespan Scaffolding, LLC for summary judgment dismissing the claims and cross claims against it (motion seq no 005) is granted to the extent that such claims are based upon any violation of Labor Law § 240(1) (the Scaffold Law) or upon Labor Law § 241(6) to the extent such claim is predicated on Industrial Code §23-1.7(e), and such claims are dismissed; and it is further

ORDERED that the motion of defendant Safespan Scaffolding, LLC is otherwise denied with respect to violations of Labor Law § 200 and common law negligence and Labor Law § 241(6) (Industrial Code § 23-5.8[h]).

#### DECISION

To the extent of Labor Law § 240(1), plaintiff has failed to raise an issue of fact that the unsecured deck constituted an elevation-related hazard. See Smith v New York State Elec & Gas Corp, 82 NY2d 781 (1993).

In Pietrowski v ARE-East Riv Science Park, 86 AD3d 467 (1<sup>st</sup> Dept 2011), the appellate court determined that Industrial Code § 23-58(h), Scaffold platform, set forth a specific regulation that constitutes a predicate for liability pursuant to Labor Law § 241(6). Defendants fail to prima facie establish that their actions or inactions were not a substantial factor in the decking having not be "nailed in placed or otherwise secured against displacement" causing the generator that plaintiff was moving to jerk, tripping him forward resulting in him striking his shoulder against the decking truss.

However, defendants have prima facie established the inapplicability of Industrial Code §23-1.7(e) to plaintiff's assertions, as plaintiff does not allege that he tripped on any dirt and debris or protrusions in the passageway.

Defendants have not prima facie established that they had no knowledge of the unsecured decking. See Torkel v NYU Hospitals Center, 63 AD3d 587 (1<sup>st</sup> Dept 2009) ("plaintiff made a prima facie showing that [the general contractor] was responsible for or aware of the dangerous condition".)

Finally, the record before the court contains an incomplete copy of the contract between third party defendant Savan Engineers, PC and third party plaintiff Thorton Tomasetti, Inc., formerly known as Weidlinger Associates, is incomplete, as there are only 7 of 9 pages (NYSCEF Document Numbers 125 and 174).

The copy of Exhibit B to the contract that sets forth third party defendant Savan Engineers, PC's scope of work is not part of the record before this court. Thus, there is an issue of fact as to what inspection services third party defendant Savan Engineers, PC promised to perform under such contract, and whether Savan owes contractual indemnification to third party plaintiff Weidlinger Associates for any failure to perform.

*Debra A. James*

20230110210630DJAMES7F63AE95FC88449EB97125ACA34A181F

1/10/2023

DATE

DEBRA A. JAMES, J.S.C.

CHECK ONE:

CASE DISPOSED

NON-FINAL DISPOSITION

GRANTED

DENIED

GRANTED IN PART

OTHER

APPLICATION:

SETTLE ORDER

SUBMIT ORDER

CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:

INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN

FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT

REFERENCE