

Gurney-Goldman v Soul Mgt., LLC

2026 NY Slip Op 30469(U)

February 9, 2026

Supreme Court, New York County

Docket Number: Index No. 655549/2023

Judge: Melissa A. Crane

Cases posted with a "30000" identifier, i.e., 2013 NY Slip Op 30001(U), are republished from various New York State and local government sources, including the New York State Unified Court System's eCourts Service.

This opinion is uncorrected and not selected for official publication.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: HON. MELISSA A. CRANE PART 60M

Justice

-----X

INDEX NO. 655549/2023

STEVEN GURNEY-GOLDMAN, AHG GENERATION X LLC, STEPHANIE GOLDMAN, AMY GOLDMAN FOWLER, IN HER INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE AMY GOLDMAN 2010 Z-6 TRUST, APG GENERATION X LLC,

MOTION DATE 11/24/2025

MOTION SEQ. NO. 033

Plaintiff,

- v -

SOLIL MANAGEMENT, LLC, SG EMPIRE, LLC, JANE GOLDMAN, IN HER INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY, AS MANAGER OF SOL GOLDMAN INVESTMENTS, LLC, AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE JANE H. GOLDMAN RESIDUARY TRUST NUMBER 1, THE JANE H. GOLDMAN RESIDUARY TRUST NUMBER 3, THE JANE H. GOLDMAN 2008 Y-1 TRUST, THE JANE H. GOLDMAN, DIANE GOLDMAN KEMPER, LOUISA LITTLE, IN HER CAPACITY AS MANAGER OF SOL GOLDMAN INVESTMENTS, LLC, AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE JANE H. GOLDMAN RESIDUARY TRUST NUMBER 1, THE JANE H. GOLDMAN RESIDUARY TRUST NUMBER 3, THE JANE H. GOLDMAN 2008 Y-1 TRUST, THE DIANE GOLDMAN KEMPER RESIDUARY, BENJAMIN LEWIS, JHG GENERATION X, LLC, DGK GENERATION X, LLC, SOL GOLDMAN INVESTMENTS, LLC,

DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION

Defendant.

-----X

The following e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF document number (Motion 033) 912, 913, 914, 915, 916, 917, 918, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 925, 926, 942, 946

were read on this motion to/for SEAL

Upon the foregoing documents, the court grants the unopposed motion to seal and redact certain documents.

Pursuant to § 216.1 (a) of the Uniform Rules for Trial Courts, this Court may seal or redact a filing "upon a written finding of good cause, which shall specify the grounds

thereof.” “[T]he court shall consider the interests of the interests of the public as well as of the parties” in determining whether there is good cause (22 NYCRR § 216 [a]). The Court must balance the risk of privacy concerns stemming from public access to the information against the “compelling public interest in exposure of this information,” if any (*see MBIA Ins. Corp. v Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.*, 2013 WL 450030, *9 (Sup Ct, NY County Jan. 3, 2013)). The moving party has the burden to set forth compelling circumstances to justify restricting public access and must demonstrate “a sound basis or legitimate need to take judicial action” (*Danco Labs., Ltd. v Chemical Works of Gedeon Richter*, 274 AD2d 1, 6 [1st Dept 2000]). “[T]here is a broad presumption that the public is entitled to access to . . . court records” (*Mosallem v Berenson*, 76 AD3d 345, 348-350 [1st Dept 2010]).

The Court has reviewed the filings that the movant seeks to seal and redact. Motion Seq. Nos. 33 is granted without opposition. Movant has established good cause to seal and redact the requested information (and to seal the unredacted court’s copies). The requested information includes confidential, sensitive personal and financial information. In addition, the movant has established that the public would have little to no interest in the protected information.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that Motion Seq. Nos. 33 is granted, absent opposition; and it is further

ORDERED that upon service of a copy of this Order upon the Clerk of the Court, The Clerk shall permit the following documents to be and remain filed under seal:

NYSCEF Doc. Nos. **808, 841, 847, 848, 849, 850, 851, 852, 853, 854, 855, 856, 857, 858, 859, 860, 861, 862, 863, 864 and 865**; and

the Clerk shall permit the following documents to be filed in redacted form:

NYSCEF Doc. Nos. **919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924 and 925**; and

The Clerk shall also permit the following unredacted courtesy copies to remain under seal:

NYSCEF Doc. Nos. **789, 793, 843, 846, 871, 873 and 889**; and

The Clerk shall permit the foregoing documents to remain filed with redactions or under seal, as specified above, wherever they shall appear in connection with this action. Until further Order of the Court, the Clerk of the Court shall deny access to those unredacted documents to anyone other than the County Clerk's staff, authorized court personnel, the parties, and their counsel; and it is further

ORDERED that any redacted document filed in the NYSCEF docket must be accompanied by an unredacted Court Copy (*see* Part 60 Practices and Procedures) at all times; and it is further

ORDERED that future submissions that contain the same information/subject matter that the Court has authorized to be filed in redacted form by this Order may be filed in redacted form on NYSCEF, provided that in all instances an unredacted copy of any redacted document is contemporaneously filed under seal; and it is further

ORDERED that nothing in this Order shall be construed as authorizing the sealing or redactions of any documents or evidence to be offered at trial; and it is further

ORDERED that service upon the County Clerk shall be made in accordance with the procedures set forth in the Protocol on Courthouse and County Clerk Procedures for Electronically Filed Cases (accessible at the "E-filing" page on the court's website – www.nycourts.gov/supctmanh).

2/9/2026

DATE

MJC

MELISSA A. CRANE, J.S.C.

CHECK ONE:

CASE DISPOSED

NON-FINAL DISPOSITION

GRANTED

DENIED

GRANTED IN PART

OTHER

APPLICATION:

SETTLE ORDER

SUBMIT ORDER

CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:

INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN

FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT

REFERENCE