

**Redell-Witte v Algoma Hardwoods, Inc.**

2023 NY Slip Op 32525(U)

July 21, 2023

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 190011/2020

Judge: Adam Silvera

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
NEW YORK COUNTY**

**PRESENT: HON. ADAM SILVERA**

**PART**

**13**

*Justice*

-----X

**INDEX NO. 190011/2020**

HOLLY REDELL-WITTE,

**MOTION DATE 03/08/2023**

Plaintiff,

**MOTION SEQ. NO. 004**

- v -

ALGOMA HARDWOODS, INC, ALTMAN STAGE LIGHTING CO., INC, AMCHEM PRODUCTS, INC., N/K/A RHONE POULENC AG COMPANY, N/K/A BAYER CROPSCIENCE INC, BALLANTYNE OF OMAHA, INC. INDIVIDUALLY AND AS SUCCESSOR TO STRONG ELECTRIC CORP AND STRONG INTERNATIONAL, BELL & HOWELL COMPANY, CBS CORPORATION, F/K/A VIACOM INC., SUCCESSOR BY MERGER TO CBS CORPORATION, F/K/A WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC CORPORATION, CERTAINTEED CORPORATION, GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS SUCCESSOR TO CHAMPION INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR TO UNITED STATES PLYWOOD CORPORATION, KLIENGL BROS., UNIVERSAL ELECTRIC STAGE LIGHTING CO., INC, MOLE-RICHARDSON CO, PFIZER, INC. (PFIZER), SIEMENS INDUSTRY, INC.,SUCCESSOR IN INTEREST TO SIEMENS ENERGY & AUTOMATION, INC, STRAND LIGHTING, INC, STRONG INTERNATIONAL, A DIVISION OF BALLANTYNE OF OMAHA, INC.,INDIVIDUALLY AND AS SUCCESSOR TO CENTURY PROJECTOR CORPORATION, SIMPLEX PROJECTOR CORP., AND PEERLES PROJECTOR CORP, T.M. COBB COMPANY, U.S. RUBBER COMPANY (UNIROYAL), UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION, WEYERHAEUSER COMPANY, AERCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.,BMCE INC.,F/K/A UNITED CENTRIFUGAL PUMP, HARSCO CORPORATION, AS SUCCESSOR TO PATTERSON-KELLEY COMPANY, INC.,INDIVIDUALLY AND D/B/A PATTERSON-KELLEY, MORSE TEC LLC,MOLE-RICHARDSON CO., LLC,PK&P INVESTMENT CO. F/K/A MOLE-RICHARDSON CO., SIGNIFY.COM,

**DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION**

Defendant.

-----X

The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document number (Motion 004) 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 171, 172, 173

were read on this motion to/for

DISMISSAL

Upon the foregoing documents, it is ordered that defendant T.M. Cobb Company's motion to dismiss, pursuant to CPLR §3211(a)(8), is decided in accordance with the decision below.

In this asbestos action, defendant T.M. Cobb seeks to dismiss the complaint against it on the basis that it has no connections to the State of New York and the Court has no personal jurisdiction over it. Defendant T.M. Cobb alleges, based on the testimony of Jeffrey Cobb ("Mr. Cobb"), that "T.M. Cobb did not sell its products in New York and never conducted business in New York." *See* Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendant T.M. Cobb's Renewed Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction, p. 3 (emphasis omitted).

Plaintiff opposes and notes that plaintiff-decedent, James Witte ("Mr. Witte"), testified unequivocally to encountering T.M. Cobb-brand doors in New York City. *See* Affirmation in Opposition to Defendant T.B. [sic] Cobb Company's Motion to Dismiss, p. 2-3.

CPLR §302(a) states that specific jurisdiction may be exercised over a non-resident who "(1) transacts any business within the state or contracts anywhere to supply goods or services in the state; or (2) commits a tortious act within the state...; or (3) commits a tortious act without the state causing injury to person...within the state...if he (i) regularly does or solicits business, or engages in any other persistent course of conduct, or derives substantial revenue from goods used or consumed or services rendered, in the state, or (ii) expects or should reasonably expect the act to have consequences in the state and derives substantial revenue from interstate or international commerce; or (4) owns, uses or possesses real property situated within the state."

Here, plaintiff has presented information sufficient to establish T.M. Cobb's business in New York in the 1960s. Mr. Witte recalled T.M. Cobb by name several times in connection with his worksite in New York and specifically testified to such. *See* Affirmation in Opposition,

*supra*, p. 2-3. Defendant T.M. Cobb relies heavily on testimony from Mr. Cobb, who has failed to show that he has personal knowledge of the sales and distribution of T.M. Cobb products, during the time frame at issue herein. *See* Order to Show Cause, Exh. D, Deposition Transcript of Jeffrey Cobb on Mar. 31, 2022 at p. 50-52. Further, Mr. Cobb confirmed that T.M. Cobb has not retained any sales records from the 1960s, has no document retention policy, and that he was unaware of which states T.M. Cobb's customers (largely dealers and distributors) were in. *See id.* at 56, 59, 67.

Without any records indicating otherwise or anyone with personal knowledge of sales and distribution during the relevant time period, T.M. Cobb has failed to prove that it did not sell or distribute doors to New York. The Court of Appeals has held that "proof of one transaction in New York is sufficient to invoke jurisdiction, even though the defendant never enters New York, so long as the defendant's activities here were purposeful and there is a substantial relationship between the transaction and the claim asserted." *Kreutter v McFadden Oil Corp.*, 71 NY2d 460, 467 (1988). Here, where plaintiff's explicit testimony is that he was exposed to asbestos through T.M. Cobb's product in New York, defendant's motion fails pursuant to CPLR §302(a)(2). Thus, defendant T.M. Cobb's motion to dismiss is denied.

Accordingly, it is


ORDERED that defendant T.M. Cobb's motion to dismiss is denied in its entirety; and it is further

ORDERED that within 30 days of entry, plaintiff shall serve a copy of this decision/order upon all parties with notice of entry.

This constitutes the Decision/Order of the Court.

07/21/2023

DATE



ADAM SILVERA, J.S.C.

CHECK ONE:

CASE DISPOSED

NON-FINAL DISPOSITION

GRANTED

DENIED

GRANTED IN PART

OTHER

APPLICATION:

SETTLE ORDER

SUBMIT ORDER

CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:

INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN

FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT

REFERENCE